AN INEQUALITY INVOLVING THE GENERALIZED HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND THE ARC LENGTH OF AN ELLIPSE

ROGER W. BARNARD, KENT PEARCE* AND KENDALL C. RICHARDS†

Abstract. In this paper we verify a conjecture of M. Vuorinen that the Muir approximation is a lower approximation to the arc length of an ellipse. Vuorinen conjectured that $f(x) = {}_2F_1(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; 1; x) - [(1 + (1 - x)^{3/4})/2]^{2/3}$ is positive for $x \in (0, 1)$. The authors prove a much stronger result which says that the Maclaurin coefficients of f are nonnegative. As a key lemma, we show that ${}_3F_2(-n, a, b; 1 + a + b, 1 + \epsilon - n; 1) > 0$ when $0 < ab/(1 + a + b) < \epsilon < 1$ for all positive integers n.

Key words. hypergeometric, approximations, elliptical arc length

AMS subject classifications. 33C, 41A

1. Introduction. Let a and b be the semiaxes of an ellipse with eccentricity $e = \sqrt{a^2 - b^2}/a$. Let $L(a, b)$ denote the arc length of the ellipse. Without loss of generality we can take one of the semiaxes, say a, to be 1. Legendre's complete elliptic integral of the 2nd kind can be defined by

$$E(r) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sqrt{1 - r^2 \sin^2 t} \; dt$$

Elliptic integrals are so named because of their connection with $L(a, b)$. In turn, these are related to Gauss' hypergeometric functions, ${}_2F_1$, defined by

$${}_2F_1(a_1, a_2; b_1; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n (a_2)_n}{(b_1)_n n!} z^n$$

with the Appell (or Pochhammer) symbol $(a)_n = a(a + 1) \cdots (a + n - 1)$ for $n \geq 1$ and $(a)_0 = 1$. We shall need the generalized hypergeometric function, ${}_pF_q$, defined by

$${}_pF_q(a_1, a_2, ..., a_p; b_1, b_2, ..., b_q; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \cdot (a_2)_n \cdots (a_p)_n}{(b_1)_n \cdot (b_2)_n \cdots (b_q)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

(see [12], page 73). It was noted by Maclaurin in 1742 (see [2]) that

$$L(1, b) = 4E(e) = 2\pi {}_2F_1(\tfrac{1}{2}, -\tfrac{1}{2}; 1; e^2).$$

There are various references, books and articles, which discuss the relationships between elliptic integrals and hypergeometric functions (see [3], [7]) and their role in applications to physics (see [11], [9]) and in geometric function theory (see [10], [3]). From antiquity several more easily computable approximations to $L(a, b)$ have been suggested. The Almkvist-Berndt survey article [2] has an extensive discussion of these

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409 (barnard@math.ttu.edu, pearce@math.ttu.edu)

†Department of Mathematics, Southwestern University, Georgetown, Texas 78626 (richards@southwestern.edu)

approximations. These approximations and their historical and recent connections to the approximations of π can be found in the Borweins' book [6]. An excellent source for all of the above ideas is the Anderson-Vamanamurthy-Vuorinen book *Conformal Invarients, Inequalities, and Quasiconformal Mappings* [3].

In 1883, it was proposed by Muir (see [2]) that $L(1,b)$ could be simply approximated by $2\pi[(1+b^{3/2})/2]^{2/3}$. A close numerical examination of the error in this approximation lead M. Vuorinen to pose Problem 5.6 in [13]. This was announced at several international conferences. Letting $x = 1 - b^2$, he asked whether the Muir approximation

$$g(x) = \left(\frac{1 + (1-x)^{3/4}}{2}\right)^{2/3}$$

is a lower approximation for the value given by the hypergeometric function

$$h(x) = {}_2F_1\left(\tfrac{1}{2}, -\tfrac{1}{2}; 1; x\right),$$

that is, whether

$$h(x) - g(x) \geq 0, \text{ for all } x \in (0,1).$$

We shall prove the following much stronger result:

