Asymptotic expansions of Foias-Saut type for Navier-Stokes equations with decaying non-potential forces

Joint work with Dat Cao (Texas Tech University) and Vincent Martinez (Tulane University)

Luan Hoang

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Texas Tech University

September 27, 2017
Seminar
Institute for Scientific Computing and Applied Mathematics
Indiana University

Outline

- Introduction
- Main results
 - I. Exponentially decaying forces
 - II. Power-decaying forces
- Sketch of proofs
 - I. Case of exponential decay
 - II. Case of power decay

Table of Contents

- Introduction
- Main results
- Sketch of proofs

Introduction

Navier-Stokes equations (NSE) in \mathbb{R}^3 with a potential body force

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla p = f(x, t), \\ \text{div } u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u^{0}(x), \end{cases}$$

u > 0 is the kinematic viscosity, $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ is the unknown velocity field, $p \in \mathbb{R}$ is the unknown pressure, f(x,t) is the body force, u^0 is the initial velocity.

Let L > 0 and $\Omega = (0, L)^3$. The L-periodic solutions:

$$u(x + Le_j) = u(x)$$
 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3, j = 1, 2, 3,$

where $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is the canonical basis in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Zero average condition

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x)dx = 0,$$

Throughout $L=2\pi$ and $\nu=1$.

Functional setting

Let $\mathcal V$ be the set of $\mathbb R^3$ -valued 2π -periodic trigonometric polynomials which are divergence-free and satisfy the zero average condition.

$$H = \text{closure of } \mathcal{V} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega)^3 = H^0(\Omega)^3,$$

$$V = \text{closure of } \mathcal{V} \text{ in } H^1(\Omega)^3, \quad \mathcal{D}(A) = \text{closure of } \mathcal{V} \text{ in } H^2(\Omega)^3.$$

Norm on H: $|u| = ||u||_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Norm on V: $||u|| = |\nabla u|$. The Stokes operator:

$$Au = -\Delta u$$
 for all $u \in \mathcal{D}(A)$.

The bilinear mapping:

$$B(u, v) = \mathbb{P}_L(u \cdot \nabla v) \text{ for all } u, v \in \mathcal{D}(A).$$

 \mathbb{P}_L is the Leray projection from $L^2(\Omega)$ onto H. Spectrum of A:

$$\sigma(A) = \{|k|^2, 0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^3\}.$$

Denote by R_NH the eigenspace of A corresponding to N.

Functional form of NSE

WLOG, assume $f(t) = \mathbb{P}_L f(t)$.

The functional form of the NSE:

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} + Au(t) + B(u(t), u(t)) = f(t), \ t > 0,$$

$$u(0) = u^{0}.$$

Case of potential force: f = 0

Foias-Saut (1987) proved that the solution u(t) has an asymptotic expansion:

$$u(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_j(t)e^{-jt},$$

where $q_i(t)$ is a \mathcal{V} -valued polynomial in t.

This means that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the remainder $v_N(t) = u(t) - \sum_{i=1}^N q_i(t)e^{-jt}$ satisfies

$$||v_N(t)||_{H^m(\Omega)} = O(e^{-(N+\varepsilon)t})$$

as $t \to \infty$, for some $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{N,m} > 0$.

H.-Martinez (2017) proved that the expansion holds in Gevrey spaces:

$$\|e^{\sigma A^{1/2}}v_N(t)\|_{H^m(\Omega)}=O(e^{-(N+\varepsilon)t}),$$

for any $\sigma > 0$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$.

They used Gevrey norm techniques (Foias-Temam 1989) to simplify the proof.

Table of Contents

- Introduction
- 2 Main results
 - I. Exponentially decaying forces
 - II. Power-decaying forces
- Sketch of proofs

Gevrey classes

For $\alpha \geq 0$, $\sigma \geq 0$, define

$$A^{\alpha}e^{\sigma A^{1/2}}u=\sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq 0}|\mathbf{k}|^{2\alpha}\hat{u}(\mathbf{k})e^{\sigma|\mathbf{k}|}e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \text{ for } u=\sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq 0}\hat{u}(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\in H.$$

The domain of $A^{\alpha}e^{\sigma A^{1/2}}$ is

$$G_{\alpha,\sigma} = \mathcal{D}(A^{\alpha} e^{\sigma A^{1/2}}) = \{ u \in H : |u|_{\alpha,\sigma} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |A^{\alpha} e^{\sigma A^{1/2}} u| < \infty \}.$$

