

Solutions of the Ermakov-Milne-Pinney Equation and Invariant CMC Surfaces

Álvaro Pámpano Llarena

International Conference Geometry, Differential Equations and Analysis

Kharkiv, June 17-21 2019

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

EMP Equation

The Ermakov-Milne-Pinney (EMP) equation is the second order nonlinear differential equation (Ermakov, 1880)

$$\phi''(s) + \alpha(s)\phi(s) = rac{h}{\phi^3(s)},$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

h being a constant.

EMP Equation

The Ermakov-Milne-Pinney (EMP) equation is the second order nonlinear differential equation (Ermakov, 1880)

$$\phi''(s) + lpha(s)\phi(s) = rac{h}{\phi^3(s)}\,,$$

h being a constant. It is related with:

- The linear Schrödinger equation.
- The time-dependent linear oscillator.
- Simplest example of an Ermakov system.
- Many applications...

EMP Equation

The Ermakov-Milne-Pinney (EMP) equation is the second order nonlinear differential equation (Ermakov, 1880)

$$\phi^{\prime\prime}(s)+ lpha(s)\phi(s)= rac{h}{\phi^3(s)}\,,$$

h being a constant. It is related with:

- The linear Schrödinger equation.
- The time-dependent linear oscillator.
- Simplest example of an Ermakov system.
- Many applications...

Here we will consider the EMP equation with constant coefficients, i. e.

$$\alpha(s) = \alpha_o \in \mathbb{R}.$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Take any $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in \{0, 1\}$.

Take any $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in \{0, 1\}$. Then we have the ambient space, $M_r^3(\rho)$.

Take any $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in \{0, 1\}$. Then we have the ambient space, $M_r^3(\rho)$.

• If r = 0 we consider $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = 1$.

Take any $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in \{0, 1\}$. Then we have the ambient space, $M_r^3(\rho)$.

- If r = 0 we consider $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = 1$.
- If r = 1, one $\varepsilon_i = -1$ and the others are +1.

Take any $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in \{0, 1\}$. Then we have the ambient space, $M_r^3(\rho)$.

- If r = 0 we consider $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = 1$.
- If r = 1, one $\varepsilon_i = -1$ and the others are +1.

Then, take a $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\alpha_o = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \mu^2 + \varepsilon_1 \rho.$$

Take any $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in \{0, 1\}$. Then we have the ambient space, $M_r^3(\rho)$.

- If r = 0 we consider $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = 1$.
- If r = 1, one $\varepsilon_i = -1$ and the others are +1.

Then, take a $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\alpha_o = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \mu^2 + \varepsilon_1 \rho.$$

Now, for any solution of the EMP equation, we define (natural)

$$\kappa(s)=rac{1}{4\phi^2(s)}+\mu \quad ext{and} \quad au(s)=rac{e}{4\phi^2(s)}\,,$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖 • のへで

Take any $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in \{0, 1\}$. Then we have the ambient space, $M_r^3(\rho)$.

- If r = 0 we consider $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = 1$.
- If r = 1, one $\varepsilon_i = -1$ and the others are +1.

Then, take a $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\alpha_o = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \mu^2 + \varepsilon_1 \rho.$$

Now, for any solution of the EMP equation, we define (natural)

$$\kappa(s)=rac{1}{4\phi^2(s)}+\mu \quad ext{and} \quad au(s)=rac{e}{4\phi^2(s)}\,,$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where $e \in \mathbb{R}$ is only related with h and ε_i .

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• 1691: J. Bernoulli.

• <u>1691</u>: J. Bernoulli.

Proposed the problem of determining the shape of elastic rods (bending deformation of rods).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• <u>1691</u>: J. Bernoulli.

Proposed the problem of determining the shape of elastic rods (bending deformation of rods).

• <u>1742</u>: D. Bernoulli.

In a letter to L. Euler suggested to study elasticae as minimizers of the bending energy,

$$\mathcal{E}(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \kappa^2 \, ds$$
 .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

• <u>1691</u>: J. Bernoulli.

Proposed the problem of determining the shape of elastic rods (bending deformation of rods).

• <u>1742</u>: D. Bernoulli.

In a letter to L. Euler suggested to study elasticae as minimizers of the bending energy,

$$\mathcal{E}(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \kappa^2 \, ds$$
 .

