ON GENERIC DIFFERENTIAL SO_n-EXTENSIONS

LOURDES JUAN AND ARNE LEDET

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an algebraically closed field with trivial derivation and let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the differential rational field $\mathcal{C}\langle Y_{ij}\rangle$, with Y_{ij}, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, $i \leq j$, differentially independent over $\mathcal{C}$. We show that there is a Picard-Vessiot extension $\mathcal{E} \supset \mathcal{F}$ for a matrix equation $X' = X\mathcal{A}(Y_{ij})$, with differential Galois group SO_n, with the property that if F is any differential field with field of constants $\mathcal{C}$ then there is a Picard-Vessiot extension $E \supset F$ with differential Galois group $H \leq \mathrm{SO}_n$ if and only if there are $f_{ij} \in F$ with $\mathcal{A}(f_{ij})$ well defined and the equation $X' = X\mathcal{A}(f_{ij})$ giving rise to the extension $E \supset F$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote an algebraically closed field with trivial derivation, G a linear algebraic group over $\mathcal{C}$, and $\mathfrak{gl}_m(\cdot)$ the Lie algebra of $m \times m$ matrices with coefficients in some specified field. The short form 'Picard-Vessiot G-extension' (or some times 'PVE with group G') will be used for 'Picard-Vessiot extension (PVE) with differential Galois group isomorphic to G'. We consider the differential rational field $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}\langle Z_1, \dots, Z_k\rangle$, where $Z_1, \dots, Z_k$ are differentially independent over $\mathcal{C}$.

Definition 1.1. A Picard-Vessiot G-extension $\mathcal{E} \supset \mathcal{F}$ for the equation $X' = X\mathcal{A}(Z_1, \dots, Z_k)$, with $\mathcal{A}(Z_1, \dots, Z_k) \in \mathfrak{gl}_m(\mathcal{F})$ for some m, is said to be *a generic extension for G* if for every Picard-Vessiot G-extension $E \supset F$ there is a specialization $Z_i \to f_i \in F$, such that the equation $X' = X\mathcal{A}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ gives rise to $E \supset F$ and any fundamental solution matrix maps to one for the specialized equation.

Note that by making the assumption that $G = G(\mathcal{C})$, we are also assuming that the base field of a Picard-Vessiot G-extension, and the extension itself, have field of constants $\mathcal{C}$.

In this paper we produce generic extensions for the special orthogonal groups SO_n, $n \geq 3$. For $n = 2$ the group is isomorphic to the (cohomologically trivial) multiplicative group, a case already studied in [5].

The construction that we provide is based on Kolchin's Structure Theorem, which describes the possible Picard-Vessiot G-extensions of a differential field F as function fields of F-irreducible G-torsors [11, Theorem 5.12], [12, Theorem 1.28]. The isomorphism classes of G-torsors, in turn, are in bijective correspondence with the elements of the first Galois cohomology

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 12H05; Secondary 12F12, 20G15.

set $H^1(F,G)$ [13, 15]. The latter is a particularly convenient feature since for the special orthogonal groups the first cohomology can be described in terms of regular quadratic forms of discriminant 1 (cf. [7]).

In previous work the first author has studied generic extensions in two special situations. The first was when G is connected and the extension is the function field of the trivial G-torsor (cf. [5]). The second was when G is the semidirect product $H \ltimes G^0$ of its connected component by a finite group H and the extensions are the function fields of F-irreducible G-torsors of the form $W \times G^0$, where W is an F-irreducible H-torsor (cf. [6]).

In the present paper we turn our attention to the general case, that is, when $H^1(F,G)$ is not necessarily trivial. In [7] we showed that in such a situation, it might be possible to find a Picard-Vessiot G-extension of F that is the function field of a non-trivial torsor. We will use the machinery developed there and a version of a method to construct generic extensions from [5] to attack this general situation when G is the special orthogonal group SO_n, $n \geq 3$. With the description of the SO_n-torsors in terms of regular quadratic forms of discriminant 1 at our disposal we can provide a good description of the twisted Lie algebras associated to the torsors [7], a key ingredient of our construction.

