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Section 1. Goals and Accomplishments

Goal 1: Recruit, retain, and graduate a larger, more academically prepared, and more diverse student body.

- A grant from the National Science Foundation Scholars in Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics Program helped to establish the South Plains Mathematics Scholars Program. The program provides annual scholarships of up to $10,000 to students from underrepresented groups or of financial need, who plan to pursue a degree in mathematics. Eight additional students were accepted in this program in 2009, bringing the total to 20 students who are being supported on this program. Additionally, a faculty mentor was assigned to each scholarship recipient.
- From departmental scholarship endowments, the department awarded $137,000 in scholarships to 74 students.
- The department continues to host the following annual events that help to attract potential students from underrepresented groups in the West Texas area: 1) TexPREP-Lubbock, a summer academic program that fosters student interest in mathematics and engineering; 2) the Emmy Noether High School Mathematics Day attracted grade 8-12 female students from local schools to the TTU campus for a day of activities designed to encourage young women to seek careers in mathematics; 3) the Joy of Thinking program, which provides girls' math clubs in several local middle schools; and 4) the TTU Summer Math Academy, which provides an opportunity for high school students to conduct mathematics research under the guidance of a faculty member.

Goal 2: Enrich the educational experience at the undergraduate and graduate level.

- To obtain a more comprehensive assessment of basic knowledge and understanding of mathematics among graduating seniors and national comparative data, the department continued to administer the Educational Testing Service Major Field Exam during the spring and fall semesters.
- The department modified its embedded assessment process for measuring student learning outcomes in core courses by framing questions for assessment of student learning outcomes during the construction of the final exams (rather than tagging questions for that purpose after the fact).
- The department continued its second year of the two-year cycle for reviewing four core curriculum courses each semester (so that over a four semester cycle all 16 will be reviewed).
- Faculty have been engaged with directing undergraduate research, with 9 or more faculty participating over each of the past four years.
- The department provided support of $4980 to 15 graduate students to make presentations at professional conferences.
- The department administered 55 preliminary exams to graduate students, the highest number over the past six years. The pass rate for students taking these exams was 69%.

Goal 3: Advance research, creative endeavors, and professional activities.

- Faculty authored 94 scholarly journal publications that appeared in print. In addition, three books authored by faculty were published. For the 45 faculty submitting annual reports, there were also 34 peer-reviewed articles accepted for publication and 58 papers submitted. Eighty-four percent of the faculty either had a peer-reviewed publication appear, accepted or submitted.
- Faculty members gave 116 presentations at professional conferences, workshops, or colloquia at other universities.
- Eleven faculty served on editorial boards for 23 journals, including several as editor or associate editor.
- Five faculty served on federal review panels and 31 faculty served as referees for journals.
- The department hosted two conferences supported by the National Science Foundation that attracted scholars of international reputation: 1) the 9th Annual Red Raider Mini-Symposium; 2) MAA-PREP Workshop in Mathematical Biology.
- Faculty were awarded $2,380,437 in external funding from 22 external grants, the highest value over the past six years.

Goal 4: Develop partnerships and collaborations with the community, government and private entities, and other academic institutions (K-12, community colleges, and universities) that enhance the educational, research and service mission of the department.
The department continued its outreach to area schools with 22 faculty participating in activities such as TexPREP Lubbock, the Joy of Thinking, the Emmy Noether High School Mathematics Day, the TTU Summer Mathematics Academy.

Two faculty members served as contest directors for the Number Sense event at the Lubbock Interscholastic League, and another was part of the administration of the UIL Mathematics Competition at Coronado High School.

Faculty from the department have received funding from the National Science Foundation and from the Greater Texas Foundation for outreach activities to students and educators. The West Texas Middle School Math Partnership is a five-year professional enrichment and research project targeting middle school math teachers in West Texas. The GK-12: Building Bridges: Integrating Mathematics, Science and Engineering Education on the South Plains is a five-year program to prepare doctoral students to teaching in STEM programs. The Middle School Math and Science is a program to provide opportunities for math and science teachers to deepen their understanding of STEM content and pedagogical content knowledge.