• <u>1744</u>: L. Euler.

Described the shape of planar elasticae

• <u>1691</u>: J. Bernoulli.

Proposed the problem of determining the shape of elastic rods (bending deformation of rods).

• <u>1742</u>: D. Bernoulli.

In a letter to L. Euler suggested to study elasticae as minimizers of the bending energy,

$$\mathcal{E}(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \kappa^2 \, ds$$
 .

• <u>1744</u>: L. Euler.

Described the shape of planar elasticae (partially solved by J. Bernoulli 1692-1694).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• <u>1691</u>: J. Bernoulli.

Proposed the problem of determining the shape of elastic rods (bending deformation of rods).

• <u>1742</u>: D. Bernoulli.

In a letter to L. Euler suggested to study elasticae as minimizers of the bending energy,

$$\mathcal{E}(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \kappa^2 \, ds$$
 .

• <u>1744</u>: L. Euler.

Described the shape of planar elasticae (partially solved by J. Bernoulli 1692-1694).

• <u>1930</u>: W. Blaschke.

$$\Theta(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \sqrt{\kappa} \, ds$$
.

Extended Blaschke's Curvature Energy

In $M_r^3(\rho)$ we are going to consider the curvature energy functional

$$oldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu}(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \sqrt{\kappa-\mu} = \int_{0}^{L} \sqrt{\kappa(s)-\mu} \, ds \, ,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is a fixed real constant.

Extended Blaschke's Curvature Energy

In $M_r^3(\rho)$ we are going to consider the curvature energy functional

$$oldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu}(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \sqrt{\kappa-\mu} = \int_{0}^{L} \sqrt{\kappa(s)-\mu} \, ds \, ,$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is a fixed real constant.

 Take into account that κ = μ would be a global minimum if we were considering L¹([0, L]) as the space of curves.

Extended Blaschke's Curvature Energy

In $M_r^3(\rho)$ we are going to consider the curvature energy functional

$$oldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu}(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \sqrt{\kappa-\mu} = \int_{0}^{L} \sqrt{\kappa(s)-\mu} \, ds \, ,$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is a fixed real constant.

 Take into account that κ = μ would be a global minimum if we were considering L¹([0, L]) as the space of curves.

EULER-LAGRANGE EQUATIONS

$$\begin{split} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}{\sqrt{\kappa - \mu}} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa - \mu}} \left(\kappa^2 - \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_3 \tau^2 + \varepsilon_2 \rho \right) &= 2\kappa \sqrt{\kappa - \mu} \,, \\ \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa - \mu} \right) &= 0 \,. \end{split}$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Since $M_r^3(\rho)$ is complete,

1. Consider the one-parameter group of isometries determined by the flow of

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\kappa - \mu}}B,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

that is, $\{\phi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. (Langer & Singer, 1984)

Since $M_r^3(\rho)$ is complete,

1. Consider the one-parameter group of isometries determined by the flow of

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\kappa - \mu}}B,$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

that is, $\{\phi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. (Langer & Singer, 1984)

2. Now, consider the surface $S_{\gamma} := \{x(s,t) := \phi_t(\gamma(s))\}.$

Since $M_r^3(\rho)$ is complete,

1. Consider the one-parameter group of isometries determined by the flow of

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\kappa - \mu}}B,$$

that is, $\{\phi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. (Langer & Singer, 1984)

- 2. Now, consider the surface $S_{\gamma} := \{x(s,t) := \phi_t(\gamma(s))\}.$
- 3. The surface S_{γ} is an invariant surface

Since $M_r^3(\rho)$ is complete,

1. Consider the one-parameter group of isometries determined by the flow of

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\kappa - \mu}}B,$$

that is, $\{\phi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. (Langer & Singer, 1984)

- 2. Now, consider the surface $S_{\gamma} := \{x(s,t) := \phi_t(\gamma(s))\}.$
- 3. The surface S_{γ} is an invariant surface whose mean curvature is

$$H = -\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \mu.$$

Since $M_r^3(\rho)$ is complete,

1. Consider the one-parameter group of isometries determined by the flow of

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\kappa - \mu}}B,$$

that is, $\{\phi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. (Langer & Singer, 1984)

- 2. Now, consider the surface $S_{\gamma} := \{x(s,t) := \phi_t(\gamma(s))\}.$
- 3. The surface S_{γ} is an invariant surface whose mean curvature is

$$H = -\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \mu.$$

4. Since $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed, S_{γ} has constant mean curvature.

Illustration in $\mathbb{S}^3(\rho)$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Illustration in $\mathbb{S}^3(\rho)$



(ロ)、

(Arroyo, Garay & -, 2019)



In conclusion:



In conclusion:

• A solution of the EMP equation defines...

