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#### Abstract

We are interested in the structure of almost complete intersection ideals of grade 3. We give three constructions of these ideals and their free resolutions: one from the commutative algebra point of view, an equivariant construction giving a nice canonical form, and finally an interpretation in terms of open sets in certain Schubert varieties.
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## Introduction

Let $R$ be a commutative noetherian local ring. A celebrated result of Buchsbaum and Eisenbud [10] states that every Gorenstein ideal in $R$ of grade 3 is generated by the $2 m \times 2 m$ Pfaffians of a $(2 m+1) \times(2 m+1)$ skew symmetric matrix. Later, Avramov [2] and Brown [6] independently proved a similar result for almost complete intersections. Their proofs are based on the fact that an almost complete intersection ideal is linked to a Gorenstein ideal; this means that their descriptions of the resolutions depend on certain choices, so they are not coordinate free.

In this paper we take three approaches to almost complete intersection ideals of grade 3. They involve different languages, so they can be appreciated by different audiences. However we show how these three approaches intertwine and influence each other.

The first approach uses only commutative and linear algebra. The main theorems about grade 3 almost complete intersection ideals in the local ring $R$ are stated in Section 1. They are proved in Section 2 and Appendices A C by specialization from the generic case. In the generic case we use the Buchsbaum-Eisenbud Acyclicity

[^0]Criterion and a computation with Pfaffians inspired by the Buchsbaum-Eisenbud Structure Theorems, see Remark 2.4, to construct the minimal free resolutions. We emphasize that our description of the resolutions in the generic case does not depend on linkage; this avoids an implicit change of basis present in [6], see Remark 2.8 Under this first and purely algebraic approach all statements are given full proofs; the next two approaches offer interpretations of the same statements.

The second approach, taken in Section 3, is to provide canonical equivariant forms of almost complete intersections. The ideals one obtains depend on a skew symmetric matrix and three vectors. This view of almost complete intersections was reached by analyzing the generic ring $\hat{R}_{g e n}$ constructed by Weyman [27]. The idea was to look for an open set in $\hat{R}_{g e n}$ of points where the corresponding resolution is a resolution of a perfect ideal. This set can be explicitly described as the points where localization of certain complex over $\hat{R}_{g e n}$ is split exact. Calculating this "splitting form" of an ideal of grade 3 with four generators led to our form of almost complete intersection. One could use the geometric technique of calculating syzygies to prove the acyclicity of these complexes but they are identical to those from the commutative algebra approach so we do not follow through on that. The advantage of this method is that one can give a geometric interpretation of the zero set of almost complete intersection ideals. Moreover the fact, first noticed in [2], that the skew symmetric matrix associated to an almost complete intersection ideal can be chosen with a $3 \times 3$ block of zeros on the diagonal is particularly natural under this approach.

Finally, in Section 4, we give a geometric interpretation of both Gorenstein ideals and almost complete intersections of grade 3. It turns out that they are intersections of the so-called big open cell with two Schubert varieties of codimension 3 in the connected component of the orthogonal Grassmannian $\operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)$ of isotropic subspaces of dimension $n$ in a $2 n$-dimensional orthogonal space. It is interesting that in this construction the two Schubert varieties appear together with a regular sequence by which they are linked. This pattern generalizes from the $D_{n}$ root system to $E_{6}, E_{7}$ and $E_{8}$; see Sam and Weyman [24]. We show that the defining ideals are exactly the same as in commutative algebra approach, but we indicate how one could see the graded format of the finite free resolutions just from representation theory viewpoint. Also, the fact about three submaximal Pfaffians forming a regular sequence get a clear geometric interpretation, as one can see geometrically that their zero set has codimension 3 .

## 1. Almost complete intersections following Avramov and Brown

For a grade 3 perfect ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in a commutative noetherian local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$, the minimal free resolution of the quotient ring $R / \mathfrak{a}$ has the form

$$
F=0 \longrightarrow F_{3} \longrightarrow F_{2} \longrightarrow F_{1} \longrightarrow F_{0}
$$

and we refer to the rank of $F_{3}$ as the type of $R / \mathfrak{a}$; if $R$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then this is indeed the Cohen-Macaulay type. Throughout the paper we treat quotients of odd and even type separately.

By a result of Buchsbaum and Eisenbud [10] the minimal free resolution $F$ has a structure of a skew commutative differential graded algebra. This structure is not unique, but the induced skew commutative algebra structure on $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{R}(R / \mathfrak{a}, k)$ is
unique. It provides for a classification of quotients $R / \mathfrak{a}$ as worked out Weyman [26] and by Avramov, Kustin, and Miller [3].

To state the main theorems about grade 3 almost complete intersection ideals in local rings we introduce some matrix-related notation.
1.1 Notation. Let $M$ be an $m \times n$ matrix with entries in a commutative ring. For subsets

$$
I=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, m\} \quad \text { and } \quad J=\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{l}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}
$$

with $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k}$ and $j_{1}<\cdots<j_{l}$ we write $M\left[i_{1} \ldots i_{k} ; j_{1} \ldots j_{l}\right]$ for the submatrix of $M$ obtained by taking the rows indexed by $I$ and the columns indexed by $J$. At times, it is more convenient to specify a submatrix in terms of removal of rows and columns: The symbol $M\left[\overline{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}} ; \overline{j_{1} \ldots j_{l}}\right]$ specifies the submatrix of $M$ obtained by removing the rows indexed by $I$ and the columns indexed by $J$. These notations can also be combined: For example, $M\left[\overline{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}} ; j_{1} \ldots j_{l}\right]$ is the submatrix obtained by taking the rows indexed by the complement of $I$ and the columns indexed by $J$.

For an $n \times n$ skew symmetric matrix $T$, the Pfaffian of $T$ is written $\operatorname{Pf}(T)$. For a subset $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ the Pfaffian of the submatrix $T\left[i_{1} \ldots i_{k} ; i_{1} \ldots i_{k}\right]$ is written $\mathrm{Pf}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}(T)$ while the Pfaffian of $T\left[\overline{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}} ; \overline{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}\right]$ is written $\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}}(T)$.
1.2 Theorem. Let $n \geqslant 5$ be an odd number. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring and $\mathfrak{a} \subset R$ a grade 3 almost complete intersection ideal such that $R / \mathfrak{a}$ is of type $n-3$. There exists an $n \times n$ skew symmetric block matrix

$$
U=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
O & B \\
\hline-B^{T} & A
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccl}
0 & 0 & 0 & t_{14} & t_{15} & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & t_{24} & t_{25} & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & t_{34} & t_{35} & \cdots \\
\hline-t_{14} & -t_{24} & -t_{34} & 0 & t_{45} & \cdots \\
-t_{15} & -t_{25} & -t_{35} & -t_{45} & 0 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

with entries in $\mathfrak{m}$ such that the minimal free resolution of $R / \mathfrak{a}$,

$$
F=0 \longrightarrow R^{n-3} \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} R^{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} R^{4} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} R
$$

has differentials

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{3}=\left(\frac{B}{A}\right), \\
\partial_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\operatorname{Pf}(A) & 0 & 0 & -\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{233}}(U) & \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{235}}(U) & \cdots \\
0 & \operatorname{Pf}(A) & 0 & -\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{132}}(U) & \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{135}}(U) & \cdots
\end{array} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13 n}}(U)\right. \\
0
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\partial_{1}=\left(-\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1}}(U) \quad \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{2}}(U)-\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{3}}(U) \quad \operatorname{Pf}(A)\right) .
$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{a}$ is generated by $\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1}}(U), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{2}}(U), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{3}}(U)$, and $\operatorname{Pf}(A)$. Moreover, the multiplicative structure on $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{R}(R / \mathfrak{a}, k)$ is of class $\mathbf{H}(3,2)$ if $R / \mathfrak{a}$ is of type 2 and otherwise of class $\mathbf{H}(3,0)$.

The proof of this theorem is given in 2.7 and the next theorem is proved in 2.12
1.3 Theorem. Let $n \geqslant 6$ be an even number. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring and $\mathfrak{a} \subset R$ a grade 3 almost complete intersection ideal such that $R / \mathfrak{a}$ is of type $n-3$. There exists an $n \times n$ skew symmetric block matrix $U$ as in Theorem 1.2 such that the minimal free resolution of $R / \mathfrak{a}$,

$$
F=0 \longrightarrow R^{n-3} \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} R^{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} R^{4} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} R,
$$

has differentials

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{3}=\binom{B}{A}, \\
\partial_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1234}}(U) & \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1235}}(U) & \cdots
\end{array}\right]-\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123 n}}(U) \\
\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13}}(U) \\
-\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{23}}(U) \\
-\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12}}(U) \\
0
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\partial_{1}=\left(\operatorname{Pf}(U) \quad \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12}}(U) \quad \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13}}(U) \quad \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{23}}(U)\right) .
$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{a}$ is generated by $\operatorname{Pf}(U), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12}}(U), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13}}(U)$, and $\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{23}}(U)$. Moreover, the multiplicative structure on $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{R}(R / \mathfrak{a}, k)$ is of class $\mathbf{T}$.

The proofs Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 have been deferred to the next section because we obtain them by specialization of statements about generic almost complete intersections.

## 2. Generic almost complete intersections

In this section and the appendices we deal extensively with relations between Pfaffians of submatrices $T\left[i_{1} \ldots i_{k} ; i_{1} \ldots i_{k}\right]$ of a fixed skew symmetric matrix $T$. It is, therefore, convenient to have the following variation on the notation from 1.1 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(i_{1} \ldots i_{k}\right)=\operatorname{Pf}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}(T) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}\right)=\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}}(T) \tag{2.0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It emphasizes the subset, which changes, over the matrix, which is fixed; for homogeneity we set $\mathrm{pf}_{T}=\operatorname{Pf}(T)$.
2.1 Setup. Let $n$ be a natural number and $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{i j} \mid 1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n\right]$ the polynomial algebra in indeterminates $\tau_{i j}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the $n \times n$ skew symmetric matrix with entries $\mathcal{T}[i ; j]=\tau_{i j}=-\mathcal{T}[j ; i]$ for $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$ and zeros on the diagonal. It looks like this:

$$
\mathcal{T}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \tau_{12} & \tau_{13} & \tau_{14} & \cdots \\
-\tau_{12} & 0 & \tau_{23} & \tau_{24} & \ldots \\
-\tau_{13} & -\tau_{23} & 0 & \tau_{34} & \cdots \\
-\tau_{14} & -\tau_{24} & -\tau_{34} & 0 & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

2.2 Lemma. Adopt the setup from 2.1 and denote by $\mathfrak{M}$ the ideal generated by the indeterminates $\tau_{i j}$. Let $\partial: \mathcal{R}^{n-3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{n}$ be the linear map given by the matrix $\mathcal{T}[1 \ldots n ; 4 \ldots n]$. One has $\partial\left(\mathcal{R}^{n-3}\right) \cap \mathfrak{M}^{2} \mathcal{R}^{n}=\partial\left(\mathfrak{M R}^{n-3}\right)$.

Proof. Let $g_{4}, \ldots, g_{n}$ and $f_{1}, \ldots f_{n}$ be the standard bases for the free modules $\mathcal{R}^{n-3}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{n}$. Let $x=\sum_{i=4}^{n} a_{i} g_{i}$ be an element of $\mathcal{R}^{n-3}$; one has

$$
\partial(x)=\sum_{i=4}^{n} a_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \tau_{j i} f_{j}-\sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \tau_{i j} f_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=4}^{j-1}-a_{i} \tau_{i j}+\sum_{i=j+1}^{n} a_{i} \tau_{j i}\right) f_{j}
$$

Thus, if $\partial(x)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{M}^{2} \mathcal{R}^{n}$, then all the elements $a_{i} \tau_{i j}$ and $a_{i} \tau_{j i}$ belong to $\mathfrak{M}^{2}$, which implies that the every $a_{i}$ is in $\mathfrak{M}$. Thus, $\partial\left(\mathcal{R}^{n-3}\right) \cap \mathfrak{M}^{2} \mathcal{R}^{n}$ is contained in $\partial\left(\mathfrak{M R}^{n-3}\right)$, and the opposite containment is trivial.

## Quotients of even type.

2.3 Theorem. Let $n \geqslant 5$ be an odd number; consider the ring $\mathcal{R}$ and the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathcal{T}$ from 2.1. The homomorphisms given by the matrices

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{3}=\mathcal{T}[1 \ldots n ; 4 \ldots n], \\
\partial_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & 0 & 0 & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{234}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{235}) & \cdots & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23 n}) \\
0 & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & 0 & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{134}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{135}) & \cdots & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13 n}) \\
0 & 0 & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{124}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{125}) & \cdots & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12 n}) \\
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{4}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{5}) & \cdots & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{n})
\end{array}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\partial_{1}=\left(-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1}) \quad \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2}) \quad-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3}) \quad \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right),
$$

define an exact sequence

$$
\mathcal{F}=0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{n-3} \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} \mathcal{R}^{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} \mathcal{R}^{4} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} \mathcal{R}
$$

That is, denoting by $\mathfrak{A}_{n}$ the ideal generated by the entries of $\partial_{1}$, the complex $\mathcal{F}$ is a free resolution of $\mathcal{R} / \mathfrak{A}_{n}$. Moreover, the ideal $\mathfrak{A}_{n}$ is perfect of grade 3 .

The proof of this theorem relies on a series of technical results that we defer to Appendix C. The proof shows how they come together.