Goal 5: Support and effectively utilize human resources by enhancing the quality of the work experience for faculty and staff in Mathematics and Statistics.

- The department appointed three visiting assistant professors who interacted with permanent faculty on research and outreach programs.
- Multimedia equipment was for classroom usage was purchased and installed on all first floor classrooms and half of the basement classrooms.
- All of the machines and monitors in Lab 009 were upgraded to facilitate student computing access in that lab.
- The department continues to maintain site license arrangements that provide faculty and students with accessibility to SAS, Matlab, Mathematica and Femlab. Additionally, the number of SAS licences and the number of Matlab toolboxes licences were increased.

Goal 6: Promote a strong positive image for Mathematics and Statistics locally, regionally, and nationally.

- One faculty member was recognized with a Professing Excellence Award from the Student Housing Association.
- Approximately one quarter of the faculty serve on editorial boards for archival journals and approximately 70% are reviewers for journals or federal funding agencies.
- The department hosted two conferences that attracted scholars from across the nation and around the world to Texas Tech University.
- The department continues update its webpage to provide departmental information about programs and activities. The department collected and prepared alumni, faculty and department information for a new release of its newsletter series.

Goal 7: Strengthen the department’s fiscal stability while enhancing performance, assessment, and public accountability.

- The department received $2,380,436 in external funding and F&A in the amount of $374,935.
Section 2. Universal Quantitative Data

### Student Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Headcount</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masters</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctoral</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>290</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                  |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| **Entry Scores** |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| SAT              | 1158 | 1240 | 1173 | 1184 | 1149 | 1200 |
| GRE-verbal      | 474  | 478  | 483  | 436  | 427  | 441  |
| GRE-math        | 697  | 740  | 741  | 743  | 742  | 752  |

|                  |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| **Semester Credit Hours** |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Undergraduate    | 27885| 27050| 26920| 27700| 28486| 29081|
| Masters          | 718  | 604  | 650  | 743  | 887  | 643  |
| Doctoral         | 604  | 618  | 468  | 428  | 560  | 746  |
| Law              |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| **Total**        | 29207| 28272| 28039| 28871| 29933| 30470|

|                  |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| **Number of Lecture Classes** |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Taught & Avg Class Size |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Lower Division       | 189  | 43   | 187  | 41   | 179  | 43   | 176  | 44   | 181  | 44   | 176  | 45   |
| Upper Division       | 25   | 32   | 26   | 34   | 27   | 30   | 30   | 32   | 32   | 30   | 31   | 33   |
| All Undergraduate    | 214  | 42   | 213  | 40   | 206  | 41   | 206  | 42   | 213  | 42   | 207  | 43   |
| Graduate             | 22   | 14   | 20   | 13   | 23   | 12   | 18   | 14   | 21   | 15   | 18   | 18   |
| All Students         | 236  | 39   | 233  | 38   | 229  | 38   | 224  | 40   | 234  | 39   | 225  | 41   |

### Faculty Information

|                  |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| **FTE**          |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Professor-Lecturer| 56.9 | 56.42| 57.12| 60.27| 58.5 | 50.5 |
| Graduate Asst. Teaching | 40 | 38.25 | 35.25 | 36.5 | 42.77 | 43.5 |
| Total            | 96.9 | 94.67| 92.37| 96.77| 101.27| 94   |

|                  |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| **Headcount**    |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Professor-Lecturer|      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Total            | 59   | 59   | 60   | 65   | 60   | 53   |
| Minority         | 1    | 1    | 2    | 3    | 2    | 2    |
| Tenured/Tenure Track |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Total            | 45   | 42   | 41   | 43   | 44   | 41   |
| Tenured          | 29   | 29   | 29   | 31   | 34   | 33   |

|                  |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| **Teaching Load**|      |      |      |      |      |      |
| SCH/FTE for total faculty | 301 | 299 | 304 | 298 | 296 | 324 |

|                  |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| **Student Faculty Ratio** |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|                  | 20:1 | 20:1 | 20:1 | 20:1 | 20:1 | 22:1 |