• Compare the Sobolev and Gevrey norms:

$$|A^{\alpha}u|=|(A^{\alpha}e^{-\sigma A^{1/2}})e^{\sigma A^{1/2}}u|\leq \left(\frac{2\alpha}{e\sigma}\right)^{2\alpha}|e^{\sigma A^{1/2}}u|.$$

Notation

ullet Denote for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ the space

$$E^{\infty,\sigma} = \bigcap_{\alpha \geq 0} G_{\alpha,\sigma} = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} G_{m,\sigma}.$$

- We will say that an asymptotic expansion holds in $E^{\infty,\sigma}$ if it holds in $G_{\alpha,\sigma}$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$.
- Denote by $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha,\sigma}$ the space of $G_{\alpha,\sigma}$ -valued polynomials in case $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and the space of $E^{\infty,\sigma}$ -valued polynomials in case $\alpha = \infty$.

Definition

Let X be a real vector space.

- (a) An X-valued polynomial is a function $t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^d a_n t^n$, for some $d \geq 0$, and a_n 's belonging to X.
- (b) In case $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on X, a function g(t) from $(0,\infty)$ to X is said to have the asymptotic expansion

$$g(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(t) e^{-nt} \text{ in } X,$$

where $g_n(t)$'s are X-valued polynomials, if for all $N \ge 1$, there exists $\varepsilon_N > 0$ such that

$$\left\|g(t)-\sum_{n=1}^Ng_n(t)e^{-nt}\right\|=\mathcal{O}(e^{-(N+arepsilon_N)t}) ext{ as } t o\infty.$$

I. Exponentially decaying forces [H.-Martinez 2017]

Assumptions.

- **(A1)** The function f(t) is continuous from $[0, \infty)$ to H.
- (A2) There are a number $\sigma_0 \geq 0$, E^{∞,σ_0} -valued polynomials $f_n(t)$ for all $n \geq 1$, and a sequence of numbers $\delta_n \in (0,1)$ for all $n \geq 1$ such that for each $N \geq 1$

$$\left|f(t) - \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(t) e^{-nt}\right|_{\alpha,\sigma_0} = \mathcal{O}(e^{-(N+\delta_N)t}) \quad \text{as } t\to\infty, \quad \text{for all } \alpha\geq 0.$$

That is, the force f(t) admits the following expansion in G_{α,σ_0} for all $\alpha \geq 0$:

$$f(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(t)e^{-nt}$$
.

Remarks

The followings are direct consequences of the Assumptions.

- (a) For each $\alpha > 0$ that f(t) belongs to G_{α,σ_0} for t large.
- (b) When N=1,

$$|f(t)-f_1(t)e^{-t}|_{\alpha,\sigma_0}=\mathcal{O}(e^{-(1+\delta_1)t}).$$

Since $f_1(t)$ is a polynomial, it follows that

$$|f(t)|_{\alpha,\sigma_0} = \mathcal{O}(e^{-\lambda t}) \quad \forall \lambda \in (0,1), \forall \alpha > 0.$$

(c) Combining with Assumption (A1), for each $\lambda \in (0,1)$, there is $M_{\lambda}>0$ such that

$$|f(t)| \leq M_{\lambda}e^{-\lambda t} \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Theorem (Asymptotic expansion, H.-Martinez 2017)

Let u(t) be a Leray-Hopf weak solution. Then there exist polynomials $q_n \in \mathcal{P}^{\infty,\sigma_0}$, for all $n \geq 1$, such that u(t) has the asymptotic expansion

$$u(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n(t) e^{-nt}$$
 in E^{∞,σ_0} .

Moreover, the mappings

$$u_n(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=\!\!\!=} q_n(t) e^{-nt}$$
 and $F_n(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=\!\!\!=} f_n(t) e^{-nt}$,

satisfy the following ordinary differential equations in the space E^{∞,σ_0}

$$\frac{d}{dt}u_n(t) + Au_n(t) + \sum_{\substack{k,m \geq 1 \\ k+m-n}} B(u_k(t), u_m(t)) = F_n(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (\star)$$

for all n > 1.