Proof. It follows from Lemma C. 1 that $\mathcal{F}$ is a complex. The expected ranks of the homomorphisms $\partial_{3}, \partial_{2}$, and $\partial_{1}$ are $n-3,3$, and 1 . To show that the complex is exact at $\mathcal{R}^{n-3}, \mathcal{R}^{n}$, and $\mathcal{R}^{4}$ it suffices by the Buchsbaum-Eisenbud Acyclicity Criterion [8] to verify the inequalities

$$
\operatorname{grade}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(I_{n-3}\left(\partial_{3}\right)\right) \geqslant 3, \quad \operatorname{grade}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(I_{3}\left(\partial_{2}\right)\right) \geqslant 2, \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{grade}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(I_{1}\left(\partial_{1}\right)\right) \geqslant 1
$$

where as usual $I_{r}(\partial)$ denotes the ideal generated by the $r \times r$ minors of $\partial$. By Lemma C. 2 the ideal $I_{1}\left(\partial_{1}\right)=\mathfrak{A}_{n}$ has grade at least 3. By Lemma C. 3 the radical $\sqrt{\mathfrak{A}_{n}}$ is contained in $\sqrt{I_{n-3}\left(\partial_{3}\right)}$, so $\operatorname{grade}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(I_{n-3}\left(\partial_{3}\right)\right) \geqslant \operatorname{grade}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{n}\right) \geqslant 3$ holds. By Proposition C.4. the generators of $I_{3}\left(\partial_{2}\right)$ are products of generators of the ideals $I_{n-3}\left(\partial_{3}\right)$ and $I_{1}\left(\partial_{1}\right)=\mathfrak{A}_{n}$. It follows that the radical $\sqrt{I_{3}\left(\partial_{2}\right)}$ contains $\sqrt{\mathfrak{A}_{n}}$, so one also has $\operatorname{grade}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(I_{3}\left(\partial_{2}\right)\right) \geqslant 3$. Thus, $\mathcal{F}$ is a free resolution of $\mathcal{R} / \mathfrak{A}_{n}$; in particular, the projective dimension of $\mathcal{R} / \mathfrak{A}_{n}$ is at most 3 . As the grade of $\mathfrak{A}_{n}$ is at least 3 , it follows that $\mathfrak{A}_{n}$ is perfect of grade 3 .

The following commentary also applies to the proof of Theorem 2.9
2.4 Remark. The proof of Theorem 2.3 is based on establishing containments among radicals to ensure that the rank conditions in the Buchsbaum-Eisenbud

Acyclicity Criterion are met; per [9, Theorem 2.1] the conclusion that $\mathcal{F}$ is exact implies that the radicals $\sqrt{I_{n-3}\left(\partial_{3}\right)}, \sqrt{I_{3}\left(\partial_{2}\right)}$, and $\sqrt{I_{1}\left(\partial_{1}\right)}$ agree.

The inspiration for the pivotal Proposition C. 4 came from the same paper, namely from the Buchsbaum-Eisenbud Structure Theorems which, in the guise of [9, Corollary 5.1], say that for $\mathcal{F}$ to be a resolution the equality $I_{n-3}\left(\partial_{3}\right) I_{1}\left(\partial_{1}\right)=$ $I_{3}\left(\partial_{2}\right)$ must hold. The vehicle for the proof of Proposition C. 4 is a relation between the sub-Pfaffians and general minors of a skew symmetric matrix; it was first discovered by Brill 4 and reproved by us in [11 using Knuth's 17 combinatorial approach to Pfaffians in the same vein as in Appendices A C.

As noticed in [2] one can replace the upper left $3 \times 3$ block in $\mathcal{T}$ with a block of zeros without changing the ideal $\mathfrak{A}_{n}$.
2.5 Lemma. Let $n \geqslant 5$ be an odd number and $T=\left(t_{i j}\right)$ an $n \times n$ skew symmetric matrix with entries in a commutative ring $R$. Let $U$ be the matrix obtained from $T$ by replacing the upper left $3 \times 3$ block by a block of zeros; i.e.

$$
U=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & t_{14} & t_{15} & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & t_{24} & t_{25} & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & t_{34} & t_{35} & \cdots \\
-t_{14} & -t_{24} & -t_{34} & 0 & t_{45} & \cdots \\
-t_{15} & -t_{25} & -t_{35} & -t_{45} & 0 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

There is an equality of ideals in $R$,

$$
\left(\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1}}(T), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{2}}(T), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{3}}(T), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(T)\right)=\left(\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1}}(U), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{2}}(U), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{3}}(U), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(U)\right) .
$$

Proof. Notice that $\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12 i}}(T)=\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12 i}}(U)$ holds for $i \in\{3, \ldots, n\}$. Lemma A. 2 applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=2 \ldots n$ and $\ell=1$ now yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1}}(T) & =\sum_{i=3}^{n} t_{2 i}(-1)^{i-1} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12 i}}(T) \\
& =t_{23} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(U)+\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{2 i}(-1)^{i-1} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12 i}}(U)=t_{23} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(U)+\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1}}(U)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{2}}(T) & =\sum_{i=3}^{n} t_{1 i}(-1)^{i-1} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12 i}}(T) \\
& =t_{13} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(U)+\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{1 i}(-1)^{i-1} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12 i}}(U)=t_{13} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(U)+\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{2}}(U)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{3}}(T) & =t_{12} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(T)+\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{1 i}(-1)^{i-1} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13 i}}(T) \\
& =t_{12} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(U)+\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{1 i}(-1)^{i-1} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13 i}}(U)=t_{12} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(U)+\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{3}}(U) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The asserted equality of ideals is immediate from these three expressions.
2.6 Proposition. Let $n \geqslant 5$ be an odd number. Consider the ring $\mathcal{R}$ and the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathcal{T}$ from 2.1 as well as the ideal $\mathfrak{A}_{n}$ from Theorem 2.3. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the matrix obtained from $\mathcal{T}$ by replacing the upper left $3 \times 3$ block by a block of zeros; i.e.

$$
\mathcal{U}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & \tau_{14} & \tau_{15} & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \tau_{24} & \tau_{25} & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \tau_{34} & \tau_{35} & \cdots \\
-\tau_{14} & -\tau_{24} & -\tau_{34} & 0 & \tau_{45} & \cdots \\
-\tau_{15} & -\tau_{25} & -\tau_{35} & -\tau_{45} & 0 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

The homomorphisms given by the matrices

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{3}=\mathcal{U}[1 \ldots n ; 4 \ldots n], \\
\partial_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{123}) & 0 & 0 & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{234}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{235}) & \cdots & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{23 n}) \\
0 & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{123}) & 0 & -\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{134}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{135}) & \cdots & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{13 n}) \\
0 & 0 & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{123}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{124}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{125}) & \cdots & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{12 n}) \\
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{1}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{2}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{3}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{4}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{5}) & \cdots & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\bar{n})
\end{array}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\partial_{1}=\left(-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{1}) \quad \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{2})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{3}) \quad \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{123})\right)
$$

define a free resolution $\mathcal{L}=0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{n-3} \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} \mathcal{R}^{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} \mathcal{R}^{4} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} \mathcal{R}$ of $\mathcal{R} / \mathfrak{A}_{n}$. In particular, the ideal $\mathfrak{A}_{n}$ is generated by $\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{1}), \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{2}), \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{3})$, and $\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{123})$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.5 one has
$\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{1}), \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{2}), \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{3}), \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{123})\right)=\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1}), \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2}), \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3}), \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right)=\mathfrak{A}_{n}$.
Next we show how to obtain the free resolution $\mathcal{L}$ from the resolution $\mathcal{F}$ from Theorem 2.3. To distinguish the differentials on the resolutions we introduce superscripts $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{F}$. Consider the matrix

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\tau_{23} & -\tau_{13} & \tau_{12} & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { with inverse } \quad S^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\tau_{23} & \tau_{13} & -\tau_{12} & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2.5 one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1}) & =\tau_{23} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{123})+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{1})  \tag{1}\\
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2}) & =\tau_{13} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{123})+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{2})  \tag{2}\\
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3}) & =\tau_{12} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{123})+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{3}), \quad \text { and }  \tag{3}\\
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & =\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{123}) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

These identities show that one has $\partial_{1}^{\mathcal{L}}=\partial_{1}^{\mathcal{F}} S$. Thus, the matrices

$$
\partial_{3}^{\mathcal{F}}, \quad S^{-1} \partial_{2}^{\mathcal{F}}, \quad \text { and } \quad \partial_{1}^{\mathcal{F}} S
$$

determine a free resolution of $\mathcal{R} / \mathfrak{A}_{n}$. As the submatrices $\partial_{3}^{\mathcal{L}}=\mathcal{U}[1 \ldots n ; 4 \ldots n]$ and $\partial_{3}^{\mathcal{F}}=\mathcal{T}[1 \ldots n ; 4 \ldots n]$ agree, it suffices to show that $\partial_{2}^{\mathcal{L}}=S^{-1} \partial_{2}^{\mathcal{F}}$ holds.

For indices $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ one has

$$
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12 i})=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{12 i}), \quad \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13 i})=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{13 i}), \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23 i})=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{23 i})
$$

It follows that the first three rows in the matrices $\partial_{2}^{\mathcal{L}}, \partial_{2}^{\mathcal{F}}$, and $S^{-1} \partial_{2}^{\mathcal{F}}$ agree. We now focus of the fourth rows of $\partial_{2}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $S^{-1} \partial_{2}^{\mathcal{F}}$. The first three entries in the fourth rows agree by the identities (1), (2), and (3). Now fix $j \in\{4, \ldots, n\}$. Another application of Lemma A. 2 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{j})= & \tau_{12} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12 j})-\tau_{13} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13 j}) \\
& +\sum_{i=4}^{j-1}(-1)^{i} \tau_{1 i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1 i j})+\sum_{i=j+1}^{n}(-1)^{i-1} \tau_{1 i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1 i j})
\end{aligned}
$$

One has $\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1 i j})=\tau_{23} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123 i j})+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{1 i j})$, again by Lemma A.2, and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{j})- & \tau_{12} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12 j})+\tau_{13} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13 j}) \\
= & \tau_{23}\left(\sum_{i=4}^{j-1}(-1)^{i} \tau_{1 i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123 i j})+\sum_{i=j+1}^{n}(-1)^{i-1} \tau_{1 i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123 i j})\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=1}^{j-1}(-1)^{i} \tau_{1 i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{1 i j})+\sum_{i=j+1}^{n}(-1)^{i-1} \tau_{1 i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{1 i j}) \\
& =\tau_{23} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23 j})+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\bar{j}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows from two applications of Lemma A.2. This identity shows that the fourth row entries of $\partial_{2}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $S^{-1} \partial_{2}^{\mathcal{F}}$ agree in column $j$.

One could also establish Proposition 2.6 as follows: After invoking Lemma 2.5 . repeat the proof of Theorem 2.3 noticing at every step that the conclusions remain valid after evaluation at $\tau_{12}=\tau_{13}=\tau_{23}=0$.
2.7 Proof of Theorem 1.2. An almost complete intersection ideal of grade 3 is by [10, Proposition 5.2] linked to a Gorenstein ideal of grade 3, and Brown [6, Proposition 4.3] uses this to show that there exits a skew symmetric matrix $T$ with entries in $\mathfrak{m}$ such that $\mathfrak{a}$ is generated by the $\operatorname{Pfaffians~} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1}}(T), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{2}}(T), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{3}}(T)$, and $\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(T)$. Lemma 2.5 shows than one can replace the upper left $3 \times 3$ block in $T$ with zeroes and arrive at the asserted block matrix $U$.

Adopt Setup 2.1. Let $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow R$ be given by $\tau_{i j} \mapsto t_{i j}$; it makes $R$ an $\mathcal{R}$-algebra and maps Pfaffians of submatrices of $\mathcal{T}$ to the corresponding Pfaffians of submatrices of $U$, i.e. $\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})$ maps to $\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(U)$ etc. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the free resolution of $\mathcal{R} / \mathfrak{A}_{n}$ from Theorem 2.3. As one has $R / \mathfrak{a}=\mathcal{R} / \mathfrak{A}_{n} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} R$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ has grade 3 it follows from Bruns and Vetter [7, Theorem 3.5] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} R \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a free resolution of $R / \mathfrak{a}$ over $R$, and it is minimal as the differentials are given by matrices with entries in $\mathfrak{m}$.

We now establish parts of a multiplicative structure on $F$ : just enough to determine the multiplicative structure on the $k$-algebra $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{R}(R / \mathfrak{a}, k)$. Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{4}$, $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$, and $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n-3}$ be the standard bases for the free modules $F_{1}, F_{2}$, and $F_{3}$. From the three obvious Koszul relations one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{2}\left(e_{4} e_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(U) e_{1}+\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1}}(U) e_{4}=\partial_{2}\left(f_{1}\right), \\
& \partial_{2}\left(e_{4} e_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(U) e_{2}-\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{2}}(U) e_{4}=\partial_{2}\left(f_{2}\right) \text {, and } \\
& \partial_{2}\left(e_{4} e_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(U) e_{3}+\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{3}}(U) e_{4}=\partial_{2}\left(f_{3}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus one can set

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{4} e_{1}=f_{1}, \quad e_{4} e_{2}=f_{2}, \quad \text { and } \quad e_{4} e_{3}=f_{3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These three products in $F$ induce non-trivial products in $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{R}(R / \mathfrak{a}, k)$. Applying Lemma A. 2 the same way as in the proof of Lemma 2.5 one gets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{2}\left(e_{1} e_{2}\right)=-\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1}}(U) e_{2}-\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{2}}(U) e_{1}=\partial_{2}\left(\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{3 i} f_{i}\right), \\
& \partial_{2}\left(e_{2} e_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{2}}(U) e_{3}+\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{3}}(U) e_{2}=\partial_{2}\left(\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{1 i} f_{i}\right), \text { and } \\
& \partial_{2}\left(e_{3} e_{1}\right)=-\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{3}}(U) e_{1}+\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1}}(U) e_{3}=\partial_{2}\left(\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{2 i} f_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus one can set

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1} e_{2}=\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{3 i} f_{i}, \quad e_{2} e_{3}=\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{1 i} f_{i}, \quad \text { and } \quad e_{3} e_{1}=\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{2 i} f_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The products (2) induce trivial products in $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{R}(R / \mathfrak{a}, k)$. We have now accounted for all products of elements from $F_{1}$, so $R / \mathfrak{a}$ is of class $\mathbf{H}(3, q)$, where $q$ denotes the dimension of the subspace $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(R / \mathfrak{a}, k) \cdot \operatorname{Tor}_{2}^{R}(R / \mathfrak{a}, k)$ of $\operatorname{Tor}_{3}^{R}(R / \mathfrak{a}, k)$; see [3, Theorem 2.1].