THEOREM 1.1.
Let $g(x) = \sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ and $h(x) = \sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n x^n$. Then,

$$(1.1) \qquad\qquad a_k \leq A_k, \text{ for all } k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n, \ldots$$

In particular, the function $f(x) \equiv [h(x) - g(x)]/x^4$ is convex and increasing from $(0,1]$ onto $(\alpha, \beta]$, where $\alpha = 2^{-14} = 0.000061\cdots$, and $\beta = (2/\pi) - 2^{-2/3} = 0.006659\cdots$.
Remarks The ideas and techniques used to prove Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 1.1 will be used in [5] to determine surprising hierarchial relationships among the 13 historical approximations to $L(a,b)$ discussed in [2]. These approximations range over four centuries from Kepler's in 1642 to Almkvist's in 1985 and include two from Ramanujan. In addition, the result in Lemma 2.1 has been extended to a wider range of parameters.

2. Proof of Main Results. The proof of Theorem 1.1 requires the following Lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose $a, b > 0$. Then for any ϵ satisfying $\frac{ab}{1+a+b} < \epsilon < 1$,*

$${}_3F_2(-n, a, b; 1 + a + b, 1 + \epsilon - n; 1) > 0, \quad \text{for all integers } n \geq 1.$$

For the reader's convenience, we include the following classical identities:
IDENTITY 1. (see [1], page 558, eq. 15.2.24) If $|z| < 1$,

$$(c - b - 1) \cdot {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = (c-1) \cdot {}_2F_1(a, b; c-1; z) - b \cdot {}_2F_1(a, b+1; c; z).$$

IDENTITY 2. (see [12], page 60, Thm. 21) If $|z| < 1$,

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = (1 - z)^{c-a-b} \cdot {}_2F_1(c - a, c - b; c; z).$$

IDENTITY 3. (see [8], page 59, eq. 3.1.1) If $F = {}_3F_2$,

$$F(-n, a, b; c, d; 1) = \frac{(d-b)_n}{(d)_n} F(-n, c-a, b; c, 1+b-d-n; 1).$$

IDENTITY 4. (see [12], page 82, eq. 14) If $F = {}_3F_2$ and $|z| < 1$,

$$(a_1 - a_2) \cdot F(a_1, a_2, a_3; b_1, b_2; z)$$
$$= a_1 \cdot F(a_1 + 1, a_2, a_3; b_1, b_2; z) - a_2 \cdot F(a_1, a_2 + 1, a_3; b_1, b_2; z).$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1: Using an idea suggested in [4] we let $F = {}_3F_2$ and consider the generating function

$$f(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{-(-\epsilon)_n}{n!} F(-n, a, b; 1+a+b, 1+\epsilon-n; 1) r^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n r^n,$$

where $|r| < 1$. Note that $-(-\epsilon)_n > 0$ for $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and for all $n \geq 1$. Thus we seek to verify that $c_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.
In this direction, we have

$$f(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{-(-\epsilon)_n}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-n)_k (a)_k (b)_k}{(a+b+1)_k (1+\epsilon-n)_k k!} r^n$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{-(-\epsilon)_n}{(1)_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\frac{(-1)^k (1)_n}{(1)_{n-k}} (a)_k (b)_k}{(a+b+1)_k \frac{(-1)^k (-\epsilon)_n}{(-\epsilon)_{n-k}} k!} r^n$$

$$\left\{ \text{using } (\alpha)_{n-k} = \frac{(-1)^k (\alpha)_n}{(1-\alpha-n)_k} \text{ and } (1)_n = n! \right\}$$

$$= -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\frac{(a)_k (b)_k}{(a+b+1)_k k!} r^k \right) \left(\frac{(-\epsilon)_{n-k}}{(n-k)!} r^{n-k} \right)$$

$$= -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(-\epsilon)_n}{(n)!} r^n \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(a)_k (b)_k}{(a+b+1)_k k!} r^k \right) \quad \text{(see [12], page 57, eq. 2)}$$

$$= -(1-r)^{\epsilon} {}_2F_1(a, b; a+b+1; r).$$

Differentiating, we have

$$(2.1) \qquad f'(r) = \epsilon(1-r)^{\epsilon-1} {}_2F_1(a, b; a+b+1; r)$$
$$- \frac{ab(1-r)^{\epsilon}}{(a+b+1)} {}_2F_1(a+1, b+1; a+b+2; r).$$

