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Careful readers have found the following errors and misprints in the book.

**Page 1, first line after chapter title.** Remove the superfluous “Introduction”.

**Page 49–50; (2.2.4) lines 3–12.** Replace the text “the diagram . . . (2.1.13), it follows” with “consider the exact sequence $0 \to K_n \to G_{n-1} \to \cdots \to G_0 \to M \to 0$, where $K_n$ is as defined in (1.2.5.1). It follows”.

**Page 53; proof of (2.3.5) line 1.** Change $C^P(R)$ to $C^P_{\Delta}(R)$.

**Page 97, (4.2.4) line 1.** Add the sentence “Let $R$ be of finite Krull dimension, $\dim R < \infty$.”

**Page 98, (4.2.5) line 1.** Add the sentence “Let $R$ be of finite Krull dimension, $\dim R < \infty$.”

**Page 98, line 3 from page bottom.** A comment is required on the use of Proposition (4.2.5): Here we do not assume that $\dim R < \infty$, but that poses no problem. The test module in question, $R$, is projective, and the assumption $\dim R < \infty$ is only used in (4.2.5) to ensure that the test modules $T \in \mathcal{F}_0(R)$ have finite projective dimension, cf. proof of Lemma (4.2.4).

**Page 114; (5.1.4) line 1.** Add the sentence “Let $R$ be of finite Krull dimension, $\dim R < \infty$.”

**Page 118–119; proof of (5.1.10).** In line 1, add the sentence “Clearly (ii) is stronger than (i).”  
In line 2, change “so (i) implies (ii)” to “so (i) and (ii) are equivalent”.  
In line 2-4, remove “By Proposition (5.1.4)... so (ii) implies (iii).”  
At the end of the proof, change “This concludes the proof.” to “The same isomorphisms show that if $\text{Hom}_R(L,R)$ is homologically trivial, then $E \otimes_R L$ is homologically trivial for any injective module $E$, and this concludes the proof.”

**Page 150; (6.3.7) line 2.** Change “For any $R$–module $N$ it then ...” to “For any $R$–module $N$ with $m \in \text{supp}_R N$ it then ...”. 