

Elastica Constrained Problem in Hypersurfaces of Lorentzian Space Forms*

Álvaro Pámpano Llarena

University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Department of Mathematics, Bilbao, Spain

alvaro.pampano@ehu.eus

Abstract

A curve immersed in a pseudo-Riemannian manifold is called an elastic curve if it is a critical point of the bending energy [1]. The purpose of this poster is to present a few author's recent results on geodesics of hypersurfaces in a Lorentzian space form which are critical curves for the bending energy, but for variations constrained to lie on the hypersurface: the elastica constrained problem [3], [5]. First, the classification into three different types of critical geodesics for the constrained problem will be presented, in terms of their Frenet curvatures [2]. Finally, restricting ourselves to the flat Minkowski space \mathbb{L}^3 , surfaces which are foliated by critical geodesics of each type will be studied (and classified in two of these cases) [2]. Special emphasis will be put in the warped product metric of Hashimoto surfaces [4], which are foliated by critical geodesics of the third type [2].

1. Elasticae Constrained Problem

Elastic curves or, simply, *elasticae* are defined as those curves which are critical for the bending energy functional

$$\mathcal{F}(\gamma) := \int_{\gamma} \left(\varepsilon_2 \left\langle \frac{D\dot{\gamma}}{ds}, \frac{D\dot{\gamma}}{ds} \right\rangle + \lambda \right) ds, \quad (1)$$

where ε_2 is the causal character of $\frac{D\dot{\gamma}}{ds}$.

Now, let $\phi : M_r^{n-1} \rightarrow M_r^n(c)$ be a semi-Riemannian hypersurface of index r isometrically immersed in a Lorentzian space form $M_r^n(c)$. We are interested in those curves γ of the hypersurface which are critical points of the bending energy (1) for variations contained in M_r^{n-1} , the *elastica constrained problem in hypersurfaces*.

Choose two arbitrary points $p_i \in M_r^{n-1}$ and vectors $v_i \in T_{p_i} M_r^{n-1}$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$, and consider the space of curves

$$\Omega = \left\{ \beta : I \rightarrow M_r^{n-1} \text{ s.t. } \left\langle \frac{d\beta}{dt}, \frac{d\beta}{dt} \right\rangle (t) \neq 0, \beta(i) = p_i, \frac{d\beta}{dt}(i) = v_i, i \in \{0, 1\} \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where, $\frac{d\beta}{dt}(t)$ denotes the derivative with respect to the parameter $t \in I$, I being any real interval. We wish now to analyze the variational problem associated to the energy (1) acting on Ω .

From the first variation formula of \mathcal{F} along γ , and due to the initial and boundary conditions of the variation we obtain the *Euler-Lagrange operator*

$$\mathcal{E}(\gamma) = 2\varepsilon_2 \frac{D^3 T}{ds^3} + 3\varepsilon_1 \frac{D(\kappa^2 T)}{ds} + \varepsilon_1 (2c\varepsilon_2 - \lambda) \frac{DT}{ds}. \quad (3)$$

Now, since $\gamma \subset M_r^{n-1}$ and we are taking variations in M_r^{n-1} , the variation field W is tangent to M_r^{n-1} along γ . So only the tangential part of \mathcal{E} affects the first variation formula and γ is a critical point of \mathcal{F} , if and only if,

$$\tan(\mathcal{E}(\gamma)) = 0, \quad (4)$$

where $\tan(\cdot)$ denotes tangential projection on M_r^{n-1} .

2. Critical Geodesics

A *geodesic* is a constant speed curve whose tangent vector is parallel propagated along itself, i.e. a curve whose tangent, $\gamma'(t) = T(t)$, satisfies the equation $\frac{DT(t)}{dt} = 0$. Geodesics will be called *Frenet curves of rank 1* where an immersed curve in a Lorentzian manifold $\gamma : I \rightarrow M_1^n$ is called a *Frenet curve of rank m* , $2 \leq m \leq n$, if m is the highest integer for which there exists an orthonormal frame defined along γ , $\{e_1(t) = \gamma'(t), e_2(t), \dots, e_m(t)\}$ and non-negative smooth functions on γ , $\kappa_i(t)$, $t \in I$, $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ (*Frenet curvatures*), such that the *Frenet-Serret equations* are satisfied. Obviously, geodesics have zero curvature.