An application of IDENTITY 1 followed by IDENTITY 2 to ${}_2F_1(a+1, b+1; a+b+2; r)$ yields

$$\frac{ab(1-r)^{\epsilon}}{(a+b+1)} {}_2F_1(a+1, b+1; a+b+2; r)$$

$$= \frac{b(1-r)^{\epsilon}}{(a+b+1)} [(a+b+1) \cdot {}_2F_1(a+1, b+1; a+b+1; r) -$$
$$(b+1) \cdot {}_2F_1(a+1, b+2; a+b+2; r)]$$

$$= (1-r)^{\epsilon-1} \left[b \cdot {}_2F_1(a, b; a+b+1; r) - \frac{b(b+1)}{(a+b+1)} \cdot {}_2F_1(a, b+1; a+b+2; r) \right].$$

Thus (2.1) becomes

$$f'(r) = (1-r)^{\epsilon-1} \left[(\epsilon - b) \cdot {}_2F_1(a,b;a+b+1;r) + \right.$$

$$\left. \frac{b(b+1)}{(a+b+1)} \cdot {}_2F_1(a,b+1;a+b+2;r) \right]$$

$$= (1-r)^{\epsilon-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{n!} \left[\frac{(b)_n(\epsilon-b)}{(a+b+1)_n} + \frac{b(b+1)(b+1)_n}{(a+b+1)(a+b+2)_n} \right] r^n$$

(2.2) $\qquad = (1-r)^{\epsilon-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{n!} \left[\frac{(b)_n(\epsilon-b)}{(a+b+1)_n} + \frac{(b+1)(b)_n(b+n)}{(a+b+1)_n(a+b+1+n)} \right] r^n$

$$= (1-r)^{\epsilon-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(a+b+1)_n(a+b+1+n)n!} \times$$

$$[(\epsilon-b)(a+b+1+n) + (b+1)(b+n)] r^n$$

(2.3) $\qquad = (1-r)^{\epsilon-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(a+b+1)_n(a+b+1+n)n!} \times$

$$[\epsilon(a+b+1+n) + n - ab] r^n,$$

where (2.2) makes use of $\alpha(\alpha+1)_n = (\alpha)_n(\alpha+n)$. If $\frac{ab}{a+b+1} < \epsilon < 1$, then the expression in (2.3) is the product of two series with all positive Maclaurin series coefficients. Hence f' has all positive Maclaurin series coefficients which is equivalent to the desired result. ∎

COROLLARY 2.2. Let $T_n = {}_3F_2\left(-n, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2, \frac{5}{4} - n; 1\right)$. Then for all integers $n \geq 8$,

$$T_{n+1} > T_n > 0,$$

Proof of Corollary 2.2: Let $F = {}_3F_2$ and $B_n = \left(\frac{3}{4} - n\right)_n / \left(\frac{5}{4} - n\right)_n$. Using IDENTITY 3, we have that

$$T_n = B_n F\left(-n, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2, \frac{1}{4}; 1\right).$$

Direct calculation reveals that $T_9 > T_8 > 0 > T_7 > ... > T_2 = T_1$. Now suppose that $T_n > T_{n-1} > 0$ for some $n \geq 9$ and note that $B_{n+1}/B_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{4}\right) / \left(n - \frac{1}{4}\right)$. Then

$$T_{n+1} = B_{n+1} F\left(-n-1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2, \frac{1}{4}; 1\right)$$