For $j \in\{1,2,3\}$ one has

$$
\partial_{3}\left(e_{4} f_{j}\right)=\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(U) f_{j}-e_{4}\left(\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(U) e_{j} \pm \operatorname{Pf}_{\bar{j}}(U) e_{4}\right)=0
$$

by (1). Since $\partial_{3}$ is injective, one has

$$
e_{4} f_{1}=e_{4} f_{2}=e_{4} f_{3}=0
$$

For $j \in\{4, \ldots, n\}$ one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{3}\left(e_{4} f_{j}\right)= & \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(U) f_{j} \\
& \quad+(-1)^{j} e_{4}\left(\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{23 j}}(U) e_{1}+\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13 j}}(U) e_{2}+\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12 j}}(U) e_{3} \operatorname{Pf}_{\bar{j}}(U) e_{4}\right)  \tag{3}\\
= & (-1)^{j}\left(\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{23 j}}(U) f_{1}+\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13 j}}(U) f_{2}+\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12 j}}(U) f_{3}\right)-\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(U) f_{j},
\end{align*}
$$

again by (1). Thus, for $n \geqslant 7$ one has $\partial_{3}\left(e_{4} f_{j}\right) \in \mathfrak{m}^{2} F_{2}$. In this case it follows from Lemma 2.2 and (0) that there is an element $x_{j} \in \mathfrak{m} F_{3}$ with $\partial_{3}\left(x_{j}\right)=\partial_{3}\left(e_{4} f_{j}\right)$, so by injectivity of $\partial_{3}$ one has $e_{4} f_{j}=x_{j}$; in particular this product induces a trivial product in $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{R}(R / \mathfrak{a}, k)$. For $n=5$ one has $j \in\{4,5\}$ and (3) specializes to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{3}\left(e_{4} f_{4}\right)=t_{15} f_{1}+t_{25} f_{2}+t_{35} f_{3}-t_{45} f_{4}=\partial_{3}\left(g_{2}\right) \quad \text { and } \\
& \partial_{3}\left(e_{4} f_{5}\right)=-\left(t_{14} f_{1}+t_{24} f_{2}+t_{34} f_{3}+t_{45} f_{5}\right)=-\partial_{3}\left(g_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\partial_{3}$ is injective, this shows that in this case one has

$$
e_{4} f_{4}=g_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad e_{4} f_{5}=-g_{1}
$$

To prove the assertion about the multiplicative structure on $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{R}(R / \mathfrak{a}, k)$, it suffices to show that there are no further non-zero products in $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(R / \mathfrak{a}, k) \cdot \operatorname{Tor}_{2}^{R}(R / \mathfrak{a}, k)$. To this end it suffices by Lemma 2.2 and (0) to shows that $\partial_{3}\left(e_{i} f_{j}\right)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{m}^{2} F_{2}$ for indices $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$. For $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 3$ one has

$$
\partial_{3}\left(e_{i} f_{j}\right)=(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Pf}_{\bar{i}}(U) f_{j}-e_{i}\left(\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123}}(U) e_{j}+(-1)^{j-1} \operatorname{Pf}_{\bar{j}}(U) e_{4}\right)
$$

This is indeed in $\mathfrak{m}^{2} F_{2}$ as $\operatorname{Pf}_{\bar{i}}(U)$ and $\operatorname{Pf}_{\bar{j}}(U)$ belong to $\mathfrak{m}^{2}$, and $e_{i} e_{j} \in \mathfrak{m} F_{2}$ by (2). For indices $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$ and $4 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{3}\left(e_{i} f_{j}\right)= & (-1)^{i} \operatorname{Pf}_{\bar{i}}(U) f_{j} \\
& -e_{i}(-1)^{j-1}\left(\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{23 j}}(U) e_{1}+\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13 j}}(U) e_{2}+\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12 j}}(U) e_{3}+\operatorname{Pf}_{\bar{j}}(U) e_{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As above $\operatorname{Pf}_{\bar{i}}(U)$ and $\mathrm{Pf}_{\bar{j}}(U)$ belong to $\mathfrak{m}^{2}$, and the products $e_{i} e_{1}, e_{i} e_{2}$, and $e_{i} e_{3}$ belong to $\mathfrak{m} F_{2}$ by (2).

## Quotients of odd type.

2.8 Remark. In Brown's work [6], the statements to the effect that all almost complete intersection ideals come from skew symmetric matrices-Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 in loc. cit. as cited in our proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are separated from the descriptions of the free resolutions: Propositions 3.2 and 3.3 in loc. cit. The proofs of all four statements in 6 rely on the fact from 10 that almost complete intersection ideals are linked to Gorenstein ideals, but compare the proofs of [6, Propositions 3.2 and 4.2] for almost complete intersections of odd type: The linking sequence used in the description of the free resolution is different from the one used to associate a skew symmetric matrix; a change of basis argument is thus required to reconcile the two. Our explicit construction of the free resolution in the generic case, Theorems 2.3 and 2.9 , allows us to avoid such issues in the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 .
2.9 Theorem. Let $n \geqslant 6$ be an even number; consider the ring $\mathcal{R}$ and the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathcal{T}$ from 2.1. The homomorphisms given by the matrices

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{3}=\mathcal{T}[1 \ldots n ; 4 \ldots n], \\
\partial_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1234}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1235}) & \cdots & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123 n}) \\
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) & 0 & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{34}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{35}) & \cdots & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3 n}) \\
-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) & 0 & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{(24}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{25}) & \cdots & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{\overline{2 n}}) \\
0 & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{14}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{15}) & \cdots & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1 n})
\end{array}\right), ~
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\partial_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}} & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})
\end{array}\right)
$$

define an exact sequence

$$
\mathcal{F}=0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{n-3} \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} \mathcal{R}^{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} \mathcal{R}^{4} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} \mathcal{R}
$$

That is, denoting by $\mathfrak{A}_{n}$ the ideal generated by the entries of $\partial_{1}$, the complex $\mathcal{F}$ is a free resolution of $\mathcal{R} / \mathfrak{A}_{n}$. Moreover, the ideal $\mathfrak{A}_{n}$ is perfect of grade 3 .

Proof. The proof of Theorem 2.3 applies, one only needs to replace the references to C. 1 C. 4 with references to C.5 C. 8 .
2.10 Lemma. Let $n \geqslant 6$ be an even number and $T=\left(t_{i j}\right)$ an $n \times n$ skew symmetric matrix with entries in a commutative ring $R$. Let $U$ be the matrix obtained from $T$ by replacing the upper left $3 \times 3$ block by a block of zeros; see Lemma 2.5. There is an equality of ideals in $R$

$$
\left(\operatorname{Pf}(T), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12}}(T), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13}}(T), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{23}}(T)\right)=\left(\operatorname{Pf}(U), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12}}(U), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13}}(U), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{23}}(U)\right)
$$

Proof. First notice that one has
(1) $\quad \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12}}(T)=\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12}}(U), \quad \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13}}(T)=\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13}}(U)$, and $\quad \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{23}}(T)=\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{23}}(U)$.

Lemma A. 2 applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=1 \ldots n$ and $\ell=1$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Pf}(T) & =\sum_{i=2}^{n} t_{1 i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1 i}}(T)  \tag{2}\\
& =t_{12} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12}}(T)-t_{13} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13}}(T)+\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{1 i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1 i}}(T) .
\end{align*}
$$

For $i \geqslant 4$ the same lemma applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=2 \ldots n \backslash i$ and $\ell=2$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1 \imath}}(T)=t_{23} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123 i}}(T)+\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1},}(U) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2), (3), and further applications of A.2 one now gets

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Pf}(T) & -t_{12} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12}}(T)+t_{13} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13}}(T) \\
& =t_{23} \sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{1 i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123 i}}(T)+\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{1 i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1 \bar{i}}}(U)  \tag{4}\\
& =t_{23} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{23}}(T)+\operatorname{Pf}(U) .
\end{align*}
$$

The asserted equality of ideals is immediate from (1) and (4).
2.11 Proposition. Let $n \geqslant 6$ be an even number. Consider the ring $\mathcal{R}$ and the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathcal{T}$ from 2.1 as well as the ideal $\mathfrak{A}_{n}$ from Theorem 2.9. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the matrix obtained from $\mathcal{T}$ by replacing the upper left $3 \times 3$ block by a block of zeros; see Proposition 2.6. The homomorphisms given by the matrices

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{3}=\mathcal{U}[1, \ldots, n ; 4, \ldots, n], \\
\partial_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{1234}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{1235}) & \cdots & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{123 n}) \\
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{13}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{23}) & 0 & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{34}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{35}) & \cdots & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{3 n}) \\
-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{12}) & 0 & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{23}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{24}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{25}) & \cdots & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{2 n}) \\
0 & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{12}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{13}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{14}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{15}) & \cdots & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{1 n})
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\partial_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{U}} & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{12}) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{13}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{23})
\end{array}\right)
$$

define a free resolution $\mathcal{L}=0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{n-3} \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} \mathcal{R}^{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} \mathcal{R}^{4} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} \mathcal{R}$ of $\mathcal{R} / \mathfrak{A}_{n}$. In particular, the ideal $\mathfrak{A}_{n}$ is generated by $\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}, \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{12}), \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{13})$, and $\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{23})$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.10 one has

$$
\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}, \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{12}), \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{13}), \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{23})\right)=\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}, \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}), \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}), \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})\right)=\mathfrak{A}_{n}
$$

As in the proof of Proposition 2.6, we proceed to show how the free resolution $\mathcal{L}$ is obtained from the resolution $\mathcal{F}$ from Theorem [2.9. To distinguish the differentials on the resolutions we introduce superscripts $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{F}$. Consider the matrix

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\tau_{12} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\tau_{13} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\tau_{23} & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { with inverse } \quad S^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\tau_{12} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-\tau_{13} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\tau_{23} & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Notice that one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{12}), \quad \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{13}), \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{23}) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2.10 one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}=\tau_{23} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These identities yield $\partial_{1}^{\mathcal{L}}=\partial_{1}^{\mathcal{F}} S$. Thus, the matrices $\partial_{3}^{\mathcal{F}}, S^{-1} \partial_{2}^{\mathcal{F}}$, and $\partial_{1}^{\mathcal{F}} S$ determine a free resolution of $\mathcal{R} / \mathfrak{A}_{n}$. As the matrices $\partial_{3}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\partial_{3}^{\mathcal{F}}$ agree, it suffices to show that $\partial_{2}^{\mathcal{L}}=S^{-1} \partial_{2}^{\mathcal{F}}$ holds.

By (1) the first three columns of the matrices $\partial_{2}^{\mathcal{L}}, \partial_{2}^{\mathcal{F}}$, and $S^{-1} \partial_{2}^{\mathcal{F}}$ agree. For indices $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ one has $\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123 i})=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{123 i})$, so also the top rows in the matrices $\partial_{2}^{\mathcal{L}}, \partial_{2}^{\mathcal{F}}$, and $S^{-1} \partial_{2}^{\mathcal{F}}$ agree. Now fix $i \in\{4, \ldots, n\}$. The $(4, i)$ entry in the matrix $S^{-1} \partial_{2}^{\mathcal{F}}$ is

$$
\tau_{23}(-1)^{i-1} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123 i})+(-1)^{i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1 i})
$$

To see that this is indeed $(-1)^{i-1} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{123 i})$, the $(4, i)$ entry in $\partial_{2}^{\mathcal{L}}$, apply Lemma A. 2 with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=2 \ldots n \backslash i$ and $\ell=2$ to get

$$
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1 i})=\tau_{23} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123 i})+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{1 i})
$$

Similar applications of Lemma A. 2 yield the identities

$$
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2 i})=\tau_{13} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123 i})+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{2 i}) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3 i})=\tau_{12} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123 i})+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{3 i})
$$

which show that also the $(3, i)$ and $(2, i)$ entries in the two matrices agree.
2.12 Proof of Theorem 1.3. An almost complete intersection ideal of grade 3 is by [10, Proposition 5.2] linked to a Gorenstein ideal of grade 3, and Brown [6, Proposition 4.2] uses this to show that there exits a skew symmetric matrix $T$ with entries in $\mathfrak{m}$ such that $\mathfrak{a}$ is generated by the $\operatorname{Pfaffians~} \operatorname{Pf}(T), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12}}(T), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13}}(T)$, and $\mathrm{Pf}_{\overline{23}}(T)$. Lemma 2.10 shows than one can replace the upper left $3 \times 3$ block in $T$ with zeroes and arrive at the asserted block matrix $U$. Adopt Setup 2.1 and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the free resolution of $\mathcal{R} / \mathfrak{A}_{n}$ from Theorem 2.9 . As in the proof of Theorem 1.2 one sees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} R \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a minimal free resolution of $R / \mathfrak{a}$ over $R$.
As in the proof of Theorem 1.2 we proceed to determine enough of a multiplicative structure on $F$ to recognize the multiplicative structure on $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{R}(R / \mathfrak{a}, k)$. Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{4}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$, and $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n-3}$ be the standard bases for the free modules $F_{1}, F_{2}$, and $F_{3}$. From the three obvious Koszul relations one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{2}\left(e_{2} e_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12}}(U) e_{3}-\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13}}(U) e_{2} \\
& \partial_{2}\left(e_{3} e_{4}\right)=\partial_{2}\left(-f_{1}\right), \\
& \partial_{2}\left(e_{4} e_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{23}}(U) e_{4}-e_{2}-\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{23}}(U) e_{3}=\partial_{2}\left(-f_{3}\right), \text { and } \\
&=\partial_{2}\left(-f_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus one can set

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{2} e_{3}=-f_{1}, \quad e_{3} e_{4}=-f_{3}, \quad \text { and } \quad e_{4} e_{2}=-f_{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These three products in $F$ induce non-trivial products in $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{R}(R / \mathfrak{a}, k)$. Repeated applications of Lemma A. 2 yield:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{2}\left(e_{1} e_{2}\right) & =\operatorname{Pf}(T) e_{2}-\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{12}}(U) e_{1} \\
& =-\left(\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{3 i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123 i}}(U)\right) e_{1}+\left(\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{3 i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{3 i}}(U)\right) e_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\partial_{2}\left(\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{3 i} f_{i}\right) \\
\partial_{2}\left(e_{1} e_{3}\right) & =\operatorname{Pf}(T) e_{3}-\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{13}}(U) e_{3}=\partial_{2}\left(\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{2 i} f_{i}\right), \text { and } \\
\partial_{2}\left(e_{1} e_{4}\right) & =\operatorname{Pf}(T) e_{4}-\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{23}}(U) e_{1}=\partial_{2}\left(\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{1 i} f_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus one can set

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1} e_{2}=\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{3 i} f_{i}, \quad e_{1} e_{3}=\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{2 i} f_{i}, \quad \text { and } \quad e_{1} e_{4}=\sum_{i=4}^{n} t_{1 i} f_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The products (2) induce trivial products in $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{R}(R / \mathfrak{a}, k)$. We have now accounted for all products of elements from $F_{1}$. To prove that $R / \mathfrak{a}$ is of class $\mathbf{T}$ it suffices to show that all products of the form $e_{i} f_{j}$ induce the zero product in $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{R}(R / \mathfrak{a}, k)$; see [3, Theorem 2.1]. To this end, it suffices by Lemma 2.2 and (0) to shows that $\partial_{3}\left(e_{i} f_{j}\right)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{m}^{2} F_{2}$ for all indices $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$. One has

$$
\partial_{3}\left(e_{i} f_{j}\right)=\partial_{1}\left(e_{i}\right) f_{j}-\partial_{2}\left(f_{j}\right) e_{i}
$$

For all $i$ one has $\partial_{1}\left(e_{i}\right) \in \mathfrak{m}^{2}$, and for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant 3$ also $\partial_{2}\left(f_{j}\right)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{m}^{2}$. For $4 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ one has

$$
\partial_{2}\left(f_{j}\right) e_{i}=(-1)^{j-1}\left(\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{123 j}}(U) e_{1}-\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{3 j}}(U) e_{2}+\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{2 j}}(U) e_{3}-\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1 j}}(U) e_{4}\right) e_{i}
$$

This too is in $\mathfrak{m}^{2} F_{2}$ as the coefficients $\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1 j}}(U), \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{2 j}}(U)$, and $\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{3 j}}(U)$ belong to $\mathfrak{m}^{2}$ and the product $e_{1} e_{i}$ is in $\mathfrak{m} F_{2}$.