Having a good grasp of the torsors also allows us to show that this extension fully descends to subgroups of SO_n, that is, *there is a specialization of the parameters over the base field F yielding a Picard-Vessiot H-extension if and only if $H \leq \mathrm{SO}_n$.*

Finally, we discuss how to proceed with connected groups in general, when a good description of the torsors is not available. In this case a generic extension relative to the trivial torsor along with the Trivialization Lemma from Section 3 allow a (not so explicit but quite similar) construction in which the specialization of the parameters takes place over a finite extension of F instead of F.

All the differential fields that we consider are of characteristic zero and have algebraically closed field of constants. We keep the notations $\mathcal{C}$ and F introduced above.

2. Generic extension vs. generic equation

The SO_n case is included among the groups studied by Goldman [3] and Bhandari-Sankaran [1].

Definition 2.1. (Goldman [3]) Let G be a linear algebraic group over $\mathcal{C}$ and assume that a faithful representation in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{C})$ is given. Let $L(t,y) = Q_0(t_1,\dots,t_r)y^{(n)}+\cdots+Q_n(t_1,\dots,t_r)y \in \mathcal{C}\{t_1,\dots,t_r,y\}$ and write $(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_n)$ for a fundamental system of zeros of $L(t,y)$ such that $\mathcal{C}\langle t_1,\dots,t_r,\pi_1,\dots,\pi_n\rangle$ is a PVE of $\mathcal{C}\langle t_1,\dots,t_r\rangle$ with group G. Then $L(t,y)=0$ will be called a *generic equation with group G* if:

(1) $t_1,\dots,t_r$ are differentially independent over $\mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{C}\langle t_1,\dots,t_r\rangle \subset \mathcal{C}\langle \pi_1,\dots,\pi_n\rangle$.

(2) For every specialization $(t_1, \dots, t_r, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_n) \to (\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_r, \bar{\pi}_1, \dots, \bar{\pi}_n)$ over $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{C}\langle \bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_r, \bar{\pi}_1, \dots, \bar{\pi}_n\rangle$ is a PVE of $\mathcal{C}\langle \bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_r\rangle$ and the field of constants of the latter is $\mathcal{C}$, the differential Galois group of this extension is a subgroup of G.

(3) If $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ is a fundamental system of zeros of $L(y) = y^{(n)} + a_1 y^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_n y \in F\{y\}$, where F is any differential field with field of constants $\mathcal{C}$, and $F\langle \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\rangle$ is a PVE of F with differential Galois group $H \le G$, then there exists a specialization $(t_1, \dots, t_r) \to (\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_r)$ over F with $\bar{t}_i \in F$ such that $Q_o(\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_r) \neq 0$ and

$$a_i = Q_i(\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_r) Q_o^{-1}(\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_r).$$

Goldman shows that a necessary condition for such an equation to exist is that the number of parameters r equals the order n of the equation [3, Lemma 1, p. 343]. The groups studied in that paper include GL_n, SL_n as well as the orthogonal and symplectic groups.

Now, let G act on $\mathcal{C}\langle y_1, \dots, y_n\rangle$, where $y_1, \dots, y_n$ are differentially independent over $\mathcal{C}$, by $\sigma(y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ij} y_j$ for $\sigma = (c_{ij}) \in G(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{C})$. Then

$$P_i = \frac{W_i(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{W_0(y_1, \dots, y_n)} \qquad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

where

$$W_i = (-1)^i \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & \cdots & y_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_1^{(n-i-1)} & & y_n^{(n-i-1)} \\ y_1^{(n-i+1)} & & y_n^{(n-i+1)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_1^{(n)} & \cdots & y_n^{(n)} \end{vmatrix},$$

are invariant under the G action.