Finite asymptotic approximation

Theorem (Finite asymptotic approximation, H.-Martinez 2017)

Suppose there exist an integer $N_* \geq 1$, real numbers $\sigma_0 \geq 0$, $\mu_* \geq \alpha_* \geq N_*/2$, and, for any $1 \leq n \leq N_*$, numbers $\delta_n \in (0,1)$ and polynomials $f_n \in \mathcal{P}^{\mu_n,\sigma_0}$, such that

$$\left|f(t)-\sum_{n=1}^N f_n(t)e^{-nt}\right|_{lpha_N,\sigma_0}=\mathcal{O}(e^{-(N+\delta_N)t})\quad as\ t o\infty,$$

for $1 \le N \le N_*$, where

$$\mu_n = \mu_* - (n-1)/2, \quad \alpha_n = \alpha_* - (n-1)/2.$$

Theorem (continued)

Let u(t) be a Leray-Hopf weak.

(i) Then there exist polynomials $q_n \in \mathcal{P}^{\mu_n+1,\sigma_0}$, for $1 \leq n \leq N_*$, such that one has for $1 \leq N \leq N_*$ that

$$\left|u(t) - \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_n(t)e^{-nt}\right|_{\alpha_N,\sigma_0} = \mathcal{O}(e^{-(N+\varepsilon)t}) \quad \text{as } t \to \infty, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0,\delta_N^*),$$

where $\delta_N^* = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_N\}$. Moreover, the ODEs

$$\frac{d}{dt}u_n(t) + Au_n(t) + \sum_{\substack{k,m \geq 1 \\ k+m=n}} B(u_k(t), u_m(t)) = F_n(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (\star)$$

hold in the corresponding space G_{μ_n,σ_0} for $1 \leq n \leq N_*$. (ii) In particular, if all $f_n(t)$'s belong to \mathcal{V} , resp., E^{∞,σ_0} , then so do all $q_n(t)$'s, and the ODEs (\star) hold in \mathcal{V} , resp., E^{∞,σ_0} .

II. Power-decaying forces [Cao-H. 2017]

Power asymptotic expansion in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$:

$$g(t) \stackrel{\text{pow.}}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n t^{-n}$$

means

$$\|g(t) - \sum_{n=1}^N g_n t^{-n}\| = \mathcal{O}(t^{-(N+\varepsilon)}), \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0, \quad t \to \infty.$$

Theorem (Power asymptotic expansion, Cao-H. 2017)

Assume that f(t) has the asymptotic expansion

$$f(t) \stackrel{\text{pow.}}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n t^{-n}$$
 in G_{α,σ_0} ,

for some fixed numbers $\sigma_0 \geq 0$ and $\alpha \geq 1/2$, where $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in G_{α,σ_0} . Then any Leray-Hopf weak solution u(t) has the asymptotic expansion

$$u(t) \stackrel{\text{pow.}}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n t^{-n}$$
 in G_{α,σ_0} ,

where $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is explicitly defined as follows

$$\xi_1 = A^{-1}\phi_1,$$

 $\xi_n = (n-1)A^{-1}\xi_{n-1} - \sum_{k,m \ge 1, k+m=n} A^{-1}B(\xi_k, \xi_m) + A^{-1}\phi_n \quad \text{for } n \ge 2.$

Next decay form is exponential

Theorem

If $\bar{u}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\xi_nt^{-n}$ converges absolutely and uniformly in $G_{\alpha+1,\sigma_0}$ on $[T,\infty)$, and $f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\phi_nt^{-n}$ converges absolutely and uniformly in G_{α,σ_0} on $[T,\infty)$, then

$$|u(t)-\bar{u}(t)|_{\alpha,\sigma_0}=\mathcal{O}(e^{-(1-\varepsilon)t}).$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Table of Contents

- Introduction
- 2 Main results
- Sketch of proofs
 - I. Case of exponential decay
 - II. Case of power decay

Estimates for the bilinear form

Lemma

If $\alpha \geq 1/2$ then

$$|B(u,v)|_{\alpha,\sigma} \leq K^{\alpha} |u|_{\alpha+1/2,\sigma} |v|_{\alpha+1/2,\sigma},$$

for all $u, v \in G_{\alpha+1/2,\sigma}$.