## 3. The equivariant form of the format $(1,4, n, n-3)$

In this section we give an equivariant interpretation of generic four generated perfect ideals of codimension three. These ideals were already considered from a purely algebraic point of view in Section 2, and they will be treated as linear sections of Schubert varieties in Section 4

Quotients of even type. Let $n=2 m+3$ where $m$ is a natural number. Consider a $2 m \times 2 m$ generic skew symmetric matrix $A=\left(c_{i j}\right)$ and a $3 \times 2 m$ generic matrix $B=\left(u_{k i}\right)$. Thus we work over a ring

$$
\mathcal{A}=\operatorname{Sym}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\bigwedge^{2} F \oplus F \otimes G\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[c_{i j}, u_{k i}\right]
$$

where $F=\mathbb{Z}^{2 m}$ and $G=\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ are free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. The $\operatorname{ring} \mathcal{A}$ has an obvious bigrading with $\left|c_{i j}\right|=(1,0)$ and $\left|u_{k i}\right|=(0,1)$.
3.1 Proposition. Let $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{2 m}\right\}$ be a basis for $F$ and set

$$
C=\sum_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 2 m} c_{i j} g_{i} \wedge g_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad u_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 m} u_{k i} g_{i} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant k \leqslant 3
$$

We denote by $C^{j}$ the $j^{\text {th }}$ exterior power in $\bigwedge^{2 j} F$. The ideal

$$
\mathcal{I}_{n}=\left(C^{m}, C^{m-1} \wedge u_{1} \wedge u_{2}, C^{m-1} \wedge u_{1} \wedge u_{3}, C^{m-1} \wedge u_{2} \wedge u_{3}\right)
$$

is a grade 3 almost complete intersection ideal of type $2 m=n-3$.

Proof. The exterior powers $C^{j}$ have a natural description in terms of Pfaffians of the matrix $A$,

$$
C^{j}=\sum_{1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{2 j} \leqslant 2 m} \operatorname{Pf}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{2 j}}(A) \cdot g_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge g_{i_{2 j}}
$$

Plugging these in, we see that we get the generators of the ideals described in this proposition from the matrix $\mathcal{U}$ in Proposition 2.6 via the substitutions $c_{i j}=\tau_{i+3, j+3}$ and $u_{i, j}=\tau_{i, j+3}$.

Let us work out the minimal free resolution of the ideal defined above.
3.2 Proposition. Let $n=2 m+3$ and $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ be the ideal from Proposition 3.1. The minimal graded free resolution of the cyclic $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{I}_{n}$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{F}_{\bullet}: 0 \longrightarrow F \otimes\left(\bigwedge^{2 m} F\right)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \bigwedge^{3} G \otimes \mathcal{A}(-2 m+1,-3) \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} \\
\left(\bigwedge^{2 m} F\right)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \bigwedge^{2} G \otimes \mathcal{A}(-2 m+1,-2) \oplus \bigwedge^{2 m} F \otimes \bigwedge^{2 m-1} F \otimes \bigwedge^{3} G \otimes \mathcal{A}(-2 m+2,-3) \\
\xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} \bigwedge^{2 m} F \otimes \mathcal{A}(-m, 0) \oplus \bigwedge^{2 m} F \otimes \bigwedge^{2} G \otimes \mathcal{A}(-m+1,-2) \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} \mathcal{A} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The differentials $\partial_{3}, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}$ are described in the proof below. For every field $k$ the resolution $\mathcal{F}_{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$ is minimal over

$$
\left.\mathcal{A}_{k}=\operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left(\bigwedge^{2} \bar{F} \oplus \bar{F} \otimes \bar{G}\right)\right) \cong k\left[c_{i j}, u_{k i}\right]
$$

with $\bar{F}=F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$ and $\bar{G}=G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$. The ideal $\mathcal{I}_{n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$ is thus perfect of grade three.

Proof. Let us first describe the differentials in the complex $\mathcal{F}_{\bullet}$ in this setting. The last differential $\partial_{3}$ is just a $(2 m+3) \times 2 m$ matrix with the $2 m \times 2 m$ block given by the matrix $A$ and the $3 \times 2 m$ block given by the matrix $B$. The differential $\partial_{2}$ can be expressed in block form as

$$
\partial_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
\hline A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A_{21}$ is given by multiplication by the representation $\bigwedge^{2 m} F$ occurring in the degree $(m, 0)$ component of $\mathcal{A}$. The matrix $A_{22}$ is given by multiplication by the representation $\bigwedge^{2 m-1} F \otimes G$ occurring in the degree $(m-1,1)$ of $\mathcal{A}$. The matrix $A_{11}$ is given by multiplication by the representation $\bigwedge^{2 m} F \otimes \bigwedge^{2} G$ occurring in the degree $(m-1,2)$ component of $\mathcal{A}$ and $A_{12}$ is given by multiplication by the representation $\bigwedge^{2 m-1} F \otimes \bigwedge^{3} G$ occurring in the degree $(m-2,3)$ component of $\mathcal{A}$. The relations coming from the second summand are three Koszul relations between the last generator and the three others. The differential $\partial_{1}$ is given by the generators of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$.

The matrices of the differentials are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} & \ldots & u_{12 m} \\
u_{21} & u_{22} & u_{23} & \ldots & u_{22 m} \\
u_{31} & u_{32} & u_{33} & \ldots & u_{32 m} \\
0 & c_{12} & c_{13} & \ldots & c_{12 m} \\
-c_{12} & 0 & c_{23} & \ldots & c_{22 m} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
-c_{12 m} & -c_{22 m} & -c_{32 m} & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& \partial_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
-x_{1} & -x_{2} & -x_{3} & w_{1} & w_{2} & \cdots & w_{2 m} \\
x_{4} & 0 & 0 & v_{\{2,3\} 1} & v_{\{2,3\} 2} & \cdots & v_{\{2,3\} 2 m} \\
0 & x_{4} & 0 & v_{\{1,3\} 1} & -v_{\{1,3\} 2} & \cdots & -v_{\{1,3\} 2 m} \\
0 & 0 & x_{4} & v_{\{1,2\} 1} & v_{\{1,2\} 2} & \cdots & v_{\{1,2\} 2 m}
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\partial_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with entries as defined below

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}=C^{m}, x_{2} & =C^{m-1} \wedge u_{2} \wedge u_{3}, x_{3}=C^{m-1} \wedge u_{1} \wedge u_{3}, x_{4}=C^{m-1} \wedge u_{1} \wedge u_{2} \\
v_{\{\alpha, \beta\} i} & =\sum_{j} u_{\gamma j} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{i j}}(C), \quad \text { and } \quad w_{i}=\sum_{j, k, l} \pm \Delta^{j, k, l} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{i j k l}}(C)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\Delta^{j, k, l}$ is a $3 \times 3$ minor of the $3 \times(2 m)$ matrix $B$ on columns $j, k, l$. Finally $\gamma$ is the complement of $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ in the set $\{1,2,3\}$.

The exterior powers $C^{j}$ have a natural description in terms of Pfaffians of the matrix $A$,

$$
C^{j}=\sum_{1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{2 j} \leqslant 2 m} \operatorname{Pf}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{2 j}}(A) \cdot g_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge g_{i_{2 j}}
$$

Plugging these in, we see that we get the generators of the ideals described in Proposition 3.1 from the matrix $\mathcal{U}$ from Proposition 2.6 via the substitution $c_{i j}=$ $\tau_{i+3, j+3}$ and $u_{i, j}=\tau_{i, j+3}$. Using this substitution we see our complex is just the complex described in Proposition 2.6.

Notice that the representation theory dictates what the differentials should be, as each component of $\partial_{3}, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}$ is determined by the equivariance property with respect to $\mathrm{GL}(F) \times \operatorname{GL}(G)$ up to a non-zero scalar.

Quotients of odd type. There is a nice analogue in the odd case. Let $n=2 m+4$ where $m$ is a natural number. Consider a $(2 m+1) \times(2 m+1)$ generic skew symmetric matrix $A=\left(c_{i j}\right)$ and a $3 \times(2 m+1)$ generic matrix $B=\left(u_{k i}\right)$. Thus we work over a ring $\mathcal{A}=\operatorname{Sym}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\bigwedge^{2} F \oplus F \otimes G\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[c_{i j}, u_{k i}\right]$ where $F=\mathbb{Z}^{2 m+1}$ and $G=\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ are free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules.
3.3 Proposition. Let $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{2 m+1}\right\}$ be a basis for $F$ and set

$$
C=\sum_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 2 m+1} c_{i j} g_{i} \wedge g_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad u_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 m+1} u_{k i} g_{i} \text { for } 1 \leqslant k \leqslant 3 .
$$

Again we denote by $C^{j}$ the $j$-th exterior power of $C$ in $\bigwedge^{2 j} F$. The ideal

$$
\mathcal{I}_{n}=\left(C^{m-1} \wedge u_{1} \wedge u_{2} \wedge u_{3}, C^{m} \wedge u_{1}, C^{m} \wedge u_{2}, C^{m} \wedge u_{3}\right)
$$

is a grade 3 almost complete intersection of type $2 m+1=n-3$.
Proof. The exterior powers $C^{j}$ have a natural description in terms of Pfaffians of the matrix $A$.

$$
C^{j}=\sum_{1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{2 j} \leqslant 2 m} \operatorname{Pf}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{2 j}}(A) \cdot g_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge g_{i_{2 j}}
$$

Plugging these in, we see that we get the generators of the ideals described in this proposition from the matrix $\mathcal{U}$ in Proposition 2.11via the substitution $c_{i j}=\tau_{i+3, j+3}$ and $u_{i, j}=\tau_{i, j+3}$.

Let us work out the minimal free resolution of the ideal defined above.
3.4 Proposition. Let $n=2 m+4$ and $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ be the ideal from Proposition 3.3. The minimal graded free resolution of the cyclic $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{I}_{n}$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{F}_{\bullet}: 0 \longrightarrow F \otimes\left(\bigwedge^{2 m+1} F\right)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \bigwedge^{3} G \otimes \mathcal{A}(-2 m,-3) \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} \\
\left(\bigwedge^{2 m+1} F\right)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \bigwedge^{2 m+1} G \otimes \mathcal{A}(-2 m,-2) \oplus \bigwedge^{2 m} F \otimes \bigwedge^{2 m} F \otimes \bigwedge^{2 m} G \otimes \mathcal{A}(-2 m+1,-3) \\
\left.\xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} \bigwedge^{2 m+1} F \otimes \bigwedge^{2 m+1} G \otimes \mathcal{A}(-m+1,-3) \oplus \bigwedge^{2 m} F \otimes G \otimes \mathcal{A}(-m,-1)\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} \mathcal{A}
\end{gathered}
$$

The differentials $\partial_{3}, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}$ are described in the proof below. For every field $k$ the resolution $\mathcal{F}_{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$ is minimal over

$$
\left.\mathcal{A}_{k}=\operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left(\bigwedge^{2} \bar{F} \oplus \bar{F} \otimes \bar{G}\right)\right) \cong k\left[c_{i j}, u_{k i}\right]
$$

with $\bar{F}=F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$ and $\bar{G}=G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$. The ideal $\mathcal{I}_{n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$ is thus perfect of grade three.
Proof. Let us describe the differentials of the resolution in this setting. The last differential $\partial_{3}$ is just a $(2 m+3) \times 2 m$ matrix with the $2 m \times 2 m$ block given by the matrix $A$ and the $3 \times 2 m$ block given by three vectors. The differential $\partial_{2}$ can be expressed in block form as

$$
\partial_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
\hline A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A_{21}$ is zero. The matrix $A_{22}$ is given by multiplication by the representation $\bigwedge^{2 m} F$ occurring in the degree $(m, 0)$ component of $\mathcal{A}$. The matrix $A_{11}$ is given by multiplication by the representation $\bigwedge^{2 m+1} F \otimes G$ occurring in the degree $(m, 1)$ component of $\mathcal{A}$ and $A_{12}$ is given by multiplication by the representation $\Lambda^{2 m} F \otimes$ $\bigwedge^{2} G$ occurring in the degree $(m-1,2)$ component of $\mathcal{A}$. The differential $\partial_{1}$ is given by the generators of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$.