The procedure used by Goldman for the groups above first finds n differentially independent generators $t_1, \dots, t_n$ over $\mathcal{C}$ of the fixed field $\mathcal{C}\langle y_1, \dots, y_n\rangle^G$ and $n+1$ differential polynomials $Q_0(t_1, \dots, t_n), \dots, Q_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathcal{C}\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ with

$$P_i = \frac{Q_i(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{Q_0(t_1, \dots, t_n)} \qquad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

He then shows that a generic equation with group G is given by

$$L(t, y) = Q_0(t_1, \dots, t_n) y^{(n)} + \dots + Q_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) y = 0. \tag{1}$$

This method, however, fails to produce a generic equation for $G = \mathrm{SO}_3$ as [3, Example 3, p. 355] illustrates.

Bhandari and Sankaran [1] proved that (1) is generic for the special orthogonal groups in a weaker sense, that is, replacing (3) in Goldman's definition with the following:

($3'$) If F is a differential field with field of constants $\mathcal{C}$ and E is a PVE of F with differential Galois group $H \leq G$, then there exists a linear differential equation

$$L(y) = y^{(n)} + a_1 y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_n y = 0, \qquad a_i \in F$$

such that that $Q_o(\bar{t}_1, \ldots, \bar{t}_r) \neq 0$, $a_i = Q_i(\bar{t}_1, \ldots, \bar{t}_r) Q_o^{-1}(\bar{t}_1, \ldots, \bar{t}_r)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, for suitable $\bar{t}_i \in F$ and $E = F\langle \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n \rangle$ for a fundamental system of zeros of $L(y)$.

There are, however, some key differences in our approaches. In constructing their equations, both Goldman and Bhandari-Sankaran start with the differential rational field $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}\langle y_1, \ldots, y_n \rangle$, where n is the order of the equation, and find the differential fixed field $\mathcal{C}\langle y_1, \ldots, y_n \rangle^G$. We start instead with $\mathcal{F}$ as our base field and show that $\mathcal{F}\langle Y \rangle$, where Y is a generic point of a "general" G-torsor, is a generic PVE in the sense of Definition 1.1. Furthermore, it satisfies descent conditions analogous to (2) and ($3'$) above. In our case, the number n of parameters is given by the dimension of the group and the description of the torsors, so it is independent of the representation of G in a GL_m. By using a *general derivation* in the function field of our special G-torsor (that is, a typical element in the twisted Lie algebra) the specialization of our parameters comes in a very natural and painless fashion, whereas in the case of the generic equations in [1, 3], showing that $Q_0(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \neq 0$ is quite involved.

In connection with the previous notions of generic equation [1, 3] Juan-Magid [8] study the *ring of generic solutions for a linear monic order n equation*, that is, $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{C}\{P_1, \ldots, P_n\} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}[y_i^{(j)}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq n-1][w_0^{-1}]$, where P_i, y_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and w_0, are as above, with the $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{C})$ action extended from the linear action on $V = \mathcal{C}y_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{C}y_n$ using the $\mathcal{C}$-basis $y_1, \ldots, y_n$. The ring $\mathcal{R}$ has the following properties:

Assume that $E \supset F$ is a Picard-Vessiot G-extension and that G has a faithful representation ρ in GL_n. Then there is a differential homomorphism $\Psi : R \to F$ such that

1. E is the quotient field of $F\Psi(\mathcal{R})$; and
2. $E \supset F$ is a PVE for
$$L(Y) = Y^{(n)} + \Psi(P_1) Y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + \Psi(P_n) Y^{(0)}$$
3. Ψ is G-equivariant, so $\Psi(\mathcal{R}^G) \subset E^G = F$.

Conversely, assume that G is an observable subgroup of GL_n and let $\phi : \mathcal{R}^G \to F$ be a differential F-algebra homomorphism with restriction α to $\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{GL}_n}$. Let P be a maximal differential ideal of $R = F \otimes_\alpha \mathcal{R}$ whose inverse image in $\mathcal{R}$ contains the kernel of ϕ, and let E be the fraction field of R/P. Then E is a PVE of F with differential Galois group contained in G.

The special orthogonal groups are observable (see [4]) and therefore satisfy the above conditions. We point out that in our construction the coordinate ring $\mathcal{C}\{Y_{ij}\}[Y, 1/\det(Y)]$, where Y is a generic point of a general SO_n-torsor, has properties similar to that of the ring $\mathcal{R}$.