I. Case of exponential decay

Recall

$$f(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(t) e^{-nt} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(t).$$

Need to prove

$$u(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n(t)e^{-nt}.$$

Small data

Proposition

Let $\delta \in (0,1), \lambda \in (1-\delta,1]$ and $\sigma \geq 0, \alpha \geq 1/2$. There are $C_0, C_1 > 0$ such that if

$$|A^{\alpha}u^{0}| \leq C_{0}, \quad |f(t)|_{\alpha-1/2,\sigma} \leq C_{1}e^{-\lambda t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

then there exists a unique solution $u \in C([0,\infty),\mathcal{D}(A^{\alpha}))$ that satisfies and

$$|u(t)|_{\alpha,\sigma} \leq \sqrt{2}C_0e^{-(1-\delta)t}, \quad \forall t \geq t_*,$$

where $t_* = 6\sigma/\delta$. Moreover, one has for all $t \ge t_*$ that

$$\int_{t}^{t+1} |A^{\alpha+1/2}u(\tau)|^2 d\tau \leq \frac{2C_0^2}{1-\delta} e^{-2(1-\delta)t}.$$

Long-time estimates for the solution

Theorem

For $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$, there exists a positive number T_0 such that

$$|u(T_0+t)|_{\alpha,\sigma_0} \le e^{-(1-\delta)t} \quad \forall t \ge 0,$$

and

$$|B(u(T_0+t), u(T_0+t))|_{\alpha,\sigma_0} \le e^{-2(1-\delta)t} \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

Note: Can use different bootstrapping procedures for $\sigma_0 > 0$ (faster) and $\sigma_0 = 0$ (gradually).

Proof of Asymptotic Expansion. First step N=1

Let $w_0(t) = e^t u(t)$ and $w_{0,k}(t) = R_k w_0(t)$. We have

$$\frac{d}{dt}w_0 + (A-1)w_0 = f_1 + H_1(t),$$

where

$$H_1(t) = e^t(f - F_1 - B(u, u)).$$

Taking the projection R_k gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}w_{0,k}+(k-1)w_{0,k}=R_kf_1+R_kH_1(t).$$

Note that $R_k f_1(t)$ is a polynomial in $R_k H$.

Fact: there are $T_0 > 0$ and $M \ge 1$ such that for $t \ge 0$,

$$e^{t}|f(T_{0}+t)-F_{1}(T_{0}+t)|_{\alpha,\sigma_{0}}\leq Me^{-\delta_{1}t}$$

$$e^t |B(u(T_0+t), u(T_0+t))|_{\alpha,\sigma_0} \le e^{-2(1-\delta)t+t} \le e^{-\delta_1 t}.$$

Then, by setting $M_1 = M + 1$, we have

$$|H_1(T_0+t)|_{\alpha,\sigma_0} \leq M_1 e^{-\delta_1 t} \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Lemma

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. Suppose y(t) is in $C([0, \infty), X)$ and $C^1((0, \infty), X)$ that solves the following ODE

$$\frac{dy}{dt} + \alpha y = p(t) + g(t) \quad \text{for } t > 0,$$

where constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, p(t) is a X-valued polynomial in t, and $g(t) \in C([0,\infty),X)$ satisfies

$$||g(t)|| \le Me^{-\delta t} \quad \forall t \ge 0, \quad \text{for some } M, \delta > 0.$$

Define q(t) for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$q(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\alpha t} \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{\alpha \tau} p(\tau) d\tau & \text{if } \alpha > 0, \\ y(0) + \int_{0}^{\infty} g(\tau) d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} p(\tau) d\tau & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ -e^{-\alpha t} \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{\alpha \tau} p(\tau) d\tau & \text{if } \alpha < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then q(t) is an X-valued polynomial that satisfies

$$\frac{dq(t)}{dt} + \alpha q(t) = p(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and the following estimates hold.