The matrices of differentials are:

$$
\partial_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} & \ldots & u_{12 m+1} \\
u_{21} & u_{22} & u_{23} & \ldots & u_{22 m+1} \\
u_{31} & u_{32} & u_{33} & \ldots & u_{32 m+1} \\
0 & c_{12} & c_{13} & \ldots & c_{12 m+1} \\
-c_{12} & 0 & c_{23} & \ldots & c_{22 m+1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
-c_{12 m+1} & -c_{22 m+1} & -c_{32 m+1} & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\partial_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & w_{1} & w_{2} & \ldots & w_{2 m+1} \\
x_{3} & x_{4} & 0 & v_{11} & v_{12} & \ldots & v_{12 m+1} \\
-x_{2} & 0 & x_{4} & v_{21} & v_{22} & \ldots & v_{22 m+1} \\
0 & -x_{2} & -x_{3} & v_{31} & v_{32} & \ldots & v_{32 m+1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\partial_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}=C^{m-1} \wedge u_{1} \wedge u_{2} \wedge u_{3}, \quad x_{2}=C^{m} \wedge u_{1}, \quad x_{3}=C^{m} \wedge u_{2}, \quad x_{4}=C^{m} \wedge u_{3} \\
w_{i}= \pm \operatorname{Pf}_{\bar{i}}(C), \quad \text { and } \quad v_{\gamma i}=\sum_{j, k} \pm \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{j, k} \operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{i j k}}(C)
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{j, k}$ is a $2 \times 2$ minor of the $3 \times(2 m+1)$ matrix $B$ on rows $\alpha, \beta$ and columns $j, k$. Finally $\gamma$ is the complement of $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ in the set $\{1,2,3\}$.

In order to prove exactness, notice that the exterior powers $C^{j}$ have a natural description in terms of Pfaffians of the matrix $A$.

$$
C^{j}=\sum_{1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{2 j} \leqslant 2 m} \operatorname{Pf}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{2 j}}(A) \cdot g_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge g_{i_{2 j}}
$$

Plugging these in we see that we we get the generators of the ideals described in Proposition 3.3 from the matrix $\mathcal{U}$ from Proposition 2.11, with substitutions $c_{i j}=\tau_{i+3, j+3}$ and $u_{i, j}=\tau_{i, j+3}$. Using this substitution we see our complex is just the complex described in Proposition 2.11.

Notice that the representation theory dictates what the differentials should be, as each component of $\partial_{3}, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}$ is determined by the equivariance property with respect to $\mathrm{GL}(F) \times \mathrm{GL}(G)$ up to a non-zero scalar.

## 4. Schubert varieties in orthogonal Grassmannians vs. almost COMPLETE InTERSECTION AND GORENSTEIN IDEALS OF CODIMENSION 3

In this section we discuss connections between the ideals described in the previous sections and Schubert varieties in the isotropic Grassmannian of even dimensional orthogonal space. We start with a $2 n$-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{W}$ over a field $k$. We denote by $Q(\cdot, \cdot)$ a non-degenerate quadratic form on $\mathbb{W}$ that admits a hyperbolic basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}, \bar{e}_{n}, \ldots, \bar{e}_{2}, \bar{e}_{1}\right\}$. We deal with the special orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{W})$ of isometries of $\mathbb{W}$ of determinant 1 , and its double cover $\operatorname{Spin}(\mathbb{W})$. The maximal torus $T \cong\left(k^{*}\right)^{n}$ is contained in $\mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{W})$ as the diagonal matrices $\underline{t}$ acting on $\mathbb{W}$ as follows

$$
\underline{t}\left(e_{i}\right)=t_{i} e_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \underline{t}\left(\bar{e}_{i}\right)=t_{i}^{-1} \bar{e}_{i} \quad \text { for } \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n .
$$

We consider the lattice of integral weights for $T$, which is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module with coordinate basis $\left\{\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}\right\}$. We identify $\epsilon_{i}$ with the weight of $e_{i}$ under this action; the weight of $\bar{e}_{i}$ is $-\epsilon_{i}$.

There is an associated root system of type $D_{n}$ with roots

$$
\left\{ \pm \epsilon_{i} \pm \epsilon_{j} \mid 1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n\right\}
$$

Simple roots are $\alpha_{i}=\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{i+1}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ and $\alpha_{n}=\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_{n}$. If $R\left(D_{n}\right)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ generated by roots, then the fundamental weights $\omega_{i}$ in the
dual $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)^{*}$ are generators of the dual lattice $\Lambda$, called the weight lattice, defined by $\omega_{i}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)=\delta_{i, j}$. We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{i} & =\epsilon_{1}+\cdots+\epsilon_{i} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-2 \\
\omega_{n-1} & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i}, \text { and } \\
\omega_{n} & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \epsilon_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The action of the Weyl group. The Weyl group $\mathrm{W}\left(D_{n}\right)$ acts on $\Lambda$ by linear maps that permute the roots. It is a subgroup of index 2 in a hyperoctahedral group. $\mathrm{W}\left(D_{n}\right)$ is generated by simple reflections $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}$. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ the reflection $s_{i}$ simply permutes $\epsilon_{i}$ and $\epsilon_{i+1}$, and $s_{n}$ acts as follows: $s_{n}\left(\epsilon_{i}\right)=\epsilon_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-2, s_{n}\left(\epsilon_{n-1}\right)=-\epsilon_{n}$, and $s_{n}\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)=-\epsilon_{n-1}$. It contains the permutation group $\mathrm{W}\left(A_{n-1}\right)$ on $n$ elements generated by simple reflections $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-2}, s_{n}$.

Over the field of complex numbers, one can classify representations of the group $\mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{W})$ and its double cover $\operatorname{Spin}(\mathbb{W})$. First, the category of representations of the Spin group is semi-simple, so every representation is a direct sum of irreducible ones. The irreducible representations are so-called highest weight representations $V(\lambda)$, where $\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \omega_{i}$ is an integral linear combination of fundamental weights with non-negative coefficients $\lambda_{i}$. The representations of $\operatorname{Spin}(\mathbb{W})$ are direct sums of only those irreducibles $V(\lambda)$ for which $\lambda$ written in terms of $\epsilon_{i}$ 's belongs to $\Lambda$. Over other fields, and over $\mathbb{Z}$, one can define appropriate analogues of highest weight representations.

We are interested in two particular representations: the half-spinor representations $V\left(\omega_{n-1}\right)$ and $V\left(\omega_{n}\right)$. They are closely connected, as we will show, to the space of skew symmetric matrices. To that end we recall some generalities about homogeneous spaces.

Let us work over an algebraically closed field $k$. Let $G$ be a reductive algebraic group and let $P_{i} \subset G$ be a parabolic subgroup stabilizing a fundamental weight $\omega_{i} \in \Lambda$. It is well-known that there is a canonical embedding of $G / P_{i}$ into $\mathbb{P}\left(V\left(\omega_{i}\right)\right)$. To describe this embedding, consider the Weyl group $W$, which naturally acts on $\Lambda$, and in it the stabilizer $W_{\omega_{i}}$ of the $i^{\text {th }}$ fundamental weight. For each $w \in W / W_{\omega_{i}}$, let $\dot{w} \in W$ be the unique minimal length representative. There is a cell decomposition

$$
G / P_{i}=\bigsqcup_{w \in W / W_{\omega_{i}}} B \dot{w} P_{i}
$$

called the Bruhat decomposition, where $B$ is the Borel subgroup contained in $P_{i}$. The embedding $G / P_{i} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(V\left(\omega_{i}\right)\right)$ is given by $b \dot{w} \mapsto\left[b \dot{w} \omega_{i}\right]$. In fact, we know that $G / P=\overline{G \cdot v_{\omega_{i}}}$, where $v_{\omega_{i}}$ is the highest weight vector in $V\left(\omega_{i}\right)$.

The cardinality of $W / W_{\omega_{i}}$ is the same as the cardinality of the orbit $W \cdot \omega_{i}$. Now, if the fundamental weight $\omega_{i}$ is minuscule, then this number coincides with the dimension $\operatorname{dim}_{k} V\left(\omega_{i}\right)$ of the fundamental representation. This implies that the Bruhat graph of the Bruhat interval in the Coxeter group ( $W, S$ ) corresponding to the minimal length representatives of the elements in $W / W_{\omega_{i}}$ coincides with the crystal graph associated to the representation $V\left(\omega_{i}\right)$.

Throughout the rest of this section we are interested in the case of a root system of type $D_{n}$ and the parabolic subgroup $P_{n-1}$, the homogeneous space $\operatorname{Spin}(2 n) / P_{n-1}$ is one of the two connected components of the isotropic Grassmannian $\operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)$.

It is well-known, see for example Laksmibai and Raghavan [18, Section 3.3], that the homogeneous coordinate ring of the connected component of $\operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)$, considered as a projective subvariety of the projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(V\left(\omega_{n-1}\right)\right)$, has a decomposition

$$
k[\operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)]=\bigoplus_{d \geqslant 0} V\left(d \omega_{n-1}\right)
$$

into irreducible representations of $\operatorname{Spin}(\mathbb{W})$, so each graded component of this ring is irreducible. The half-spinor representation $V\left(\omega_{n-1}\right)$ is a representation of dimension $2^{n-1}$ whose weights with respect to the Cartan subalgebra are $\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)$ with an even number of minuses. It has a twin representation $V\left(\omega_{n}\right)$ of dimension $2^{n-1}$ whose weights with respect to the Cartan subalgebra are $\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)$ with an odd number of minuses. Both half-spinor representations are constructed from the Clifford algebra of the quadratic form $Q$.

It is also known-Kostant's Theorem, see Garfinkle's dissertation [14]-that as a factor of $\operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left(V\left(\omega_{n-1}\right)\right)$ the coordinate ring $k[\operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)]$ is generated by quadratic equations. The generators of $k[\mathrm{OGr}(n, 2 n)]$ are the spinor coordinates; they can be indexed by the cosets $W\left(D_{n}\right) / W\left(A_{n-1}\right)$. We denote by $q_{w}$ the spinor coordinate corresponding to $w \in W\left(D_{n}\right) / W\left(A_{n-1}\right)$; the Schubert varieties in $\operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)$ are also indexed by $W\left(D_{n}\right) / W\left(A_{n-1}\right)$. There is a natural partial order on these coordinates, which in the case of Schubert varieties corresponds to the inclusion order. This partially ordered set has two combinatorial interpretations; it is a set of $2^{n-1}$ elements.

The first interpretation of $W\left(D_{n}\right) / W\left(A_{n-1}\right)$ is as the set $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{n}$ of even cardinality subsets of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. The Weyl group $W\left(D_{n}\right)$ acts on this set as follows. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ the simple reflection $s_{i}$ acts by switching the numbers $i$ and $i+1$. This means the subset is fixed by $s_{i}$ if it contains both or none of the numbers $i$ and $i+1$. The reflection $s_{n}$ acts non-trivially only on subsets either containing or not intersecting the subset $\{n-1, n\}$. It either adds the numbers $n-1$ and $n$ or takes them away. For a subset $I \in \mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{n}$ let $\ell(I)$ denote the length of a minimal representative of the corresponding coset in $W\left(D_{n}\right) / W\left(A_{n-1}\right)$. For a reflection $s_{i}$ such that $s_{i}(I) \neq I$ one can prove that $\ell(I)=\ell\left(s_{i}(I)\right) \pm 1$. The partial order is generated by comparing $I$ and $s_{i}(I)$ according to the length. In the case at hand, there is a concrete description: The induced partial order $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{n}$ compares subsets of a given cardinality as usual by setting

$$
\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right\} \leqslant\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j-1}, i_{j}+1, i_{j+1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right\}
$$

for $1 \leqslant i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{r}$ and $i_{j}+1<i_{j+1}$. The partial order is generated by these inequalities together with the inequalities $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right\} \leqslant\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}, n-1, n\right\}$ for $1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{r}<n-1$; this includes $\varnothing \leqslant\{n-1, n\}$.
4.1 Example. The induced partial order on $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{4}$ is illustrated below where the arrows are directed such that $s_{i}(I) \leqslant I$ holds.