The work in [1, 3, 8] describes equations given by linear differential operators attached to a representation of the differential Galois group G in GL_n. Our work describes matrix equations with group G in connection with the structure of the Picard-Vessiot G-extensions.

3. SO_n-extensions

In [7] we saw that every F-irreducible SO_n-torsor has a generic point of the form $Y = XP$, where X is a generic point for SO_n and

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{a_1} & & & \\ & \sqrt{a_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sqrt{a_n} \end{pmatrix},$$

for $a_i \in F^*$ with $a_1 \cdots a_n = 1$ and the roots chosen to have product 1 as well. A PVE of F with group SO_n corresponding to this torsor, if any, equals the function field $F(Y)$ of the torsor and has derivation given by $Y' = YB$, where the matrix B is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_1'}{2a_1} & b_{12} & b_{13} & \dots & b_{1n} \\ -\frac{a_1}{a_2}b_{12} & \frac{a_2'}{2a_2} & b_{23} & \dots & b_{2n} \\ -\frac{a_1}{a_3}b_{13} & -\frac{a_2}{a_3}b_{23} & \frac{a_3'}{2a_3} & \dots & b_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\frac{a_1}{a_n}b_{1n} & -\frac{a_2}{a_n}b_{2n} & -\frac{a_3}{a_n}b_{3n} & \dots & \frac{a_n'}{2a_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $b_{ij} \in F$, $1 \le i \le n-1$, $2 \le j \le n$ and $a_i \in F^*$ as before. An explicit example was given there, with Y corresponding to a non-trivial torsor, by making the simplifying assumption that $b_{i,i+1} = a_i$. We point out that with that assumption, the number of parameters used in [7] to produce a PVE associated to a non-trivial torsor is $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$, the dimension of SO_n.

Since our goal here is to produce a generic extension we need to modify that example in order to retain the $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n+2)$ parameters in the matrix B.

We assume that $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_{12}, \ldots, b_{n-1,n}$ are differentially independent over $\mathcal{C}$ and let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}\langle a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_{12}, \ldots, b_{n-1,n}\rangle$. We first show that the equation $\eta' = \eta A$ over the algebraic closure $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\mathcal{F}$, with coefficient matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\sqrt{a_1}}{\sqrt{a_2}}b_{12} & \frac{\sqrt{a_1}}{\sqrt{a_3}}b_{13} & \dots & \frac{\sqrt{a_1}}{\sqrt{a_n}}b_{1n} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{a_1}}{\sqrt{a_2}}b_{12} & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{a_2}}{\sqrt{a_3}}b_{23} & \dots & \frac{\sqrt{a_2}}{\sqrt{a_n}}b_{2n} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{a_1}}{\sqrt{a_3}}b_{13} & -\frac{\sqrt{a_2}}{\sqrt{a_3}}b_{23} & 0 & \dots & \frac{\sqrt{a_3}}{\sqrt{a_n}}b_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\frac{\sqrt{a_1}}{\sqrt{a_n}}b_{1n} & -\frac{\sqrt{a_2}}{\sqrt{a_n}}b_{2n} & -\frac{\sqrt{a_3}}{\sqrt{a_n}}b_{3n} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has differential Galois group SO_n. From this it will follow that the corresponding equation $\eta' = \eta B$ over $\mathcal{F}$ has the same group.

Let $Z_{ij} = \sqrt{a_i}/\sqrt{a_j}b_{ij}$, $1 \le i \le n-1$, $2 \le j \le n$, $i < j$. Clearly the Z_{ij} are differentially independent over $\mathcal{C}$ since all the a_i and b_{ij}^2 are in the differential field $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{C}\langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, Z_{12}, \dots, Z_{n-1,n}\rangle$, which forces the differential transcendence degree [10, Definition 3.2.33 and Theorem 5.4.12] of $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathcal{C}$ to be $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n+2)$.