(2.4) $\qquad = \frac{B_{n+1}}{\left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right)} \left[(n+1) F\left(-n, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2, \frac{1}{4}; 1\right) + \frac{1}{2} F\left(-n-1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2, \frac{1}{4}; 1\right) \right]$

$$= \frac{B_{n+1}(n+1)}{B_n \left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right)} T_n + \frac{B_{n+1}}{2\left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right)} F\left(-n-1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2, \frac{1}{4}; 1\right)$$

$$= \frac{\left(n + \frac{1}{4}\right)(n+1)}{\left(n - \frac{1}{4}\right)\left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right)} T_n + \frac{B_{n+1}}{2\left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right)} F\left(-n-1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2, \frac{1}{4}; 1\right)$$

$$> T_n + \frac{B_{n+1}}{2\left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right)} F\left(-n-1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2, \frac{1}{4}; 1\right),$$

$$\left\{ \text{since } \frac{(n+1/4)(n+1)}{(n-1/4)(n+3/2)} > 1 \text{ and } T_n > 0 \right\}$$

where (2.4) follows from IDENTITY 4. Since $B_{n+1} < 0$, we shall have that $T_{n+1} > T_n > 0$ provided we show that $F(-n-1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2, \frac{1}{4}; 1) < 0$. To this end, we again apply IDENTITY 3 to observe that

$$F\left(-n-1, \tfrac{3}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}; 2, \tfrac{1}{4}; 1\right) = \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)_{n+1}}{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_{n+1}} F\left(-n-1, \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}; 2, \tfrac{1}{4} - n; 1\right).$$

Since $\dfrac{\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)_{n+1}}{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_{n+1}} < 0$, we need to show that

$$F\left(-n-1, \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}; 2, \tfrac{1}{4} - n; 1\right) > 0.$$

Letting $m = n+1$, $a = b = \frac{1}{2}$, and $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4}$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$F\left(-n-1, \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}; 2, \tfrac{1}{4} - n; 1\right) = F(-m, a, b; a+b+1, 1+\epsilon - m; 1) > 0.$$

Hence $T_{n+1} > T_n > 0$ for all integers $n \geq 8$ by induction. ∎
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Clearly,

$$A_n = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_n \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_n}{n! n!}.$$

Computing the logarithmic derivative of g we have

$$\frac{g'(x)}{g(x)} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{(1-x)^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{1 + (1-x)^{\frac{3}{4}}}\right)$$

which implies

$$(2.5) \qquad \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1)a_{n+1}x^n\right)\left((1-x)^{\frac{1}{4}} + 1 - x\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_n x^n.$$

The coefficients of x^n of the left-hand side of (2.5) are obtained from the Cauchy product of the two terms. Solving for a_{n+1} yields (by extracting the nth and $(n-1)^{st}$ terms from the Cauchy product)

$$(2.6) \qquad a_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2(n+1)}\left[\left(\frac{5}{4}n - \frac{1}{2}\right)a_n - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2}(k+1)a_{k+1}\frac{\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)_{n-k}}{(n-k)!}\right].$$

We now verify (1.1) using an inductive argument. Clearly, the coefficients of the terms a_k in (2.6) are nonnegative. Computation gives: $a_0 = A_0 = 1$, $a_1 = A_1 = -1/4$, $a_2 = A_2 = -3/64$, $a_3 = A_3 = -5/2^8$, $a_4 = -11/2^{10}$ and $A_4 = -175/2^{14}$. Suppose that the inequality in (1.1) holds for $4 \leq k \leq n$. We have from (2.6)

$$(2.7)\ a_{n+1} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{2(n+1)}\left[\left(\frac{5}{4}n - \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_n \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_n}{n! n!} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2}(k+1)\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k+1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k+1}}{(k+1)!(k+1)!}\frac{\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)_{n-k}}{(n-k)!}\right].$$