In the second interpretation one views $W\left(D_{n}\right) / W\left(A_{n-1}\right)$ as a $W\left(D_{n}\right)$-orbit of the weight - thought of as assigning an integer to each node of the Dynkin diagram $D_{n}$ - under the natural action of $W\left(D_{n}\right)$ on these weights. The action of the simple reflection $s_{i}$ on a weight

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll} 
& & & & & a_{n-1} \\
a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{n-3} & a_{n-2} & \\
& & & & & a_{n}
\end{array}
$$

changes $a_{i}$ to $-a_{i}$ and adds $a_{i}$ to the value at all neighboring nodes. The partial order is generated by setting $s_{i}(w)>w$ if and only if $s_{i}(w) \neq w$ and the node $w(i)$ is positive.
4.2 Example. The bijection between the set $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{4}$ and the $W\left(D_{4}\right)$-orbit of the weight

$$
w=00 \begin{gathered}
0 \\
1
\end{gathered}
$$

is as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varnothing \leftrightarrow w=00 \begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array} \\
& \{2,4\} \leftrightarrow s_{2} s_{4}(w)=1-1 \begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array} \\
& \{2,3\} \leftrightarrow s_{3} s_{2} s_{4}(w)=10_{0}^{-1} \\
& \{1,2\} \leftrightarrow s_{2} s_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{4}(w)=0-1 \begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array} \\
& \{3,4\} \leftrightarrow s_{4}(w)=01{ }_{-1}^{0} \\
& \{1,4\} \leftrightarrow s_{1} s_{2} s_{4}(w)=-10 \begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array} \\
& \{1,3\} \leftrightarrow \begin{array}{l}
s_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{4}(w) \\
s_{1} s_{3} s_{2} s_{4}(w)
\end{array}=-{ }_{-11}^{-1} \begin{array}{c}
-1
\end{array} \\
& \{1,2,3,4\} \leftrightarrow s_{4} s_{2} s_{3} s_{1} s_{2} s_{4}(w)=00{ }_{-1}^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that this bijection commutes with the Weyl group action and preserves the associated partial order.
Schubert varieties. It is known, see for example [18, Section 3.3], that the defining ideal in $k[\operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)]$ of every Schubert variety $\Omega_{w}$ is generated by spinor coordinates $q_{v}$ for $v \nless w$ in the associated partial order. In our case this translates as follows. Consider the big open cell $Y$ in $\operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)$ consisting of points with

Plücker coordinate $p_{\text {id }} \neq 0$. Recall the hyperbolic basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, \bar{e}_{n}, \ldots, \bar{e}_{1}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{W}$. To every subspace $V \in \operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)$ and every basis $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ of $V$ we associate an $n \times 2 n$ matrix $M$ whose $i^{\text {th }}$ row consists of the coordinates of the vector $v_{i}$ written in the basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, \bar{e}_{n}, \ldots, \bar{e}_{1}\right\}$. The big open cell $Y$ in $\operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)$ discussed above consists of subspaces $V$ such that for every basis $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ of $V$ the corresponding matrix $M$ has a minor corresponding to columns $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ not equal to zero. The set $Y$ is an affine space of dimension $\binom{n}{2}$ as for $V \in Y$ we can find a unique basis of $V$ such that the corresponding matrix has a form

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc|ccccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1 n-1} & x_{1 n} \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & -x_{12} & 0 & \cdots & x_{2 n-1} & x_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -x_{1 n-1} & -x_{2 n-1} & \cdots & 0 & x_{n-1 n} \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & -x_{1 n} & -x_{2 n} & \ldots & -x_{n-1 n} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We refer to the skew symmetric $n \times n$ block as $X$. The restrictions to $Y$ of the spinor coordinates correspond to sub-Pfaffians of $X$ of all possible sizes; see for example Manivel [19]. More precisely, the weights of the half-spinor representation correspond to the subsets $I$ of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of even cardinality. For a given $I$, the corresponding weight is a vector $w_{I}=\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)$ with $n$ coordinates and an even number of minuses occurring in the positions determined by $I$. The corresponding spinor coordinate $q_{I}$ restricts to $Y$ as the Pfaffian of the skew symmetric matrix obtained by picking from a generic $n \times n$ skew symmetric matrix the rows and columns determined by $I$. Thus, the quadratic equations generating the defining ideal of the homogeneous coordinate ring $k[\operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)]$ are just the quadratic equations in Pfaffians of all sizes of a generic skew symmetric matrix.

There are more facts that are known about Schubert varieties, the reference for this is [18, Chapter 7]. The half-spinor representation $V\left(\omega_{n-1}\right)$ is an example of so-called minuscule representation. This means all its weight vectors are in one $W\left(D_{n}\right)$-orbit. This implies that the defining ideals of Schubert varieties and their unions behave in the optimal way described below. For each cofinal subset $U$ of the partially ordered set $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{n}$ we consider the ideal $J_{U}$ in $k[Y]$, the coordinate ring of $Y$, generated by the spinor coordinates from that subset. This set of ideals forms a distributive lattice $L_{1}$ with the join and meet operations given by + and $\cap$. On the other hand we can form a lattice $L_{2}$ of the cofinal subsets in $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{n}$ with operations of join and meet given by $\cup$ and $\cap$. The first part of the next statement follows from of [18, Section 7.2]; the assertion about $J_{U}$ being radical follows from Brion and Kumar [5, Corollary 2.3.3].
4.3 Proposition. The lattices $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are isomorphic. Moreover, the ideal $J_{U}$ is the defining ideal of the union of the Schubert varieties it defines set-theoretically. Thus all ideals $J_{U}$ are radical.

Notice also that if we change the half-spinor representation $V\left(\omega_{n-1}\right)$ to the other one, i.e. $V\left(\omega_{n}\right)$ then the lattice of Schubert varieties will change to the poset $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{O}_{n}$ of odd sized subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. The action of the Weyl group $W\left(D_{n}\right)$ and the poset structure are similar to those on $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{n}$. We refer the reader to [18, Section 7.2].

To give an interpretation of the Schubert varieties of codimension 3 in concrete terms, we adopt the notation from the notes by Coskun [12, Lecture 5]. Let
$\operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)$ be one of the two connected components of the orthogonal Grassmannian of $n$-dimensional isotropic subspaces in a $2 n$-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{W}$. As above denote by $Q$ a non-degenerate quadratic form on $\mathbb{W}$ that admits a hyperbolic basis. Fix an isotropic flag

$$
F_{\bullet}: 0 \subset F_{1} \subset F_{2} \subset \cdots \subset F_{n}=F_{n}^{\perp} \subset F_{n-1}^{\perp} \subset \cdots \subset F_{1}^{\perp} \subset \mathbb{W}
$$

Here $F_{n}$ is isotropic and $F_{i}^{\perp}$ denotes the orthogonal complement of $F_{i}$. The Schubert varieties in $\operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)$ are parameterized by sequences $\lambda$,

$$
n-1 \geqslant \lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>\cdots>\lambda_{s} \geqslant 0
$$

of strictly decreasing integers where $s$ has the same parity as $n$; notice that $s \leqslant n$ holds. The sequence $\lambda$ determines a unique sequence $\tilde{\lambda}$ of strictly decreasing integers

$$
n-1 \geqslant \tilde{\lambda}_{s+1}>\tilde{\lambda}_{s+2}>\cdots>\tilde{\lambda}_{n} \geqslant 0
$$

satisfying the condition that there is no $i, j$ such that $\lambda_{i}+\tilde{\lambda}_{j}=n-1$. In other words, we obtain $\tilde{\lambda}$ by removing from the sequence $n-1, n-2, \ldots, 0$ the numbers $n-1-\lambda_{1}, \ldots, n-1-\lambda_{s}$.

The Schubert variety $\Omega_{\lambda}=\Omega_{\lambda}\left(F_{\bullet}\right)$ is defined as the closure of the locus

$$
\Omega_{\lambda}^{(0)}\left(F_{\bullet}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
V \in \operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n) & \left.\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(V \cap F_{n-\lambda_{i}}\right)=i, \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant s \\
\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(V \cap F_{\tilde{\lambda}_{j}}^{\perp}\right)
\end{array}\right) j, \text { for } s<j \leqslant n
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The codimension of $\Omega_{\lambda}$ is $|\lambda|=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}$. The cells $\Omega_{\lambda}^{(0)}\left(F_{\bullet}\right)$ are exactly the orbits of the Borel subgroup $B$ of the spin group $\operatorname{Spin}(2 n)$ acting on the connected component of $\operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)$.
4.4 Remark. In order to connect with the previous description, let us indicate how the partitions $\lambda$ translate to the subsets $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{O}_{n}$. The partition $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s}\right)$ such that

$$
n-1 \geqslant \lambda_{1}>\ldots>\lambda_{s} \geqslant 0
$$

corresponds to the set $w(\lambda)$ of $s$ minuses in places $n-\lambda_{1}, n-\lambda_{2}, \ldots, n-\lambda_{s}$. This set is either in $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{n}$ or $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{O}_{n}$ depending on parity of $n$.

The variety $\Omega_{\lambda}\left(F_{\bullet}\right)$ in Coskun's notation is then is then equal to the variety $\Omega_{w(\lambda)}$ in the notation of this section.

It is well-known-see for example the works [20, 21, 22, 23] by Mehta, Ramanan, Ramanathan, and Srinivas - that the Schubert varieties are defined over $\mathbb{Z}$ and are normal and arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay and so are the affine varieties $Y_{\lambda}=\Omega_{\lambda} \cap$ $Y$. Our goal in this section is to explicitly describe the varieties $Y_{\lambda}$ of codimension 3 in the affine space $Y$, i.e. the subvarieties $Y_{\lambda}$ such that $|\lambda|=3$.

Spinor coordinates. Finally we describe the bijection between the spinor coordinates and the Pfaffians of the matrix $X$.

For $n$ even and $I \in \mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{n}$ the corresponding spinor coordinate $q_{I}$ is a square root of the minor of the matrix $M$ with columns corresponding to $e_{i}$ with $i \in I$ and $\bar{e}_{I}$ with $i \notin I$. This is the Pfaffian of the submatrix of $X$ obtained by removing the rows and columns with indices $n+1-i$ for $i \in I$. The first spinor coordinate corresponds to the subset $\varnothing$, and it is the Pfaffian of $X$.

For $n$ odd we consider the elements $I \in \mathcal{P} \mathcal{O}_{n}$. The corresponding spinor coordinate $q_{I}$ is the Pfaffian of the submatrix of $X$ obtained by removing the rows and columns with indices $n+1-i$ for $i \in I$. The first spinor coordinate corresponds to
the subset $\{n\}$, and it is the Pfaffian of the submatrix obtained from $X$ by removing the first row and column, i.e. the matrix $X[\overline{1} ; \overline{1}]$ in the notation from 1.1 .

The case of even $n$. Let us intersect our Schubert varieties with the open cell $Y$.
For $n=2$ there are evidently no Schubert varieties of codimension 3, but for $n \geqslant 4$ there are precisely two of them, namely $\Omega_{(3,0)}$ and $\Omega_{(2,1)}$. For $n=4$ it is easy to see they are both complete intersections, one given by $x_{12}=x_{13}=x_{14}=0$ and the other by $x_{12}=x_{13}=x_{23}=0$. We now assume $n \geqslant 6$.

We start with the intersection $Y_{(3,0)}$. The rank conditions related to the flags $F_{j}^{\perp}$ are easily seen to be empty because our subspace is isotropic. The condition $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(V \cap F_{n-3}\right) \geqslant 1$ means exactly that the rank of the submatrix $X[1 \ldots n ; 4 \ldots n]$ has to be less than $n-3$. This is the matrix of the third differential of the almost complete intersection ideal of format $(1,4, n, n-3)$ described in Theorem 2.3. Note that the other condition $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(V \cap F_{n}\right) \geqslant 2$ holds as the matrix $X$ has to be singular and therefore of rank at most $n-2$. So the defining ideal of the Schubert variety $Y_{(3,0)}$ is almost complete intersection of odd type.

We turn to the intersection $Y_{(2,1)}$. Again the rank conditions related to the flags $F_{j}^{\perp}$ are empty because our subspace is isotropic, so we get the conditions $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(V \cap F_{n-2}\right) \geqslant 1$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(V \cap F_{n-1}\right) \geqslant 2$. The first condition is now redundant. The second condition means that the rank of the submatrix of $X[1 \ldots n ; 2 \ldots n]$ has to be at most $n-3$. This means that the submaximal Pfaffians $\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1 i}}(X)$ of the matrix $X[\overline{1} ; \overline{1}]$ vanish for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. It follows that the rank of this matrix is at most $n-4$, so the rank of $X[1 \ldots n ; 2 \ldots n]$ is at most $n-3$. We conclude that $Y_{(2,1)}$ is the subvariety given by vanishing of Pfaffians $\operatorname{Pf}_{\overline{1} i}(X)$; in other words, the defining ideal is a generic Gorenstein ideal of codimension 3.

The case of odd $n$. For $n \leqslant 3$ there are evidently no Schubert varieties of codimension 3 , but for $n \geqslant 5$ there are precisely two of them, namely $\Omega_{(3)}$ and $\Omega_{(2,1,0)}$. Let us intersect them with the open cell $Y$.

We start with the intersection $Y_{(3)}$. The rank conditions related to the flags $F_{j}^{\perp}$ are easily seen to be empty because our subspace is isotropic. The condition $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(V \cap F_{n-3}\right) \geqslant 1$ means that the rank of the submatrix $X[1 \ldots n ; 4 \ldots n]$ has to be less than $n-3$. But this is the matrix of third differential of the almost complete intersection ideal of format $(1,4, n, n-3)$ described in Theorem 2.9 . So the defining ideal of the Schubert variety $Y_{(3)}$ is an almost complete intersection of even type.

We turn to the intersection $Y_{(2,1,0)}$. Again the rank conditions related to the flags $F_{j}^{\perp}$ are empty because our subspace is isotropic. This leaves us with the conditions $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(V \cap F_{n-2}\right) \geqslant 1$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(V \cap F_{n-1}\right) \geqslant 2$. This just means that submaximal Pfaffians of the matrix $X$ are zero, so we get a generic Gorenstein ideal of codimension 3.

Minimal free resolutions. Let us look at the minimal free resolutions of the coordinate rings of the codimension 3 varieties $Y_{\lambda}$ from the point of view of Schubert varieties. The defining equations of Schubert varieties have a general description in terms of ideals $J_{U}$; we recall its meaning in our case. The Schubert varieties of codimension 3 correspond to elements

$$
w^{\prime}=s_{n} s_{n-2} s_{n-1} \quad \text { and } \quad w^{\prime \prime}=s_{n-3} s_{n-2} s_{n-1}
$$

as these are the only two elements of length 3 in $W\left(D_{n}\right) / W\left(A_{n-1}\right)$. The generators of the corresponding ideals are:

$$
\left(q_{\mathrm{id}}, q_{s_{n-1}}, q_{s_{n-2} s_{n-1}}, q_{s_{n-3} s_{n-2} s_{n-1}}, \ldots, q_{s_{n-i} \ldots s_{n-3} s_{n-2} s_{n-1}}, \ldots, q_{s_{1} \ldots s_{n-3} s_{n-2} s_{n-1}}\right)
$$

where there are $n$ generators in total, and

$$
\left(q_{\mathrm{id}}, q_{s_{n-1}}, q_{s_{n-2} s_{n-1}}, q_{s_{n} s_{n-2} s_{n-1}}\right) .
$$

Identifying these generators with the corresponding Pfaffians, we see that for $n$ odd the first ideal generated by the submaximal Pfaffians of $X$. The second ideal gives the almost complete intersection ideal described in Theorem 2.3. For even $n$, the first ideal gives the Pfaffian of $X$ and submaximal Pfaffians of $X[\overline{1} ; \overline{1}]$; in this case the first generator is redundant. The four generator ideal gives the almost complete intersection ideal described in Theorem 2.9.