In conclusion:

- A solution of the EMP equation defines...
- ... a curve in $M_r^3(\rho)$ which is a critical point of the extended Blaschke's energy.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

In conclusion:

- A solution of the EMP equation defines...
- ... a curve in $M_r^3(\rho)$ which is a critical point of the extended Blaschke's energy.
- Then, the evolution under the naturally associated Killing vector field in the direction of the binormal of this curve produces...

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

In conclusion:

- A solution of the EMP equation defines...
- ... a curve in $M_r^3(\rho)$ which is a critical point of the extended Blaschke's energy.
- Then, the evolution under the naturally associated Killing vector field in the direction of the binormal of this curve produces...

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• ... an invariant CMC surface in $M_r^3(\rho)$.

In conclusion:

- A solution of the EMP equation defines...
- ... a curve in $M_r^3(\rho)$ which is a critical point of the extended Blaschke's energy.
- Then, the evolution under the naturally associated Killing vector field in the direction of the binormal of this curve produces...

• ... an invariant CMC surface in $M_r^3(\rho)$.

THEOREM (Arroyo, Garay & -, 2018)

Let S^2 be an invariant CMC surface of $M_r^3(\rho)$

In conclusion:

- A solution of the EMP equation defines...
- ... a curve in $M_r^3(\rho)$ which is a critical point of the extended Blaschke's energy.
- Then, the evolution under the naturally associated Killing vector field in the direction of the binormal of this curve produces...
- ... an invariant CMC surface in $M_r^3(\rho)$.

THEOREM (Arroyo, Garay & -, 2018)

Let S^2 be an invariant CMC surface of $M_r^3(\rho)$ (S^2 is a warped product surface)

In conclusion:

- A solution of the EMP equation defines...
- ... a curve in $M_r^3(\rho)$ which is a critical point of the extended Blaschke's energy.
- Then, the evolution under the naturally associated Killing vector field in the direction of the binormal of this curve produces...
- ... an invariant CMC surface in $M_r^3(\rho)$.

THEOREM (Arroyo, Garay & -, 2018)

Let S^2 be an invariant CMC surface of $M_r^3(\rho)$ (S^2 is a warped product surface), then the warping function

In conclusion:

- A solution of the EMP equation defines...
- ... a curve in $M_r^3(\rho)$ which is a critical point of the extended Blaschke's energy.
- Then, the evolution under the naturally associated Killing vector field in the direction of the binormal of this curve produces...
- ... an invariant CMC surface in $M_r^3(\rho)$.

THEOREM (Arroyo, Garay & -, 2018)

Let S^2 be an invariant CMC surface of $M_r^3(\rho)$ (S^2 is a warped product surface), then the warping function is a solution of the EMP equation with constant coefficients.

References

- J. Arroyo, O. J. Garay and A. Pámpano, Constant Mean Curvature Invariant Surfaces and Extremals of Curvature Energies, J. Math. Anal. App., 462 (2018), 1644-1668.
- J. Arroyo, O. J. Garay and A. Pámpano, Delaunay Surfaces in ^{S³}(ρ), To appear in Filomat, (2019).
- 3. W. Blaschke, Vorlesungen uber Differentialgeometrie und Geometrische Grundlagen von Einsteins Relativitatstheorie I: Elementare Differenntialgeometrie, *Springer*, (1930).
- 4. L. Euler, Methodus Inveniendi Lineas Curvas Maximi Minimive Proprietate Gaudentes, Sive Solutio Problematis Isoperimetrici Lattisimo Sensu Accepti, *Bousquet, Lausannae et Genevae*, **24** (1744).
- 5. E. Pinney, The Nonlinear Differential Equation $y'' + p(x)y' + cy^3 = 0$, Proc. A. M. S., 1 (1950).

THE END

Acknowledgements: Research partially supported by MINECO-FEDER, MTM2014-54804-P and by Gobierno Vasco, IT1094-16.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?