Proposition 1 [2] Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow M_r^{n-1} \subset M_r^n(c)$ be a Frenet curve of rank m which is geodesic of M_r^{n-1} . Assume that \mathcal{F} is acting on Ω . Then γ is a critical point of \mathcal{F} (i.e., for the hypersurface constrained problem), if and only if, one of the following cases occurs:

1. Rank of γ is 1, i.e. γ is a geodesic of $M_1^n(c)$;
2. Rank of γ is 2, that is, the torsion of γ vanishes, $\kappa_2 = 0$;
3. γ is a Frenet curve of rank 3 satisfying

$$\kappa_1^2 \kappa_2 = d, \quad (5)$$

where, $d \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant and κ_1, κ_2 are the two first Frenet curvatures of γ in $M_1^n(c)$. Moreover, in all above cases γ lies fully in a totally geodesic submanifold $E^l \subset M_1^n(c)$ of dimension $l = \text{rank } \gamma$, $1 \leq m \leq 3$.

3. Surfaces of \mathbb{L}^3 Foliated by Critical Geodesics

Consider the *Minkowsky 3-space* \mathbb{L}^3 , that is, the flat Lorentzian 3-space \mathbb{R}^3 equipped with the metric

$$g_0 = -dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2, \quad (6)$$

where (x_1, x_2, x_3) is the standard rectangular coordinate system. Now, we can study the surfaces of \mathbb{L}^3 foliated by critical geodesics of the three different types of Proposition 1.

• **Type 1.** A *ruled surface* S in 3-space \mathbb{L}^3 is defined by the property that it admits a parametrization $x(s, t) = \alpha(s) + tX(s)$ where $\alpha(s)$ is a connected piece of a regular curve and $X(s)$ is a nowhere vanishing vector field along the curve. Thus, rulings ($s = \text{constant}$) of S are geodesics of \mathbb{L}^3 and ruled surfaces are examples of surfaces foliated by curves of the first type of Proposition 1.

• **Type 2.** A non-null unit speed curve of \mathbb{L}^3 with $\tau = 0$ lies in an affine plane. A curve with $\tau = 0$ is going to be called a *planar* curve. Then, we have the following result

Proposition 2 [2] Let $\delta : I_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^3$ be a non-null arc-length parametrized curve $\delta(t)$ in \mathbb{L}^3 , and let $\{T_\delta(t), N_\delta(t), B_\delta(t)\}$ denote its Frenet frame. We also denote by $P_{t_0} := \text{span}\{N_\delta(t_0), B_\delta(t_0)\}$ the normal plane to $\delta(t)$ at $t_0 \in I_1$.

A) Suppose first that $\delta(t)$ is spacelike and take any non-null arc-length parametrized curve $\gamma(s) = (\gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(s))$ in the timelike plane $P_{t_0}, \gamma : I_2 \rightarrow P_{t_0}$. Then

1) If $\delta''(t)$ is non-null, define the surface $x : U = I_1 \times I_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^3$ given by

$$x(s, t) = \delta(t) + \gamma_1(s) (\cosh \zeta(t) N_\delta(t) + \sinh \zeta(t) B_\delta(t)) + \gamma_2(s) (\cosh \zeta(t) B_\delta(t) + \sinh \zeta(t) N_\delta(t)), \quad (7)$$

where ζ satisfies $\epsilon \zeta'(t) = \tau_\delta(t)$, $\tau_\delta(t)$ denotes the torsion of $\delta(t)$ and ϵ is the causal character of $\delta''(t)$. Then, the immersion (U, x_α) given in (7) defines a surface of \mathbb{L}^3 foliated by planar geodesics.

2) If $\delta''(t)$ is null, consider the surface $x : U = I_1 \times I_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^3$ defined by

$$x_\alpha(s, t) = \alpha \delta(t) + \gamma_1(s) \left(\frac{1}{2d} (\cosh \zeta(t) - \sinh \zeta(t)) N_\delta(t) + d (\cosh \zeta(t) + \sinh \zeta(t)) B_\delta(t) \right) + \gamma_2(s) \left(\frac{1}{2d} (\sinh \zeta(t) - \cosh \zeta(t)) N_\delta(t) + d (\cosh \zeta(t) + \sinh \zeta(t)) B_\delta(t) \right), \quad (8)$$

where $d \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\zeta'(t) = \tau_\delta(t)$ is the torsion of $\delta(t)$. Then, the immersion (U, x_α) given in (8) defines a surface of \mathbb{L}^3 foliated by planar geodesics of (U, x_α) .