We need to show that the right-hand side of (2.7) is less than or equal to $A_{n+1} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{n+1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_{n+1}}{(n+1)!(n+1)!}$,

that is,

$$(2.8) \qquad \left(\frac{5}{4}n - \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_n\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_n}{n!n!} - 2(n+1)\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{n+1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_{n+1}}{(n+1)!(n+1)!} \leq$$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-2}(k+1)\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k+1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k+1}}{(k+1)!(k+1)!}\frac{\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)_{n-k}}{(n-k)!}.$$

After adding the $(n-1)^{st}$ and n^{th} terms of the right-hand side of (2.8) to inequality (2.8) and then simplifying, we use $(a)_{k+1} = (a+k)(a)_k$, $(a)_{n-k} = (-1)^k(a)_k/(1-a-n)_k$, the fact that $(-n)_k = 0$ for $k \geq n+1$, and the definition of $_3F_2$ to obtain

$$\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_n\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_n}{n!n!} \cdot \frac{(2n-1)}{4(n+1)} \leq -\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)_n \frac{_3F_2\left(-n, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2, \frac{5}{4} - n; 1\right)}{n!},$$

or equivalently

$$(2.9) \qquad _3F_2\left(-n, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2, \frac{5}{4} - n; 1\right) \geq \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_n^2}{\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)_n (n+1)!}.$$

Clearly, the right-hand side of (2.9) is negative for all $n \geq 1$. Inequality (2.9) can be explicitly verified for $0 \leq n \leq 7$. For $n \geq 8$, inequality (2.9) follows from Corollary 2.2. Thus, the inequality in (1.1) also holds for $k = n + 1$. Hence, by induction (1.1) holds for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Finally, the convexity and monotonicity of f are clear. By, l'Hôpital's Rule, $f(0^+) = A_4 - a_4 = 1/2^{14} = 1/16384$, while the value of $f(1)$ is clear. ∎

REFERENCES

[1] Abramowitz M. and Stegun I., Eds., *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (1965) Dover, New York.

[2] Almkvist G. and Berndt B., "Gauss, Landen, Ramanujan, the arithmetic-geometric mean, ellipses, pi and the Ladies Diary," Amer. Math. Monthly **95** (1988) 585-608.

[3] Anderson, G.D., Vamanamurthy, M.K. and Vuorinen, M., *Conformal Invariants, Inequalities, and Quasiconformal Mappings* (1997) J. Wiley & Sons, New York.

[4] Askey R., Gasper G. and Ismail M., "A positive sum from summability theory," J. of Approx. Theory **13** (1975) 413-420.

[5] Barnard, R.W., Pearce, K. and Richards, K.C., "A Monotonicity Property Involving $_3F_2$ and Comparisons of Classical Approximations of Elliptical Arc Length", (preprint).

[6] Borwein, J.M. and Borwein, P.B., *Pi and the AGM — A Study in Analytic Number Theory and Computational Complexity* (1987) J. Wiley & Sons, New York.

[7] Carlson, B.C., *Special Functions of Applied Mathematics* (1977) Academic Press, New York.

[8] Gasper G. and Rahman M., *Basic Hypergeometric Series* (1990) Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

[9] Greenhill, A.G., *The Applications of Elliptic Functions* (1954) Dover, New York.

[10] Henrici, P., *Applied and Computational Complex Analysis*, Vol. I, (1974) J. Wiley & Sons, New York.

[11] Lawden, D.F., *Ellipitic Functions and Applications* Applied Math. Sciences **80** (1989) Springer-Verlag, New York.

[12] Rainville E., *Special Functions* (1960) Macmillan, New York.

[13] Vuorinen M., "Hypergeometric Functions in Geometric Function Theory," in the *Proceedings of Special Functions and Differential Equations*, Eds.: Srinivasa K.R., Jagannthan R. and Van der Jeugy G., (1998) Allied Publishers, to be published.