There is one more statement one can make which plays an important role. It is be proved in terms of commutative algebra Lemmas C. 2 and C.6. Here we give a geometric reasoning proving the statement.
4.5 Proposition. The ideal generated by the first three spinor coordinates,

$$
\left(q_{\mathrm{id}}, q_{s_{n-1}}, q_{s_{n-2} s_{n-1}}\right)
$$

in the partial order on the homogeneous coordinate ring $k[\operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)]$ of the orthogonal Grassmannian is generated by a regular sequence. Therefore, these coordinates restricted to the open cell $Y$ also generate an ideal generated by a regular sequence in $k[Y]$. Moreover, these elements generate a radical ideal.

Proof. Let $B$ be a Borel subgroup of the group $\operatorname{Spin}(2 n)$. The almost complete intersection ideal $\left(q_{\mathrm{id}}, q_{s_{n-1}}, q_{s_{n-2} s_{n-1}}\right)$ in $k[\operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)]$ is $B$-equivariant. This means that its vanishing locus is a union of Schubert cells. It follows that this vanishing set consists of the closure of the union of two Schubert varieties of codimension 3. It is therefore an ideal of depth three generated by three elements. Such ideal is then generated by a regular sequence, as the $\operatorname{ring} k[\operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)]$ is Cohen-Macaulay. The ideal is radical by of [5, Corollary 2.3.3]. The result for $k[Y]$ follows by localization.

This result means we have an occurrence of the situation described by Ulrich 25]. The ideal $\left(q_{\mathrm{id}}, q_{s_{n-1}}, q_{s_{n-2} s_{n-1}}\right)$ is the intersection $I_{w^{\prime}} \cap I_{w^{\prime \prime}}$, and thus the ideals $I_{w^{\prime}}$ and $I_{w^{\prime \prime}}$ are linked via the regular sequence $\left(q_{i d}, q_{s_{n-1}}, q_{s_{n-2} s_{n-1}}\right)$. This is exactly the procedure described in 6]. By this argument, we can describe the format of the resolutions of our almost complete intersections.

Set $R=k[Y]$ and $n=2 m+2$ for some natural number $m$. The resolution of the Gorenstein ideal $I_{w^{\prime}}$ has format

$$
0 \longrightarrow R(-2 m-1) \longrightarrow R^{2 m+1}(-m-1) \longrightarrow R^{2 m+1}(-m) \longrightarrow R
$$

we link by a regular sequence of elements of degrees $m, m$, and $m+1$. Looking at the mapping cone

we deduce that the other ideal has a resolution with the format

$$
0 \longrightarrow R^{2 m-1}(-2 m-1) \longrightarrow R^{2 m+2}(-2 m) \longrightarrow R(-m-1) \oplus R^{3}(-m) \longrightarrow R
$$

which is exactly the format of the resolution from section 2 .
Let us do this calculation for odd $n=2 m+3$. The resolution of Gorenstein ideal of codimension 3 has format

$$
0 \longrightarrow R(-2 m-3) \longrightarrow R^{2 m+3}(-m-2) \longrightarrow R^{2 m+3}(-m-1) \longrightarrow R
$$

and we link by a regular sequence with degrees $m+1, m+1, m+1$. Looking at the mapping cone

we deduce that the other ideal has a resolution with the format

$$
0 \longrightarrow R^{2 m}(-2 m-2) \longrightarrow R^{2 m+3}(-2 m-1) \longrightarrow R(-m) \oplus R^{3}(-m-1) \longrightarrow R
$$

which is exactly the format of the resolution from section Section 2 ,
Next we interpret the matrices of the free resolutions of almost complete intersections in terms of spinor coordinates. Before we start, let us comment on the defining ideals of the coordinate rings $k[\operatorname{OGr}(n, 2 n)]$ thought of as factors of the symmetric algebra on the half-spinor representation. By Kostant's Theorem [14] these ideals are defined by quadratic equations, therefore, they are generated by the kernel of the map

$$
S_{2}\left(V\left(\omega_{n-1}\right)\right) \longrightarrow V\left(2 \omega_{n-1}\right)
$$

One has the following formula, see Adams [1, p. 25],

$$
S_{2}\left(V\left(\omega_{n-1}\right)=V\left(2 \omega_{n-1}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{i \geqslant 1} V\left(\omega_{n-4 i}\right) .\right.
$$

We use the notation from Section 3 for the differentials in our complexes.
Let us start with the case of odd $n=2 m+3$. The generators of our ideal in terms of Plücker coordinates are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=p_{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{2 m}, 2 m+1,2 m+2,2 m+3} \\
& x_{2}=p_{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{2 m}, 2 m+1, \overline{2 m+2}, \overline{2 m+3}} \\
& x_{3}=p_{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{2 m}, \overline{2 m+1}, 2 m+2, \overline{2 m+3}} \\
& x_{4}=p_{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{2 m}, \overline{2 m+1}, \overline{2 m+2}, 2 m+3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The entries of the second differential $\partial_{2}$ are as follows. The element $w_{i}$ is the Plücker coordinate with $2 m+2$ bars, the only number without bar is $2 m+1-i$. The element $v_{\{\alpha, \beta\} i}$ is a Plücker coordinate with $2 m$ bars. The numbers without bars are $2 m+1-i, 2 m+\alpha, 2 m+\beta$.

The entries of the matrix $\partial_{3}$ are also Plücker coordinates. The element $u_{\alpha i}$ is a Plücker coordinate with two bars, at numbers $2 m+1-i$ and $2 m+4-\alpha$. The element $c_{i j}$ is a Plücker coordinate with two bars, at numbers $2 m+1-i$ and $2 m+1-j$.

The gradings of the basis vectors in the modules of the complex are: The basis element in $F_{0}=R$ has weight $\left(0^{2 m+3}\right)$. In the following we use $1^{m}$ to denote $(1,1, \ldots, 1)$ with $m$ coordinates. The basis elements in $F_{1}=R \oplus R^{3}$ have weights $\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 m},-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 m},-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 m}, \frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 m}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)$.
The basis elements in $F_{2}=R^{2 m} \oplus R^{3}$ have weights

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1^{2 m-1}, 0,0^{3}\right), \quad\left(1^{2 m-2}, 0,1,0^{3}\right), \ldots,\left(0,1^{2 m-1}, 0^{3}\right) \\
& \left(1^{2 m}, 0,0,-1\right), \quad\left(1^{2 m}, 0,-1,0\right), \quad\left(1^{2 m},-1,0,0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally the basis vectors in $F_{3}=R^{2 m}$ have weights $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2},\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3}\right)$.
The composite $\partial_{1} \partial_{2}$ is easily explained. It is a $1 \times(2 m+3)$ matrix. Its entry in the $i^{\text {th }}$ row is the Plücker coordinate with the weight with $2 m-1$ entries of -1 's and 4 zeros in positions $2 m+1-i, 2 m+1,2 m+2,2 m+3$. This entry is zero because it corresponds to the extremal weight vector in the representation $V\left(\omega_{2 m-1}\right)$ which occurs in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ symmetric power of $V\left(\omega_{2 m+2}\right)$.

The composite $\partial_{2} \partial_{3}$ is a $4 \times 2 m$ matrix with the weights in the first row being $(0,0, \ldots, 0,-1,0, \ldots, 0,0,0,0)$, where -1 appears in positions $1, \ldots, 2 m$; the entries in the second row are $(0,0, \ldots, 0,-1,0, \ldots, 0,0,1,1)$, where -1 appears in positions $1, \ldots, 2 m$; the entries in the third row are $(0,0, \ldots, 0,-1,0, \ldots, 0,1,0,1)$, where -1 appears in positions $1, \ldots, 2 m$, finally the entries in the fourth row are $(0,0, \ldots, 0,-1,0, \ldots, 0,1,1,0)$, where -1 appears in positions $1, \ldots, 2 m$.

The interpretation of the identity $\partial_{2} \partial_{3}=0$ from this point of view requires further analysis.

Similarly we treat the even case $n=2 m+4$. The generators of the ideal $I_{w^{\prime \prime}}$ in terms of Plücker coordinates are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=p_{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{2 m+4}} \\
& x_{2}=p_{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{2 m}, \overline{2 m+1}, \overline{2 m+2}, 2 m+3,2 m+4} \\
& x_{3}=p_{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{2 m}, \overline{2 m+1}, 2 m+2, \overline{2 m+3}, 2 m+4} \\
& x_{4}=p_{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{2 m}, \overline{2 m+1}, 2 m+2,2 m+3, \overline{2 m+4}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The entries of the second differential $\partial_{2}$ are as follows. The element $w_{i}$ is the Plücker coordinate with $2 m$ bars, the only numbers without bar are $2 m+2-i$ and $2 m+2,2 m+3,2 m+4$. The element $v_{\alpha i}$ is a Plücker coordinate with $2 m+2$ bars. The numbers without bars are $2 m+2-i, 2 m+1+\alpha$.

The entries of the matrix $\partial_{3}$ are also Plücker coordinates. The element $u_{\alpha i}$ is a Plücker coordinate with two bars, at numbers $2 m+1-i$ and $2 m+4-\alpha$. The element $c_{i j}$ is a Plücker coordinate with two bars, at numbers $2 m+1-i$ and $2 m+1-j$.

The gradings of the basis vectors in the modules of the complex are: The basis element in $F_{0}=R$ has weight $\left(0^{2 m+4}\right)$. The basis elements in $F_{1}=R \oplus R^{3}$ have weights

$$
\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 m+4}\right), \quad\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 m+1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}\right), \quad\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 m+1},-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 m+1},-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) .
$$

The basis elements in $F_{2}=R^{2 m} \oplus R^{3}$ have weights

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(1^{2 m}, 0,0^{3}\right),\left(1^{2 m-1}, 0,1,0^{3}\right), \ldots,\left(0,1^{2 m}, 0^{3}\right) \\
\left(1^{2 m+1}, 0,0,-1\right), \quad\left(1^{2 m+1}, 0,-1,0\right), \quad\left(1^{2 m+1},-1,0,0\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally the basis vectors in $F_{3}=R^{2 m}$ have weights $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2},\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3}\right)$.

The composite $\partial_{1} \partial_{2}$ is easily explained. It is a $1 \times(2 m+4)$ matrix. Its entry in the $i^{\text {th }}$ row is the Plücker coordinate with the weight with $2 m$ entries of -1 's and 4 zeros in positions $2 m+2-i, 2 m+2,2 m+3,2 m+4$. This entry is zero because it corresponds to the extremal weight vector in the representation $V\left(\omega_{2 m}\right)$ which occurs in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ symmetric power of $V\left(\omega_{2 m+3}\right)$.

The composition $\partial_{2} \partial_{3}$ is a $4 \times(2 m+1)$ matrix with the weights in the first row being $(0,0, \ldots, 0,-1,0, \ldots, 0,1,1,1)$, where -1 appears in positions $1, \ldots, 2 m+1$; the entries in the second row are $(0,0, \ldots, 0,-1,0, \ldots, 0,1,0,0)$, where -1 appears in positions $1, \ldots, 2 m+1$; the third row entries are ( $0,0, \ldots, 0,-1,0, \ldots, 0,0,1,0$ ), where -1 appears in positions $1, \ldots, 2 m+1$; finally the entries in the fourth row are $(0,0, \ldots, 0,-1,0, \ldots, 0,0,0,1)$, where -1 appears in positions $1, \ldots, 2 m+1$.

The interpretation of the identity $\partial_{2} \partial_{3}=0$ from this point of view requires further analysis.

## Appendix A. Pfaffian identities following Knuth

For the benefit of the reader, we quote from [11] a short introduction to Knuth's [17] combinatorial approach to Pfaffians.

Let $T=\left(t_{i j}\right)$ be an $n \times n$ skew symmetric matrix with entries in a commutative ring. Assume that $T$ has zeros on the diagonal; this is, of course, automatic if the characteristic of the ring is not 2 . Set $\mathcal{P}[i j]=t_{i j}$ for $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and extend $\mathcal{P}$ to a function on words in letters from $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ as follows:

$$
\mathcal{P}\left[i_{1} \ldots i_{m}\right]= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } m \text { is odd } \\ \sum \operatorname{sgn}\binom{i_{1} \ldots i_{2 k}}{j_{1} \ldots j_{2 k}} \mathcal{P}\left[j_{1} j_{2}\right] \cdots \mathcal{P}\left[j_{2 k-1} j_{2 k}\right] & \text { if } m=2 k \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

where the sum is over all partitions of $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{2 k}\right\}$ in $k$ subsets of cardinality 2. The order of elements in each subset is irrelevant as the difference in sign $\mathcal{P}\left[j j^{\prime}\right]=-\mathcal{P}\left[j^{\prime} j\right]$ is offset by a change of sign of the permutation; see [17, Section 0]. The value of $\mathcal{P}$ on the empty word is by convention 1 , and the value of $\mathcal{P}$ on a word with a repeated letter is 0 . The latter is a convention in characteristic 2 and otherwise automatic.