Moreover, in both cases, the pseudoriemannian character of the surface is determined by that of γ , that is, (U, x_α) is Riemannian (respectively, Lorentzian) if and only if γ is spacelike (respectively, timelike).

B) Assume now that $\delta(t)$ is timelike. Take any non-null arclength parametrized curve $\gamma(s) = (\gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(s))$ in the spacelike plane P_{t_0} . Then

$$x_\alpha(s, t) = \alpha \delta(t) + \gamma_1(s) (\cos \zeta(t) N_\delta(t) - \sin \zeta(t) B_\delta(t)) + \gamma_2(s) (\cos \zeta(t) B_\delta(t) + \sin \zeta(t) N_\delta(t)), \quad (9)$$

where $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\zeta'(t) = \tau_\delta(t)$ is the torsion of $\delta(t)$. Then, the immersion (U, x_α) given in (9) defines a Lorentzian surface of \mathbb{L}^3 foliated by planar geodesics of (U, x_α) .

Conversely, locally, any surface M_r^2 in \mathbb{L}^3 foliated by non-null planar geodesics is either a ruled surface or it can be constructed as described in (7), (8) and (9).

• **Type 3.** Examples of surfaces foliated by curves of the third type of Proposition 1 are given by *Hashimoto Surfaces* [2], [4].

4. Hashimoto Surfaces

For a *Hashimoto surface* S_γ the filament evolution $x(s, t)$ under *LIE* implies that the vortex curves (t -curves) $x(s, t_0)$ are geodesics in S_γ and then $x(s, t)$ gives a parametrization of S_γ where, as a consequence of the equivalence between the *binormal flow* and the *LIE*, the induced metric is a *warped product metric*,

$$g = \varepsilon_1 ds^2 + \varepsilon_3 \kappa^2 dt^2, \quad (10)$$

κ being the curvature of γ in \mathbb{L}^3 . Hence, one can see that the Gauss-Codazzi equations are

$$\kappa_t = -\varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 (2\kappa_s \tau + \kappa \tau_s), \quad (11)$$

$$\tau_t = \varepsilon_2 (\varepsilon_2 \frac{\kappa_{ss}}{\kappa} - \varepsilon_3 \tau^2 + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_1 \kappa^2)_s, \quad (12)$$

the *Lorentzian Da Rios equations* [2].

Lorentzian Hashimoto surfaces have the following properties (which are an extension of the Riemannian version)

Proposition 3 [2] With the previous notation, let S_γ be a Hashimoto surface having by initial condition a Frenet curve of rank 2 or 3 in \mathbb{L}^3 , $\gamma(s)$, parametrized by proper time. Denote by $x(s, t)$ the parametrization of S_γ determined by *LIE*. Then

1. If all vortex curves are planar then they are elastica in either a Riemannian or a Lorentzian plane. The corresponding Hashimoto surface is described in Proposition 2 and if δ'' is not null, then S_γ is either a right cylinder on a Lorentzian circle, or a rotation surface shaped on a planar elastica γ of either \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{L}^2 .
2. The initial vortex curve $\gamma(s)$ evolves by rigid motions under *LIE*, if and only if, it is an elastica in \mathbb{L}^3 . As a consequence, a rank 3 elastica $\gamma(s)$ in \mathbb{L}^3 evolves under *LIE* (by rigid motions) and the different positions of the vortex curve over time give a foliation of the associated Hashimoto surface by S_γ -constrained elastic geodesics of type 3 in Proposition 1.

References

- [1] L. Euler, "Methodus Inveniendi Lineas Curvas Maximi Minimive Proprietate Gaudentes", *Sive Solutio Problematis Isoperimetrici Lattissimo Sensu Accepti*, Bousquet, Lausannae et Genevae E 65A., O.O. Ser. I, vol 24, 1744.
- [2] O.J. Garay, A. Pámpano and C. Woo, "Hypersurface Constrained Elasticae in Lorentzian Space Forms", *Advances in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 2015 (2015), Article ID 458178, 13p.
- [3] O.J. Garay and M. Pauley, "Critical curves for a Santaló problem in 3-space forms", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 398 (2013), 80-99.
- [4] H. Hashimoto, "Motion of a Vortex Filament and its Relation to Elastica", *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, vol. 31 (1971), 293-294.
- [5] L.A. Santaló, "Curvas sobre una superficie extremales de una función de la curvatura y torsión", *Abhandlungen der Hamburgische Universiteit*, vol. 20 (1956), 216-222.