Now, since $A = \sum_{j=i+2}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Z_{ij}A_{ij}$, where $\{A_{ij}\}$ is the basis of $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{SO}_n)$ consisting of the antisymmetric matrices with 1 in the ij-entry, -1 in the ji-entry and 0 otherwise, by [5, Theorem 4.1.2] it then follows that $\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{SO}_n) \supset \mathcal{L}$, is a PVE with group SO_n for the equation $X' = XA$.

Since $a_i, b_{ij}^2 \in \mathcal{L}$ we have that $a_i, b_{ij} \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}$ and thus $\bar{\mathcal{F}} = \bar{\mathcal{L}}$. Therefore, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{SO}_n) \supset \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{SO}_n)$ is an algebraic extension. Since the field of constants of $\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{SO}_n)$ is the algebraically closed field $\mathcal{C}$, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{SO}_n)$ must have no new constants and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{SO}_n) \supset \bar{\mathcal{F}}$ is a PVE with group SO_n.

The discussion in [7, Section 4] implies that the matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_1'}{2a_1} & b_{12} & b_{13} & \dots & b_{1n} \\ -\frac{a_1}{a_2}b_{12} & \frac{a_2'}{2a_2} & b_{23} & \dots & b_{2n} \\ -\frac{a_1}{a_3}b_{13} & -\frac{a_2}{a_3}b_{23} & \frac{a_3'}{2a_3} & \dots & b_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\frac{a_1}{a_n}b_{1n} & -\frac{a_2}{a_n}b_{2n} & -\frac{a_3}{a_n}b_{3n} & \dots & \frac{a_n'}{2a_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a derivation on the coordinate ring $T = \mathcal{F}[Y]$ of the SO_n-torsor corresponding to the quadratic form given by the matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & & \\ & a_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & a_n \end{pmatrix}$$

which by [7, Lemma 1] is non-trivial.

Since $\bar{\mathcal{F}}(Y) = \bar{\mathcal{F}}(X)$, as a differential field it will be isomorphic to $\bar{F}(\mathrm{SO}_n)$. Therefore, the field of constants of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}(Y)$ is $\mathcal{C}$. In particular, this implies that $\mathcal{F}(Y) \supset \mathcal{F}$ is a no new constant extension. This shows that the function field of the (non-trivial) SO_n-torsor corresponding to Y is a PVE of $\mathcal{F}$ with group SO_n.

We point out for later use that the previous argument can be shown in a more general setting:

Trivialization Lemma. *Let $E \supset F$ be a Picard-Vessiot G-extension with G connected. Then there are a finite extension $k \supset F$ and a Picard-Vessiot G-extension $K = kE$ of k, such that $K = k(G)$.*

In other words, if there is a PVE of F with group G then the trivial G-torsor can be realized over a finite extension of F. Although this is a known result (see [14, p. 142, Corollary]), for the convenience of the reader we include a short proof using the tools that we develop here.

Proof. Let X be a generic point of G. Then $E = F(Y)$ where $Y = XP$, for a matrix P with coefficients in $\bar{F}$ [7, Section 3]. Let k denote the field generated over F by the entries of P. Then $k(X) = k(Y) \supset F(Y)$ is an algebraic extentension. Therefore, $k(G) = k(X) \supset k$ is a no new constant extension and thus a Picard-Vessiot G-extension. Clearly, $K = k(X) = kE$. □

4. Generic Extensions

First we introduce the following notion, analogous to one for generic polynomial equations (see Kemper [9]).

Definition 4.1. A generic extension $\mathcal{E} \supset \mathcal{F}$ for G is called *descent generic* when the following condition holds: for any differential field F with field of constants $\mathcal{C}$ there is a PVE $E \supset F$ with group $H \leq G$ if and only if there are $f_i \in F$ such that the matrix $\mathcal{A}(f_1, \ldots, f_k)$ is well defined and the equation $X' = X\mathcal{A}(f_1, \ldots, f_k)$ gives rise to the extension $E \supset F$.