(i) If $\alpha > 0$ then

$$\|y(t)-q(t)\| \le \Big(\|y(0)-q(0)\| + \frac{M}{|\alpha-\delta|}\Big)e^{-\min\{\delta,\alpha\}t}, t \ge 0, \text{ for } \alpha \ne \delta,$$

and

$$||y(t) - q(t)|| \le (||y(0) - q(0)|| + Mt)e^{-\delta t}, t \ge 0, \text{ for } \alpha = \delta.$$

(ii) If $(\alpha = 0)$ or $(\alpha < 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{\alpha t}y(t) = 0)$ then

$$||y(t) - q(t)|| \le \frac{Me^{-\delta t}}{|\alpha - \delta|} \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

For the Lemma, we just use the following elementary identities: for $\beta > 0$, integer $d \ge 0$, and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^t \tau^d \mathrm{e}^{\beta \tau} \ d\tau = \mathrm{e}^{\beta t} \sum_{n=0}^d \frac{(-1)^{d-n} d!}{n! \beta^{d+1-n}} t^n,$$

$$\int_{t}^{\infty} \tau^{d} e^{-\beta \tau} d\tau = e^{-\beta t} \sum_{n=0}^{d} \frac{d!}{n! \beta^{d+1-n}} t^{n}.$$

N=1 (continued). Then there exists a polynomial $q_1(t)$ such that

$$|w_0(t)-q_1(t)|_{lpha,\sigma_0}=\mathcal{O}(e^{-\delta t}).$$

Hence

$$|u(t) - q_1(t)e^{-t}|_{\alpha,\sigma_0} = \mathcal{O}(e^{-(1+\delta)t}).$$

Induction step

Denote $\varepsilon_* \in (0, \delta_{N+1}^*)$ and $\bar{u}_N(t) = \sum_{n=1}^N u_n(t)$.

Remainder $v_N(t)=u(t)-ar{u}_N(t)$ satisfies for any eta>0 that

$$|v_N(t)|_{eta,\sigma_0}=\mathcal{O}(e^{-(N+arepsilon_*)t}) ext{ as } t o\infty.$$

Evolution of v_N :

$$\frac{d}{dt}v_{N} + Av_{N} + \sum_{m+j=N+1} B(u_{m}, u_{j}) = F_{N+1}(t) + h_{N}(t),$$

where

$$h_N(t) = -B(v_N, u) - B(\bar{u}_N, v_N) - \sum_{\substack{1 \le m, j \le N \\ m+j \ge \bar{N}+2}} B(u_m, u_j) + \tilde{F}_{N+1}(t),$$

$$\tilde{F}_{N+1}(t) = f(t) - \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} F_n(t).$$

Fact:

$$h_N(t) = \mathcal{O}_{\alpha,\sigma_0}(e^{-(N+1+\varepsilon_*)t}).$$

Let $w_N(t) = e^{(N+1)t}v_N(t)$ and $w_{N,k} = R_k w_N(t)$. The ODE for $w_{N,k}$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}w_{N,k} + (k - (N+1))w_{N,k} + \sum_{m+j=N+1} R_k B(q_m, q_j) = R_k f_{N+1} + H_{N,k},$$

with $H_{N,k} = e^{(N+1)t} R_k h_N(t)$.

Fact:

$$|H_{N,k}|_{\alpha,\sigma_0}=\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon_*t}).$$

Then there are $T > T_0$ and M > 0 such that for $t \ge 0$

$$|H_{N,k}(T+t)|_{\alpha,\sigma_0} \leq Me^{-\varepsilon_* t}$$
.

Case k = N + 1

By Lemma(ii), there is a polynomial $q_{N+1,N+1}(t)$ valued in $R_{N+1}H$ such that

$$|w_{N,N+1}(T+t)-q_{N+1,N+1}(t)|_{\alpha,\sigma_0}=\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon_*t}),$$

thus,

$$|R_{N+1}w_N(t)-q_{N+1,N+1}(t-T)|_{\alpha,\sigma_0}=\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon_*t}).$$

Case $k \leq N$

Note

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{(k-(N+1))t} w_{N,k}(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} e^{kt} R_k v_N(t) = 0.$$

Applying Lemma(ii) with $\alpha=k-N-1<0$, there is a polynomial $q_{N+1,k}(t)$ valued in R_kH such that

$$|w_{N,k}(T+t)-q_{N+1,k}(t)|_{\alpha,\sigma_0}=\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon_*t}),$$

$$|R_k w_N(t) - q_{N+1,k}(t-T)|_{\alpha,\sigma_0} = \mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon_* t}).$$

Case k > N + 2

Similarly, applying Lemma(i), there is a polynomial $q_{N+1,k}(t)$ valued in R_kH such that

$$|w_{N,k}(T+t)-q_{N+1,k}(t)|_{lpha,\sigma_0} \leq \Big(|R_k v_N(T)|_{lpha,\sigma_0} + |q_{N+1,k}(0)|_{lpha,\sigma_0} + rac{M}{k-(N+1)}\Big)e^{-arepsilon_* t}.$$