<p>| | | | | | | |
|                  |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| <strong>Faculty Salaries vs Peer</strong> |      |      |      |      |      |      |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Full-time Faculty - 9 Mo.)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Degrees Awarded</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masters</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctoral</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes Taught by Tenured/Tenure Track</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Lower Div. Classes Taught</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Ugrd Classes Taught</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching Salaries</td>
<td>4496018</td>
<td>4516886</td>
<td>4607568</td>
<td>4634527</td>
<td>4954907</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. Op. Expenses</td>
<td>408035</td>
<td>162039</td>
<td>167213</td>
<td>197423</td>
<td>208228</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4904053</td>
<td>4699025</td>
<td>4774781</td>
<td>4831950</td>
<td>5163135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per SCH</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per SCH In Const. $</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsored Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value by Home Department</td>
<td>406122</td>
<td>527683</td>
<td>1334823</td>
<td>822977</td>
<td>1543853</td>
<td>2380437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value by Submitting Unit</td>
<td>370272</td>
<td>370149</td>
<td>1192357</td>
<td>822977</td>
<td>1406093</td>
<td>2390437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundraising*</td>
<td>287417</td>
<td>39700</td>
<td>121860</td>
<td>62081</td>
<td>44324</td>
<td>179589</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*As provided by Development
## Mathematics and Statistics

### Area/Unit Specific Information

#### Section 3a. Quantitative Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty Research</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># Peer Reviewed Publications</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Faculty Active</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Books Published</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Presentations</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Faculty Active</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Faculty serving on Editorial Boards</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Faculty Reviewing for Journals, Books</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Faculty reviewing for Federal Funding Agencies</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Awards and Distinction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National/International</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Fellows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># New Fellows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Phi Beta Kappa Members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># New PBK members</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department Scholarship and Endowments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># Endowments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of Endowments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of Scholarships Awarded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of Scholarships Awarded</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graduate Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PhDs awarded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masters awarded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Faculty directing graduate students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># PhD Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Masters Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # Graduate Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # Full Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of GTA funded from AOF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ from AOF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># supported by Add Grad Tuition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ Grad Tuition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># supported -Misc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ from misc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outreach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># Faculty serving as McNair Mentors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of McNair Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Faculty serving as Clark Scholar Mentors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of Clark Scholar Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Faculty Participating in Formal Outreach Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Faculty directing undergraduate research projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alumni Placement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># placements in graduate school</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facilities Improvements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ Expended</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Student Performance on Preliminary Examinations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of Preliminary Examinations Administered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Preliminary Examinations Passed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment Success of PhD and Masters Graduates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of PhD Recipients Employed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Masters Recipients Employed or Admitted to Graduate School</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Success rate of Application of Bachelor Degree Recipients to Graduate Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Bachelor Degree Recipients Applying to Graduate School</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Success Rate of Applicants</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Success Rate of BA students taking the TExES exam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Examinations Attempted</th>
<th>97</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>42</th>
<th>44</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Success Rate</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Assessment of Undergraduate Student Learning - Calculus I

- % students displaying mastery of basic concepts: 63, 66, 66
- % students displaying mastery of computational skills: 53, 56, 55
- % students displaying mastery of multi-step problem solving: 37, 56, 66

### Assessment of Undergraduate Student Learning - Calculus II

- % students displaying mastery of basic concepts: 28, 31, 34
- Average score on questions covering basic concepts (See Commentary): 51, 46
- % students displaying mastery of computational skills: 58, 59, 50
- Average score on questions covering computational skills (See Commentary): 64, 51
- % students displaying mastery of multi-step problem solving: 44, 48, 44
- Average score on questions covering multi-step problems solving (See Commentary): 75, 58