Theorem 1. *The extension $\mathcal{F}(Y) \supset \mathcal{F}$ is a generic PVE for* SO_n. *Furthermore, it is descent generic.*

Proof. For convenience, we will use the double subscript notation Y_{ii} for a_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, and put $Y_{ij} = b_{ij}$, $i < j$. We then let $\mathcal{A}(Y_{ij}) = B$.

Suppose that $E \supset F$ is a PVE with group $H \leq \mathrm{SO}_n$. Let X, X_H respectively denote generic points of SO_n and H. Then $E = F(Y)$, where $Y = X_H P$ for some invertible matrix P with coefficients in $\bar{F}$. Moreover, there is an F-algebra homomorphism of coordinate rings

$$F[XP, \det(XP)^{-1}] \twoheadrightarrow F[X_H P, \det(X_H P)^{-1}].$$

Since $X_H P$ is a generic point for an H-torsor we have that XP is a generic point for an SO_n-torsor, and therefore the (twisted) Lie algebra associated to the H-torsor is contained in that for the SO_n-torsor. In turn, this implies that the generic point Y satisfies an equation with matrix $\tilde{B} = \mathcal{A}(f_{ij})$ for some $f_{ij} \in F$.

Likewise, a specialization $\mathcal{A}(f_{ij})$ of $\mathcal{A}(Y_{ij})$ with $f_{ij} \in F$, gives a derivation on the coordinate ring $F[XP, \det(XP)^{-1}]$ of an SO_n-torsor. When extended to the quotient field this derivaton may have new constants. We get a PVE of F by taking the quotient field of the factor ring

$$F[XP, \det(XP)^{-1}]/M,$$

where M is a maximal differential ideal. The differential Galois group in this case is the closed subgroup of SO_n consisting of those elements that stabilize M.

Finally, it is clear that a fundamental matrix for the equation $\eta' = \eta\mathcal{A}(Y_{ij})$ specializes to one for $\eta' = \eta\mathcal{A}(f_{ij})$ since, on the one hand, a solution of $\eta' = \eta\mathcal{A}(Y_{ij})$ is given by a generic point XP of the SO_n-torsor corresponding to the quadratic form

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} & & & \\ & Y_{22} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1/Y_{11}\dots Y_{n-1,n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{Y_{11}} & & & \\ & \sqrt{Y_{22}} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sqrt{1/Y_{11}\dots Y_{n-1,n-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

and X a generic point of SO_n.

On the other hand, a solution of $\eta' = \eta\mathcal{A}(f_{ij})$ is given by a generic point $XP(f_{ij})$ of the SO_n-torsor corresponding to the quadratic form

$$Q(f_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & & & \\ & f_{22} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1/f_{11}\dots f_{n-1,n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$P(f_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{f_{11}} & & & \\ & \sqrt{f_{22}} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sqrt{1/f_{11}\dots f_{n-1,n-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note. In the case of SO_3 we can exhibit a generic point using the classical *Euler parametrization*:

$$X = \frac{1}{x^2+y^2+z^2+w^2} \begin{pmatrix} x^2+y^2-z^2-w^2 & 2xw+2yz & 2yw-2xz \\ 2yz-2xw & x^2-y^2+z^2-w^2 & 2xy+2zw \\ 2xz+2yw & 2zw-2xy & x^2-y^2-z^2+w^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

obtained by interpreting the quaternion $x + yi + zj + wk$ as an isometry by conjugation on the quadratic space with basis i, j, k, where x, y, z and w are indeterminates [2, Theorem 3, Chapter 3]. A generic point for the torsor, is then

$$Y = XP = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2} \times$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} (x^2+y^2-z^2-w^2)\sqrt{a} & 2(xw+yz)\sqrt{b} & 2(yw-xz)/\sqrt{ab} \\ 2(yz-xw)\sqrt{a} & (x^2-y^2+z^2-w^2)\sqrt{b} & 2(xy+zw)/\sqrt{ab} \\ 2(xz+yw)\sqrt{a} & 2(zw-xy)\sqrt{b} & (x^2-y^2-z^2+w^2)/\sqrt{ab} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, this matrix permits specialization of a and b to any non-zero values.