Thus

$$|R_k w_N(t) - q_{N+1,k}(t-T)|_{\alpha,\sigma_0} \leq e^{\varepsilon_* T} \Big(|R_k v_N(T)|_{\alpha,\sigma_0} + |q_{N+1,k}(0)|_{\alpha,\sigma_0} + \frac{M}{k-(N+1)} \Big) e^{-\varepsilon_* t}.$$

Polynomial $q_{N+1}(t)$

Define $q_{N+1}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{N+1,k}(t-T)$. Then squaring and summing in k, we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{k=N+2}^{\infty} |R_k w_N(t) - q_{N+1,k}(t-T))|_{\alpha,\sigma_0}^2 \\ & \leq 3e^{2\varepsilon_* T} \Big(\sum_{k=N+2}^{\infty} |R_k v_N(T)|_{\alpha,\sigma_0}^2 + \sum_{k=N+2}^{\infty} |R_k q_{N+1}(T)|_{\alpha,\sigma_0}^2 \\ & + \sum_{k=N+2}^{\infty} \frac{M^2}{(k-(N+1))^2} \Big) e^{-2\varepsilon_* t} \\ & = \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\varepsilon_* t}). \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$|w_N(t)-q_{N+1}(t)|_{\alpha,\sigma_0}=\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon_*t}),$$

therefore,

$$|v_N(t) - e^{-(N+1)t}q_{N+1}(t)|_{\alpha,\sigma_0} = \mathcal{O}(e^{-(N+1+\varepsilon_*)t}).$$

Check ODE for $u_{N+1}(t)$

The polynomial $q_{N+1}(t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}R_kq_{N+1}(t)+(k-(N+1))R_kq_{N+1}(t)+\sum_{m+j=N+1}R_kB(q_m,q_j)=R_kf_{N+1}(t),$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}R_k u_{N+1}(t) + kR_k u_{N+1}(t) + \sum_{m+j=N+1} R_k B(u_m, u_j) = R_k F_{N+1}(t) \quad \forall k \geq 1,$$

which we rewrite as

$$\frac{d}{dt}u_{N+1}(t) + Au_{N+1}(t) + \sum_{m+j=N+1} B(u_m, u_j) = F_{N+1}(t).$$

II. Case of power decay

Recall

$$f(t) \stackrel{\text{pow.}}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n t^{-n}.$$

Need to prove

$$u(t) \stackrel{\text{pow.}}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n t^{-n},$$

$$\xi_1 = A^{-1}\phi_1,$$

 $\xi_n = (n-1)A^{-1}\xi_{n-1} - \sum_{k,m \ge 1, k+m=n} A^{-1}B(\xi_k, \xi_m) + A^{-1}\phi_n \text{ for } n \ge 2.$

Proposition (Higher regularity)

If $\phi_n \in G_{\alpha,\sigma_0}$ for all n, some $\alpha \geq 1/2$, then

$$\xi_n \in G_{\alpha+1,\sigma_0} \quad \forall n.$$

Power-decay for weak solutions

Proposition

Assume there are numbers $M_*, \lambda_* > 0$ such that

$$|f(t)| \leq M_*(1+t)^{-\lambda_*}, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

and, additionally, that there are $\sigma \geq 0$, $\alpha \geq 1/2$ and $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that

$$|f(t)|_{lpha,\sigma}=\mathcal{O}(t^{-\lambda_0}) \quad ext{as } t o\infty.$$

Let u(t) be a Leray-Hopf weak solution. Then for any $\lambda \in (0,\lambda_0)$, there exists $T_*>0$ such that u(t) is a regular solution on $[T_*,\infty)$, and one has for all $t\geq 0$ that

$$|u(T_*+t)|_{\alpha+1/2,\sigma} \le Ct^{-\lambda},$$

$$|B(u(T_*+t), u(T_*+t))|_{\alpha,\sigma} \le Ct^{-2\lambda}.$$

Main ideas of the induction step

Define

$$ar{u}_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n t^{-n}, \quad v_N = u - ar{u}_N, ext{ and } \quad ilde{F}_{N+1}(t) = f(t) - \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} \phi_n t^{-n}.$$