### Assessment of Undergraduate Student Learning - Logic, Rigor, Proof

- % students who can write induction proofs: 75, 58, 60, 48
- Average score for induction proofs: 60, 67, 69, 58
- % students who can write direct proofs: 71, 59, 54, 58
- Average score for direct proofs: 56, 59, 56, 64
- % students who can write proofs by contradiction: 71, 55, 46, 53
- Average score for proofs by contradiction: 58, 59, 50, 56
- % students who mastered rules of logic: 71, 71, 75, 57
- Average score for rules of logic: 58, 68, 69, 54
- % students who can communicate technical ideas effectively: 71, 70, 57, 58
- Average score for communicating ideas effectively: 58, 61, 55, 55

### Assessment of Graduate Student Learning

- % students who mastered advanced mathematical concepts: 57, 68, 53
- Average score for advanced mathematical concepts (see commentary): 81, 75, 78
- % PhD graduates with published research (see commentary): 45, 75, 100, 50
- % students who demonstrated good writing skills (see commentary): 79, 80, 71, 82
- % students who demonstrated good oral communication skills (see commentary): 78, 82, 70, 80

### Assessment of Undergraduate Mastery of Basic Calculus:

- Differentiation (% showing competency. See commentary): 79, 69, 77
- Differentiation (Average Score. See commentary): 72, 72
- Integration (% showing competency. See commentary): 50, 61, 40
- Integration (Average Score. See commentary): 58, 58
- Multistep Problems (% showing competency. See commentary): 53, 52, 62
- Multistep Problems (Average Score. See commentary): 59, 70
- Applications (% showing competency. See commentary): 76, 55, 53
- Applications (Average Score. See commentary): 70, 64
- Infinite Series (% showing competency. See commentary): 76, 55, 53
- Infinite Series (Average Score. See commentary): 70, 64

### Senior Performance on National ETS Field Exam

- Average Percentile Rank: Complete Exam: 50, 90, 35
- Median Percentile Rank: Complete Exam: 55, 80, 20
- Average Percentile Rank: Calculus problems: 70, 95, 40
- Average Percentile Rank: Algebra problems (see commentary): 15, 70, 15
- Average Percentile Rank: Nonroutine problems (see commentary): 30, 90, 75

### Assessment of General Education Expected Learning Outcomes

- Apply arithmetic, algebra, geometry and statistics to solve problems:
  - M1430 (% showing competency. See commentary): 48
  - M1550 (% showing competency. See commentary): 47
  - M2300 (% showing competency. See commentary): 38
  - M2350 (% showing competency. See commentary): 36
  - M1300 (% showing competency. See commentary): 65
  - M1320 (% showing competency. See commentary): 48
  - M1351 (% showing competency. See commentary): 50
  - M2371 (% showing competency. See commentary): 48

- Represent and evaluate basic mathematical information:
  - M1331 (% showing competency. See commentary): 56
  - M2370 (% showing competency. See commentary): 76
  - M1430 (% showing competency. See commentary): 41
  - M1550 (% showing competency. See commentary): 37
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Percentage Showing Competency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M2300</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2350</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1300</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1320</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1351</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2371</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Use mathematical and logical reasoning to evaluate the validity of an argument**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Percentage Showing Competency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M2370</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2300</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1300</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1351</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2371</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Interpret mathematical models and draw inferences from them**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Percentage Showing Competency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1331</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2345</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2370</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2371</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1430</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1550</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2300</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2350</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1300</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1351</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2371</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 3b. Qualitative Information.

- Assessment Note: The Educational Testing Service Field exam was administered to seniors during the spring and fall semesters. The exam is basically divided into two parts: algebra and calculus.

- Assessment Note: The algebra part involves linear algebra, combinatorics and algebra. The calculus part involves basic calculus, some advanced calculus, and multi-step and conceptual questions that are called non-routine.

- Assessment Note: To assess the student learning outcomes related to mastery of logic, rigor and proof, and communication skill, instructors teaching the writing intensive courses, M3310, MATH 3360 and MATH 4350 were surveyed. The instructors rated the overall ability of the students on a scale of 0-100, and also reported the percentage of students who had achieved mastery of the skills.

- Assessment Note: The four expected learning outcomes for the mathematics core curriculum were mapped to questions on departmental finals of core courses. A course section taught by every instructor was selected by stratified random sampling. An average percentage score on each was calculated and a total score was reported by taking a weighted average across sections. A score of 70-75% was declared as knowledgeable and the number of students in order to compute the % of demonstrating mastery of a learning outcome.

- Assessment Note: Each long semester four of the sixteen mathematics core curriculum are scheduled to be surveyed. For Fall 2009 the four mathematics core curriculum courses surveyed were: Math 1300, Math 1320, Math 1351 & Math 2371. For M1300, the percentage results reported correspond to sample sizes of N=95; for M1320, the percentage results reported correspond to sample sizes of N=106; for M1351, the percentage results reported correspond to sample sizes of N=132; for M2371, the percentage results reported correspond to sample sizes of N=29.

- Assessment Note: To assess graduate student competency in oral and written communication skills, a survey of the advisory committee and the graduate advisor was undertaken. Faculty were asked to rate the competency of the students on a scale of 0-100 and those who scored 70 and above were deemed to be satisfactory.
Commentary:

The department has, for several years, made a concerted effort to focus more attention on assessment of the expected learning outcomes for the mathematics core curriculum courses. All faculty syllabi contain student learning outcomes for each course and assessment measures relevant to those learning outcomes, which in many cases are provided by templates taken from the Departmental Handbook.

During the past three years, new assessment initiatives related to degree program outcomes included the use of the Educational Testing Service Major Field Exam administered to senior majors, and greater use of embedded assessment utilizing departmental finals.

In previous years’ SPAR commentary it was noted that a primary concern of the department was to grow research capacity as measured by external funding. The total of external funding of research for this year (2009-2009) has exceeded $2 million, the highest figure in departmental history. The number of appearing publications and scholarly presentations at professional meetings are also up as compared to the recent five-year history.

The department has awarded 29 PhDs in the past five years, which is slightly below the targeted expectation of 6 per year. There appears to have been a monotonic decrease in awarded MS/MA degrees over the last five years which has been countered in 2010.

The department has a strong record of outreach activities that typically involve more than 50% of the faculty. Over the last seven years, faculty have hosted annual events such as TexPREP-Lubbock and the Emmy Noether High School Mathematics Day. More recently, the Joy of Thinking program and the TTU Summer Math Academy have hosted and sponsored by the faculty.

In addition to these programs, the department has good record of providing research experiences to our undergraduates, with 9 or more faculty directing undergraduate research over each of the past four years.

Implementation Plan:

More work remains to be done in the areas of systematizing the collection of assessment data, in particular that which is gathered from the mathematics core curriculum courses, undergraduate intensive writing courses (Math 3310, MATH 3360, MATH 4350) and the core graduate course on which the preliminary examinations are based. Part of this systematizing was addressed this past academic year (relative to the process of extracting assessment data via embedded questions on final exams) by constructing prototype questions for extraction purposes in advance rather than sifting the SLOs against the body of final exam questions after the fact.

At the undergraduate level, the department needs to improve its collection mechanisms of data from graduates and alumni to represent their experiences back into the curriculum evaluation process.

At the graduate level, in order to systematize the collection of data needed to assess written and oral communication skills, a questionnaire will be developed and distributed to thesis/dissertation advisory committee members at the time of a defense.

Currently the department supports about 90 graduate teaching assistants with most support coming from AOIF salaries. With the current size of the faculty (and only 2/3 of the faculty directing students), the department needs to emphasize and reward faculty involvement in graduate student direction. Increasing research funding would be a critical factor in growing the graduate program and increasing research capacity of the department and align the department with university strategic goals. Currently, about 60% of the faculty are consistently actively seeking external funding and this figure should be improved.