□

Remark. Observe that when the f_{ii} are all 1, the matrix $\mathcal{A}(f_{ij})$ then has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_{12} & f_{13} & \dots & f_{1n} \\ -f_{12} & 0 & f_{23} & \dots & f_{2n} \\ -f_{13} & -f_{23} & 0 & \dots & b_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -f_{1n} & -f_{2n} & -f_{3n} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{SO}_n).$$

Therefore this situation corresponds to the trivial torsor case. In general, if the f_{ii} are (not all equal) constants, the torsor associated to the quadratic form will still be trivial and the specialized matrix will be in a Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{SO}_n)$.

5. Remarks on the general case

In general, when the matrices P parametrizing the G-torsors are not known, it will not be possible to carry out the same kind of explicit construction done here for SO_n. In such a situation we can use the generic extension relative to the trivial torsor [6, Definition 3.1, Theorem 3.3] and obtain the extensions corresponding to nontrivial G-torsors indirectly:

Assume that G is connected and let $\mathcal{E} \supset \mathcal{F}$ be a generic extension for G relative to the trivial G-torsor, with equation $Z' = \mathcal{A}(Y_i)Z$.

Theorem 2. Let F be a differential field with field of constants $\mathcal{C}$. There is a PVE $E \supset F$ with differential Galois group $H \leq G$ if and only if there are a finite extension $k \supset F$, a matrix P with coefficients in k and a specialization $Y_i \mapsto f_i \in k$, such that the equation $Z' = Z(P^{-1}\mathcal{A}(f_i)P + P^{-1}P')$ gives rise to the extension $E \supset F$.

Proof. As before, we let X denote a generic point for G and write $Y = XP$ for a generic point of the G-torsor with $E = F(Y)$. The proof then follows from the description of the twisted Lie algebras [7, Section 3] and the Trivialization Lemma shown in Section 3 of this paper. □

Acknowledgement

The first author is endebted to Michael Singer for conversations and suggestions that led to this work.

References

[1] A. K. Bhandari and N. Sankaran, Generic differential equations and Picard-Vessiot extensions. Ren. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino 52 (1994) 353–358.
[2] J. H. Conway and Derek A. Smith, On Quaternions and Octonions, AK Peters, 2003.
[3] L. Goldman, Specialization and Picard–Vessiot theory, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 85 (1957) 327–356.
[4] F. Grosshans, Observable groups and Hilbert fourteenth problem, Amer. J. Math. 95, (1973), 229–253.
[5] L. Juan, Pure Picard-Vessiot extensions with generic properties, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (2004) 2549-2556.
[6] L. Juan, Generic Picard-Vessiot extensions for connected by finite groups, J. Algebra 312 (2007) 793–800.
[7] L. Juan and A. Ledet, Equivariant vector fields on non-trivial SO_n-torsors and Differential Galois Theory, J. Algebra (2007), doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2007.01.005
[8] L. Juan and A. Magid, Generic rings for Picard-Vessiot extensions and generic differential equations, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 209 (2007), 793-800.
[9] G. Kemper, Generic Polynomials are Descent-Generic, Manuscripta Math. 105 (2001), 139-141.
[10] M. V. Kondratieva, A. B. Levin, A. V. Mikhalev and E. V. Pankatriev, Differential and Difference Dimension Polynomials, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.
[11] A. Magid, Lectures in Differential Galois Theory, University Lecture Series, American Mathematical Society (1994).
[12] M. Van der Put and M. Singer, Galois theory of linear differential equations, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 328, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
[13] J. P. Serre, Galois Cohomology, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
[14] M. F. Singer, Algebraic relations among solutions of Linear Differential Equations: Fano's Theorem, Amer. J. Math. 110 (1988), 115–144.
[15] T. A. Springer, Linear Algebraic Groups, Birkh auser, 1998.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Texas Tech University, Box 41042, Lubbock, Texas 79409-1042, USA
E-mail address: lourdes.juan@ttu.edu and arne.ledet@ttu.edu