Then

$$|v_N(t)|_{lpha,\sigma_0} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-(N+arepsilon)}).$$
 $| ilde{\mathcal{F}}_{N+1}(t)|_{lpha,\sigma_0} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-(N+arepsilon)}).$

Let
$$w_N(t) = t^{N+1}v_N(t)$$
 then
$$w_N' = -Aw_N + t^{N+1} \left\{ -\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{t^n} \left(A\xi_n + \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k+j=n} B(\xi_m, \xi_j) - (n-1)\xi_{n-1} - \phi_n \right) \right\} - \sum_{\substack{k+j=N+1 \\ m+j \geq N+2}} B(\xi_m, \xi_j) + \phi_{N+1} + N\xi_N + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m,j \leq N \\ m+j \geq N+2}} t^{-m-j} B(\xi_m, \xi_j)$$

 $+ t^{N+1}(-B(\bar{u}_N, v_N) - B(v_N, \bar{u}_N) - B(v_N, v_N)$

 $+\tilde{F}_{N+1})+(N+1)t^{N}v_{N}$

Since

$$A\xi_n + \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k+i=n} B(\xi_m, \xi_j) - (n-1)\xi_{n-1} - \phi_n = 0 \text{ for } 1 \le n \le N,$$

and

$$-\sum_{k+j=N+1} B(\xi_m, \xi_j) + \phi_{N+1} + N\xi_N = A\xi_{N+1},$$

we obtain

$$w_N' = -Aw_N + A\xi_{N+1} + H_N(t),$$

where

$$\begin{split} H_{N}(t) &= -t^{N+1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, j \leq N \\ m+j \geq N+2}} t^{-(m+j)} B(\xi_{m}, \xi_{j}) \\ &+ t^{N+1} (-B(\bar{u}_{N}, v_{N}) - B(v_{N}, \bar{u}_{N}) - B(v_{N}, v_{N}) + \tilde{F}_{N+1}) + (N+1) t^{N} v_{N} \end{split}$$

We carefully control norms of $H_N(t)$. Term by term:

$$|B(\xi_{m},\xi_{j})|_{\alpha+1/2,\sigma_{0}},|v_{N}|_{\alpha,\sigma_{0}},|\tilde{F}_{N+1}|_{\alpha,\sigma_{0}},|B(\bar{u}_{N},v_{N})|_{\alpha-1/2,\sigma_{0}},|B(v_{N},\bar{u}_{N})|_{\alpha-1/2,\sigma_{0}},|B(v_{N},v_{N})|_{\alpha-1/2,\sigma_{0}}.$$

Therefore,

$$|H_N(t)|_{\alpha-1/2,\sigma_0}=\mathcal{O}(t^{-\delta}).$$

Lemma

If $\xi \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\sigma}$, and $|f(t)|_{\alpha,\sigma} \leq M(1+t)^{-\lambda}$ and

$$y' = -Ay + \xi + f(t).$$

For $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, there exist $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$ and T > 0 such that

$$|y(t) - A^{-1}\xi|_{\alpha+1-\varepsilon,\sigma} \le C_{\varepsilon}(1+t)^{-\lambda+\varepsilon} \quad t \ge T.$$

Note that $A\xi_{N+1} \in G_{\alpha,\sigma_0} \subset G_{\alpha-1/2,\sigma_0}$.

Hence applying ODE lemma with power-decay forcing gives

$$|w_N(t)-A^{-1}(A\xi_{N+1})|_{\alpha-1/2+1-\varepsilon',\sigma_0}=\mathcal{O}(t^{-\delta+\varepsilon'}),$$

that is

$$|w_N(t) - \xi_{N+1}|_{\alpha+1/2-\varepsilon',\sigma_0} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-\delta+\varepsilon'}),$$

for sufficiently small $\varepsilon' > 0$.

This shows

$$\begin{aligned} |v_{N+1}(t)|_{\mathcal{G}_{\alpha+1/2-\varepsilon',\sigma_0}} &= |v_N(t) - \xi_{N+1} t^{-N-1}|_{\alpha+1/2-\varepsilon',\sigma_0} \\ &= |t^{-N-1}(w_N(t) - \xi_{N+1})|_{\alpha+1/2-\varepsilon',\sigma_0} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(t^{-N-1-\delta+\varepsilon',\sigma_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking small ε' gives what we desire for the induction step.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION.