

# The Euler-Helfrich Variational Problem

## Álvaro Pámpano Llarena

**PDGMP Seminar** Texas Tech University

Lubbock, March 17 (2021)

Let  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be an immersion of an oriented surface  $\Sigma$ .

Let  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be an immersion of an oriented surface  $\Sigma$ .

• J. Lagrange: The area (functional)

$$\mathcal{A}[\Sigma] := \int_{\Sigma} d\Sigma.$$

Let  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be an immersion of an oriented surface  $\Sigma$ .

• J. Lagrange: The area (functional)

$$\mathcal{A}[\Sigma] := \int_{\Sigma} d\Sigma.$$

• S. Germain: The bending energy (aka the Willmore energy)

$$\mathcal{W}[\Sigma] := \int_{\Sigma} H^2 \, d\Sigma \, .$$

Let  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be an immersion of an oriented surface  $\Sigma$ .

• J. Lagrange: The area (functional)

$$\mathcal{A}[\Sigma] := \int_{\Sigma} d\Sigma.$$

• S. Germain: The bending energy (aka the Willmore energy)

$$\mathcal{W}[\Sigma] := \int_{\Sigma} H^2 \, d\Sigma \, .$$

• W. Helfrich: The Helfrich energy

$$\mathcal{H}[\Sigma] := \int_{\Sigma} \left( a \left[ H + c_o 
ight]^2 + b K 
ight) d\Sigma \,.$$

For an embedding  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  the Helfrich energy is given by

$$\mathcal{H}[\Sigma] := \int_{\Sigma} \left( a \left[ H + c_o 
ight]^2 + b \mathcal{K} 
ight) d\Sigma \,,$$

For an embedding  $X: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  the Helfrich energy is given by

$$\mathcal{H}[\Sigma] := \int_{\Sigma} \left( a \left[ H + c_o 
ight]^2 + b \mathcal{K} 
ight) d\Sigma \,,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where the energy parameters are:

• The bending rigidity: a > 0.

For an embedding  $X: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  the Helfrich energy is given by

$$\mathcal{H}[\Sigma] := \int_{\Sigma} \left( a \left[ H + c_o 
ight]^2 + b \mathcal{K} 
ight) d\Sigma \,,$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where the energy parameters are:

- The bending rigidity: a > 0.
- The saddle-splay modulus:  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For an embedding  $X: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  the Helfrich energy is given by

$$\mathcal{H}[\Sigma] := \int_{\Sigma} \left( a \left[ H + c_o 
ight]^2 + b \mathcal{K} 
ight) d\Sigma \,,$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where the energy parameters are:

- The bending rigidity: a > 0.
- The saddle-splay modulus:  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- The spontaneous curvature:  $c_o \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For an embedding  $X: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  the Helfrich energy is given by

$$\mathcal{H}[\Sigma] := \int_{\Sigma} \left( a \left[ H + c_o 
ight]^2 + b \mathcal{K} 
ight) d\Sigma \,,$$

where the energy parameters are:

- The bending rigidity: a > 0.
- The saddle-splay modulus:  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- The spontaneous curvature:  $c_o \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### **Physical Process**

Model lipid bilayers formed from a double layer of phospholipids (a hydrophilic head and a hydrophobic tail). These membranes tend to close.

Assume that  $\Sigma$  is a connected, oriented, compact surface with boundary  $\partial \Sigma$  (positively oriented).

Assume that  $\Sigma$  is a connected, oriented, compact surface with boundary  $\partial \Sigma$  (positively oriented). Different problems depending on the nature of  $\partial \Sigma$ :

Assume that  $\Sigma$  is a connected, oriented, compact surface with boundary  $\partial\Sigma$  (positively oriented).

Different problems depending on the nature of  $\partial \Sigma$ :

• The Free Boundary Problem. The boundary  $\partial \Sigma$  lies in a fixed supporting surface.

Assume that  $\Sigma$  is a connected, oriented, compact surface with boundary  $\partial \Sigma$  (positively oriented).

Different problems depending on the nature of  $\partial \Sigma$ :

- The Free Boundary Problem. The boundary  $\partial \Sigma$  lies in a fixed supporting surface.
- The Fixed Boundary Problem. The boundary  $\partial \Sigma$  is prescribed and immovable.

Assume that  $\Sigma$  is a connected, oriented, compact surface with boundary  $\partial \Sigma$  (positively oriented).

Different problems depending on the nature of  $\partial \Sigma$ :

- The Free Boundary Problem. The boundary  $\partial \Sigma$  lies in a fixed supporting surface.
- The Fixed Boundary Problem. The boundary  $\partial \Sigma$  is prescribed and immovable.
- The Thread Problem. Only the length of the boundary  $\partial \Sigma$  is prescribed.

Assume that  $\Sigma$  is a connected, oriented, compact surface with boundary  $\partial \Sigma$  (positively oriented).

Different problems depending on the nature of  $\partial \Sigma$ :

- The Free Boundary Problem. The boundary  $\partial \Sigma$  lies in a fixed supporting surface.
- The Fixed Boundary Problem. The boundary  $\partial \Sigma$  is prescribed and immovable.
- The Thread Problem. Only the length of the boundary  $\partial \Sigma$  is prescribed.
- The Euler-Helfrich Problem. The boundary components of  $\partial \Sigma$  are elastic.

#### The Euler-Helfrich Problem

For an embedding  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  we consider the total energy

$$E[\Sigma] := \int_{\Sigma} \left( a \left[ H + c_o \right]^2 + b K \right) d\Sigma + \oint_{\partial \Sigma} \left( \alpha \kappa^2 + \beta \right) ds \,,$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where a > 0,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### The Euler-Helfrich Problem

For an embedding  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  we consider the total energy

$$E[\Sigma] := \int_{\Sigma} \left( a \left[ H + c_o \right]^2 + b K \right) d\Sigma + \oint_{\partial \Sigma} \left( \alpha \kappa^2 + \beta \right) ds \,,$$

where a > 0,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### Rescaling

Let  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be critical for *E*. Then,

$$2ac_o\int_{\Sigma} (H+c_o) d\Sigma + \beta \mathcal{L}[\partial \Sigma] = \alpha \int_{\partial \Sigma} \kappa^2 ds.$$

#### The Euler-Helfrich Problem

For an embedding  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  we consider the total energy

$$E[\Sigma] := \int_{\Sigma} \left( a \left[ H + c_o \right]^2 + b K \right) d\Sigma + \oint_{\partial \Sigma} \left( \alpha \kappa^2 + \beta \right) ds \,,$$

where a > 0,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### Rescaling

Let  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be critical for E. Then,

$$2ac_o\int_{\Sigma} (H+c_o) d\Sigma + \beta \mathcal{L}[\partial \Sigma] = \alpha \int_{\partial \Sigma} \kappa^2 ds.$$

In particular, if  $H + c_o \equiv 0$  holds,  $\beta > 0$ .

The Euler-Lagrange equations for equilibria of E are:

$$\Delta H + 2(H + c_o)(H[H - c_o] - K) = 0, \quad \text{on } \Sigma$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations for equilibria of E are:

$$\Delta H + 2(H + c_o)(H[H - c_o] - K) = 0, \quad \text{on } \Sigma,$$
  
$$a(H + c_o) + b\kappa_n = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial \Sigma$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations for equilibria of *E* are:

$$\begin{split} \Delta H + 2 \left( H + c_o \right) \left( H \left[ H - c_o \right] - K \right) &= 0, & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ a \left( H + c_o \right) + b \kappa_n &= 0, & \text{on } \partial \Sigma, \\ J' \cdot \nu - a \partial_n H + b \tau'_g &= 0, & \text{on } \partial \Sigma \end{split}$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations for equilibria of *E* are:

$$\begin{split} \Delta H + 2 \left( H + c_o \right) \left( H \left[ H - c_o \right] - K \right) &= 0, & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ a \left( H + c_o \right) + b \kappa_n &= 0, & \text{on } \partial \Sigma, \\ J' \cdot \nu - a \partial_n H + b \tau'_g &= 0, & \text{on } \partial \Sigma, \\ J' \cdot n + a \left( H + c_o \right)^2 + b K &= 0, & \text{on } \partial \Sigma, \end{split}$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

The Euler-Lagrange equations for equilibria of E are:

$$\Delta H + 2(H + c_o)(H[H - c_o] - K) = 0, \quad \text{on } \Sigma,$$

$$a(H+c_o)+b\kappa_n = 0, \qquad \text{on } \partial\Sigma_{\sigma}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

$$J' \cdot \nu - a \partial_n H + b \tau'_g = 0, \qquad \text{on } \partial \Sigma,$$

$$J' \cdot n + a \left(H + c_o\right)^2 + bK = 0, \qquad \text{on } \partial \Sigma,$$

where

$$J := 2\alpha T'' + \left(3\alpha \kappa^2 - \beta\right) T$$

is the Noether current associated to translational invariance of elastic curves.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Assume  $H + c_o \equiv 0$  holds on  $\Sigma$ .

Assume  $H + c_o \equiv 0$  holds on  $\Sigma$ . Then, the Euler-Lagrange equations reduce to

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b\kappa_n &=& 0\,, & & \text{on }\partial\Sigma\,, \\ J'\cdot\nu+b\tau_g' &=& 0\,, & & \text{on }\partial\Sigma\,, \\ J'\cdot n-b\tau_g^2 &=& 0\,, & & \text{on }\partial\Sigma\,. \end{array}$$

Assume  $H + c_o \equiv 0$  holds on  $\Sigma$ . Then, the Euler-Lagrange equations reduce to

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b\kappa_n &=& 0\,, & & \text{on }\partial\Sigma\,, \\ J'\cdot\nu+b\tau_g' &=& 0\,, & & \text{on }\partial\Sigma\,, \\ J'\cdot n-b\tau_g^2 &=& 0\,, & & \text{on }\partial\Sigma\,. \end{array}$$

#### **Boundary Curves**

Let  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be an equilibrium with  $H + c_o \equiv 0$ . Then, each boundary component *C* is a simple and closed critical curve for

$$\mathsf{F}[\mathsf{C}] \equiv \mathsf{F}_{\mu,\lambda}[\mathsf{C}] := \int_{\mathsf{C}} \left( \left[\kappa + \mu\right]^2 + \lambda 
ight) \mathsf{d} \mathsf{s} \, ,$$

Assume  $H + c_o \equiv 0$  holds on  $\Sigma$ . Then, the Euler-Lagrange equations reduce to

$b\kappa_n$	=	0,	on $\partial \Sigma$ ,
$J'\cdot \nu + b au_g'$	=	0,	$\text{ on }\partial\Sigma,$
$J' \cdot n - b\tau_g^2$	=	0,	on $\partial\Sigma$ .

#### **Boundary Curves**

Let  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be an equilibrium with  $H + c_o \equiv 0$ . Then, each boundary component *C* is a simple and closed critical curve for

$$F[C] \equiv F_{\mu,\lambda}[C] := \int_C \left( \left[\kappa + \mu\right]^2 + \lambda \right) ds \,,$$

where  $\mu := \pm b/(2\alpha)$  and  $\lambda := \beta/\alpha - \mu^2$ .

#### Equilibria

Let  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be a CMC  $H = -c_o$  disc type surface critical for E.

#### Equilibria

Let  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be a CMC  $H = -c_o$  disc type surface critical for *E*. Then:

1. Case b = 0. The boundary is either a circle of radius  $\sqrt{\alpha/\beta}$  or a simple closed elastic curve representing a torus knot of type G(q, 1) for q > 2.\*

#### Equilibria

Let  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be a CMC  $H = -c_o$  disc type surface critical for *E*. Then:

- 1. Case b = 0. The boundary is either a circle of radius  $\sqrt{\alpha/\beta}$  or a simple closed elastic curve representing a torus knot of type G(q, 1) for q > 2.\*
- 2. Case  $b \neq 0$ . The surface is a planar disc bounded by a circle of radius  $\sqrt{\alpha/\beta}$  and  $c_o = 0$ .

#### Equilibria

Let  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be a CMC  $H = -c_o$  disc type surface critical for *E*. Then:

- 1. Case b = 0. The boundary is either a circle of radius  $\sqrt{\alpha/\beta}$  or a simple closed elastic curve representing a torus knot of type G(q, 1) for q > 2.\*
- 2. Case  $b \neq 0$ . The surface is a planar disc bounded by a circle of radius  $\sqrt{\alpha/\beta}$  and  $c_o = 0$ .

Idea of the proof:

• Elastic curves are torus knots G(q, p) with 2p < q and the surface is a Seifert surface.

#### Equilibria

Let  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be a CMC  $H = -c_o$  disc type surface critical for *E*. Then:

- 1. Case b = 0. The boundary is either a circle of radius  $\sqrt{\alpha/\beta}$  or a simple closed elastic curve representing a torus knot of type G(q, 1) for q > 2.\*
- 2. Case  $b \neq 0$ . The surface is a planar disc bounded by a circle of radius  $\sqrt{\alpha/\beta}$  and  $c_o = 0$ .

Idea of the proof:

• Elastic curves are torus knots G(q, p) with 2p < q and the surface is a Seifert surface.

• Nitsche's argument involving the Hopf differential.



FIGURE: Minimal Surface Spanned by G(3, 1).



FIGURE: Minimal Surface Spanned by G(4, 1).



FIGURE: Minimal Surface Spanned by G(5, 1).



FIGURE: Minimal Surface Spanned by G(6, 1).

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Consider first an embedding of a topological annulus  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with CMC  $H = -c_o$  critical for E with b = 0.

Consider first an embedding of a topological annulus  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with CMC  $H = -c_o$  critical for E with b = 0.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Boundary curves are simple and closed elastic curves.

Consider first an embedding of a topological annulus  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with CMC  $H = -c_o$  critical for E with b = 0.

- Boundary curves are simple and closed elastic curves.
- If they are circles of radii  $\sqrt{\alpha/\beta}$ , plenty of examples: Delaunay surfaces, Riemann's minimal examples,...

Consider first an embedding of a topological annulus  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with CMC  $H = -c_o$  critical for E with b = 0.

- Boundary curves are simple and closed elastic curves.
- If they are circles of radii  $\sqrt{\alpha/\beta}$ , plenty of examples: Delaunay surfaces, Riemann's minimal examples,...
- Otherwise, boundary components are torus knots G(q, p) with 2p < q.

Consider first an embedding of a topological annulus  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with CMC  $H = -c_o$  critical for E with b = 0.

- Boundary curves are simple and closed elastic curves.
- If they are circles of radii  $\sqrt{\alpha/\beta}$ , plenty of examples: Delaunay surfaces, Riemann's minimal examples,...
- Otherwise, boundary components are torus knots G(q, p) with 2p < q.
- The boundary knots may not be unknotted, although they are of the same type.

Consider first an embedding of a topological annulus  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with CMC  $H = -c_o$  critical for E with b = 0.

- Boundary curves are simple and closed elastic curves.
- If they are circles of radii  $\sqrt{\alpha/\beta}$ , plenty of examples: Delaunay surfaces, Riemann's minimal examples,...
- Otherwise, boundary components are torus knots G(q, p) with 2p < q.
- The boundary knots may not be unknotted, although they are of the same type.

• Algorithm based on the mean curvature flow for fixed boundary.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>



FIGURE: Minimal Surface Spanned by Two G(3, 1).



FIGURE: Minimal Surface Spanned by Two G(4, 1).



FIGURE: Minimal Surface Spanned by Two G(5,1).



FIGURE: Minimal Surface Spanned by Two G(6, 1).



FIGURE: Minimal Surface Spanned by Two G(5,2).

Consider now an embedding of a topological annulus  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with CMC  $H = -c_o$  critical for E with  $b \neq 0$ .

Consider now an embedding of a topological annulus  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with CMC  $H = -c_o$  critical for E with  $b \neq 0$ .

• Boundary curves are simple and closed elastic curves circular at rest.

Consider now an embedding of a topological annulus  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with CMC  $H = -c_o$  critical for E with  $b \neq 0$ .

- Boundary curves are simple and closed elastic curves circular at rest.
- Moreover, since  $b \neq 0$ ,  $\kappa_n \equiv 0$  holds and boundary curves are asymptotic curves.

Consider now an embedding of a topological annulus  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with CMC  $H = -c_o$  critical for E with  $b \neq 0$ .

- Boundary curves are simple and closed elastic curves circular at rest.
- Moreover, since  $b \neq 0$ ,  $\kappa_n \equiv 0$  holds and boundary curves are asymptotic curves.
- Local solutions: Björling's fomula,...

#### **Axially Symmetric**

Let  $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be a CMC  $H = -c_o$  equilibria for E with  $b \neq 0$ . If any boundary component is a circle, then the surface is axially symmetric, i.e. a part of a Delaunay surface.



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○臣 ○ のへで







# THE END

• B. Palmer and A. Pámpano, Minimizing Configurations for Elastic Surface Energies with Elastic Boundaries, *Journal of Nonlinear Science*, **31-23** (2021).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# THE END

 B. Palmer and A. Pámpano, Minimizing Configurations for Elastic Surface Energies with Elastic Boundaries, *Journal of Nonlinear Science*, **31-23** (2021).

## Thank You!