The function $\mathcal{P}$ computes the Pfaffians of submatrices of $T$. Indeed, for a subset $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with elements $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k}$ one has

$$
\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(i_{1} \ldots i_{k}\right)=\mathcal{P}\left[i_{1} \ldots i_{k}\right]
$$

in the notation introduced in 1.1 and (2.0.1).
A. 1 Overlapping Pfaffians. Let $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ be disjoint words in letters from $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. For $b$ a letter in $\beta$, the formula [17, (5.0)] reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}[\alpha \beta] \mathcal{P}[\alpha \gamma]=\sum_{i \in \beta} & \operatorname{sgn}\binom{\beta}{b i(\beta \backslash b i)} \mathcal{P}[\alpha \beta \backslash b i] \mathcal{P}[\alpha \gamma b i] \\
& +\sum_{j \in \gamma} \operatorname{sgn}\binom{\beta}{b(\beta \backslash b)} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\gamma(\gamma \backslash j)
\end{array}\right) \mathcal{P}[\alpha j \beta \backslash b] \mathcal{P}[\alpha b \gamma \backslash j] . \tag{A.1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

We record a number of special cases of this formula.
For $\beta=b$ the formula A.1.1 reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}[\alpha b] \mathcal{P}[\alpha \gamma]=\sum_{j \in \gamma} \operatorname{sgn}\binom{\gamma}{j(\gamma \backslash j)} \mathcal{P}[\alpha j] \mathcal{P}[\alpha b \gamma \backslash j] . \tag{A.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\gamma=c$ the formula A.1.1 reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}[\alpha \beta] \mathcal{P}[\alpha c]=\sum_{i \in \beta} & \operatorname{sgn}\binom{\beta}{b i(\beta \backslash b i)} \mathcal{P}[\alpha \beta \backslash b i] \mathcal{P}[\alpha c b i]  \tag{A.1.3}\\
& +\operatorname{sgn}\binom{\beta}{b(\beta \backslash b)} \mathcal{P}[\alpha c \beta \backslash b] \mathcal{P}[\alpha b]
\end{align*}
$$

With $\gamma$ empty the formula A.1.1 reduces to

$$
\mathcal{P}[\alpha \beta] \mathcal{P}[\alpha]=\sum_{i \in \beta} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\beta i(\beta \backslash b i) \tag{A.1.4}
\end{array}\right) \mathcal{P}[\alpha \beta \backslash b i] \mathcal{P}[\alpha b i]
$$

With $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ empty the formula A.1.1 reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}[\beta]=\sum_{i \in \beta} \operatorname{sgn}\binom{\beta}{b i(\beta \backslash b i)} \mathcal{P}[\beta \backslash b i] \mathcal{P}[b i] \tag{A.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this first appendix we derive some consequences of A.1.1) that facilitate the computations in Appendices B and C. The first lemma is just the classic Laplacian expansion of the Pfaffian of a skew symmetric submatrix of $T$.
A. 2 Lemma. For integers $1 \leqslant u_{1}<\cdots<u_{k} \leqslant n$ and every integer $\ell$ with $1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant k$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{\ell-1} \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(u_{1} \ldots u_{k}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1}( & -1)^{i} t_{u_{i} u_{\ell}} \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(u_{1} \ldots u_{k} \backslash u_{i} u_{\ell}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{k}(-1)^{i} t_{u_{\ell} u_{i}} \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(u_{1} \ldots u_{k} \backslash u_{i} u_{\ell}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. With $\beta=u_{1} \ldots u_{k}$ and $b=u_{\ell}$ the formula A.1.5 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}[\beta] & =\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1}(-1)^{i+\ell} \mathcal{P}\left[\beta \backslash u_{\ell} u_{i}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[u_{\ell} u_{i}\right]+\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{k}(-1)^{i+\ell-1} \mathcal{P}\left[\beta \backslash u_{\ell} u_{i}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[u_{\ell} u_{i}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1}(-1)^{i+\ell-1} \mathcal{P}\left[\beta \backslash u_{\ell} u_{i}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[u_{i} u_{\ell}\right]+\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{k}(-1)^{i+\ell-1} \mathcal{P}\left[\beta \backslash u_{\ell} u_{i}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[u_{\ell} u_{i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

A. 3 Lemma. For integers $1 \leqslant u_{1}<\cdots<u_{k} \leqslant n$ and for every integer $\ell$ with $1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant k$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1}(-1)^{i} t_{u_{i} u_{\ell}} & \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(u_{1} \ldots u_{i-1} u_{i+1} \ldots u_{k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{n}(-1)^{i} t_{u_{\ell} u_{i}} \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(u_{1} \ldots u_{i-1} u_{i+1} \ldots u_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. First assume that $\ell \geqslant 2$ holds. With $\alpha=u_{\ell}, b=u_{1}$, and $\gamma=u_{2} \ldots u_{k} \backslash u_{\ell}$ the equation A.1.2 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}\left[u_{\ell} u_{1}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[u_{\ell} \gamma\right] \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=2}^{\ell-1}(-1)^{j} \mathcal{P}\left[u_{\ell} u_{j}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[u_{\ell} u_{1} \gamma \backslash u_{j}\right]+\sum_{j=\ell+1}^{k}(-1)^{j-1} \mathcal{P}\left[u_{\ell} u_{j}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[u_{\ell} u_{1} \gamma \backslash u_{j}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which after reordering and multiplication by a sign becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}\left[u_{1} u_{\ell}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[u_{2} \ldots u_{k}\right] \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=2}^{\ell-1}(-1)^{j} \mathcal{P}\left[u_{j} u_{\ell}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[u_{1} \ldots u_{k} \backslash u_{j}\right]+\sum_{j=\ell+1}^{n}(-1)^{j-1} \mathcal{P}\left[u_{\ell} u_{j}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[u_{1} \ldots u_{k} \backslash u_{j}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and that can be rewritten as

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1}(-1)^{j} \mathcal{P}\left[u_{j} u_{\ell}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[u_{1} \ldots u_{k} \backslash u_{j}\right]=\sum_{j=\ell+1}^{k}(-1)^{j} \mathcal{P}\left[u_{\ell} u_{j}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[u_{1} \ldots u_{k} \backslash u_{j}\right]
$$

Next assume that $\ell=1$ holds. With $\alpha=u_{1}, b=u_{2}$, and $\gamma=u_{3} \ldots u_{k}$ the equation A.1.2 yields

$$
\mathcal{P}\left[u_{1} u_{2}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[u_{1} \gamma\right]=\sum_{j=3}^{k}(-1)^{j-1} \mathcal{P}\left[u_{1} u_{j}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[u_{1} u_{2} \gamma \backslash u_{j}\right]
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\sum_{j=2}^{k}(-1)^{j} \mathcal{P}\left[u_{1} u_{j}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[u_{1} \ldots u_{k} \backslash u_{j}\right]=0
$$

A. 4 Lemma. For integers $1 \leqslant u_{1}<\cdots<u_{k} \leqslant n$ and every integer $\ell$ with $1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant k$ one has,

$$
(-1)^{\ell-1} \operatorname{pf}_{T} \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{u_{1} \ldots u_{k}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{u_{i} u_{\ell}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{u_{1} \ldots u_{k} \backslash u_{i} u_{\ell}}\right)
$$

Proof. With $\alpha=1 \ldots n \backslash u_{1} \ldots u_{k}, \beta=u_{1} \ldots u_{k}$, and $b=u_{\ell}$ the formula A.1.4 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}[\alpha \beta] \mathcal{P}[\alpha]=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1}(-1)^{i+\ell} \mathcal{P}\left[\alpha \beta \backslash u_{\ell} u_{i}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[\alpha u_{\ell} u_{i}\right] \\
&+\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{k}(-1)^{i+\ell-1} \mathcal{P}\left[\alpha \beta \backslash u_{\ell} u_{i}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[\alpha u_{\ell} u_{i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which after reordering and multiplying by a sign becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n] & \mathcal{P}\left[1 \ldots n \backslash u_{1} \ldots u_{k}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i+\ell-1} \mathcal{P}\left[1 \ldots n \backslash u_{\ell} u_{i}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[1 \ldots n \backslash\left(u_{1} \ldots u_{k} \backslash u_{\ell} u_{i}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

A. 5 Lemma. For integers $1 \leqslant u_{1}<\cdots<u_{k} \leqslant n$ and every integer $\ell$ with $1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant k-1$ one has

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{u_{1} \ldots u_{k} \backslash u_{i}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{u_{i} u_{\ell}}\right)=\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{k}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{u_{1} \ldots u_{k} \backslash u_{i}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{u_{\ell} u_{i}}\right)
$$

Proof. With $\alpha=1 \ldots n \backslash u_{1} \ldots u_{k}, b=u_{k}$, and $\gamma=u_{1} \ldots u_{k-1} \backslash u_{\ell}$ formula A.1.2 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}\left[\alpha u_{k}\right] \mathcal{P}[\alpha \gamma]=\sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1}(-1)^{j-1} \mathcal{P}\left[\alpha u_{j}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[\alpha u_{k} \gamma \backslash u_{j}\right] \\
&+\sum_{j=\ell+1}^{k-1}(-1)^{j} \mathcal{P}\left[\alpha u_{j}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[\alpha u_{k} \gamma \backslash u_{j}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which after reordering and multiplication by a sign can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{k-1} \mathcal{P}\left[1 \ldots n \backslash u_{1} \ldots u_{k-1}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[1 \ldots n \backslash u_{\ell} u_{k}\right] \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1}(-1)^{j-1} \mathcal{P}\left[1 \ldots n \backslash\left(u_{1} \ldots u_{k} \backslash u_{j}\right)\right] \mathcal{P}\left[1 \ldots n \backslash u_{j} u_{\ell}\right] \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=\ell+1}^{k-1}(-1)^{j} \mathcal{P}\left[1 \ldots n \backslash\left(u_{1} \ldots u_{k} \backslash u_{j}\right)\right] \mathcal{P}\left[1 \ldots n \backslash u_{\ell} u_{j}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This can also be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1}(-1)^{j} \mathcal{P} & {\left[1 \ldots n \backslash\left(u_{1} \ldots u_{k} \backslash u_{j}\right)\right] \mathcal{P}\left[1 \ldots n \backslash u_{j} u_{\ell}\right] } \\
& =\sum_{j=\ell+1}^{k}(-1)^{j} \mathcal{P}\left[1 \ldots n \backslash\left(u_{1} \ldots u_{k} \backslash u_{j}\right)\right] \mathcal{P}\left[1 \ldots n \backslash u_{\ell} u_{j}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

A. 6 Lemma. For integers $1 \leqslant u_{1}<\cdots<u_{k} \leqslant n$ one has

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{u_{i}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{u_{1} \ldots u_{i-1} u_{i+1} \ldots u_{k}}\right)=0
$$

Proof. With $\alpha=1 \ldots n \backslash u_{1} \ldots u_{k}, b=u_{1}$, and $\gamma=u_{2} \ldots u_{k}$ equation A.1.2 yields

$$
\mathcal{P}\left[\alpha u_{1}\right] \mathcal{P}[\alpha \gamma]=\sum_{j=2}^{k}(-1)^{j} \mathcal{P}\left[\alpha u_{j}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[\alpha u_{1} \gamma \backslash u_{j}\right]
$$

which after reordering and multiplication by a sign becomes

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{j} \mathcal{P}\left[1 \ldots n \backslash u_{1} \ldots u_{j-1} u_{j+1} \ldots u_{k}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[1 \ldots n \backslash u_{j}\right]=0
$$

A. 7 Lemma. For integers $1 \leqslant u<v<w<x<y<z \leqslant n$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{pf}_{T}(\bar{y}) \mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{u v w x z})-\mathrm{pf}_{T}(\bar{z}) \mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{u v w x y}) \\
& =\operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{u y z}) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{v w x})-\mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{v y z}) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{u w x}) \\
& \quad \quad+\mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{w y z}) \mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{u v x})-\mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{x y z}) \mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{u v w}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. With $\alpha=1 \ldots n \backslash u v w x y z, \beta=u v w x y, b=y$, and $c=z$ equation A.1.3 yields

$$
\mathcal{P}[\alpha \beta] \mathcal{P}[\alpha z]-\mathcal{P}[\alpha z \beta \backslash y] \mathcal{P}[\alpha y]=\sum_{i \in \beta} \operatorname{sgn}\binom{\beta}{y i(\beta \backslash y i)} \mathcal{P}[\alpha \beta \backslash y i] \mathcal{P}[\alpha z y i]
$$

which expands into

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}[\alpha u v w x y] \mathcal{P}[\alpha z]-\mathcal{P}[\alpha z u v w x] \mathcal{P}[\alpha y]= & \mathcal{P}[\alpha v w x] \mathcal{P}[\alpha z y u]-\mathcal{P}[\alpha u w x] \mathcal{P}[\alpha z y v] \\
& +\mathcal{P}[\alpha u v x] \mathcal{P}[\alpha z y w]-\mathcal{P}[\alpha u v w] \mathcal{P}[\alpha z y x] .
\end{aligned}
$$

After reordering and multiplication by $(-1)^{u+v+w+x+y+z}$ it becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash z] \mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash u v w x y]+\mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash y] \mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash u v w x z] \\
& =\mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash u y z] \\
& \quad-\mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash v w x] \\
& \quad+\mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash v y z] \mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash u w x] \\
& \quad+\mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash x y z] \mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash u v w] .
\end{aligned}
$$

A. 8 Lemma. For integers $1 \leqslant u<v<w<x<y<z \leqslant n$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{x y}) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{u v w z})-\operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{x z}) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{u v w y})+\operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{y z}) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{u v w x}) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{u v}) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{w x y z})-\mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{u w}) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{v x y z})+\mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{v w}) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{u x y z}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. With $\alpha=1 \ldots n \backslash u v w x y z, \beta=u v w x, b=x$, and $\gamma=y z$ equation A.1.1 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}[\alpha u v w x] \mathcal{P}[\alpha y z]= & -\mathcal{P}[\alpha v w] \mathcal{P}[\alpha y z x u]+\mathcal{P}[\alpha u w] \mathcal{P}[\alpha y z x v]-\mathcal{P}[\alpha u v] \mathcal{P}[\alpha y z x w] \\
& \quad-\mathcal{P}[\alpha y u v w] \mathcal{P}[\alpha x z]+\mathcal{P}[\alpha z u v w] \mathcal{P}[\alpha x y] \\
= & \mathcal{P}[\alpha v w] \mathcal{P}[\alpha u x y z]-\mathcal{P}[\alpha u w] \mathcal{P}[\alpha v x y z]+\mathcal{P}[\alpha u v] \mathcal{P}[\alpha w x y z] \\
& +\mathcal{P}[\alpha u v w y] \mathcal{P}[\alpha x z]-\mathcal{P}[\alpha u v w z] \mathcal{P}[\alpha x y],
\end{aligned}
$$

which after reordering and multiplication by a sign becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash y z] \mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash u v w x]-\mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash x z] \mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash u v w y] \\
& +\mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash x y] \mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash u v w z] \\
& =\mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash v w] \mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash u x y z]-\mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash u w] \mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash v x y z] \\
& +\mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash u v] \mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash w x y z] .
\end{aligned}
$$

A. 9 Lemma. For integers $1 \leqslant u<x<y \leqslant n$ and $1 \leqslant v<w<x$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{u x y}) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{u v w})-\operatorname{pf}_{T}(\bar{u}) & \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{u v w x y}) \\
& =\operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{u v x}) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{u w y})-\operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{u w x}) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{u v y}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. With $\alpha=1 \ldots n \backslash u v w x y, \beta=v w x y$, and $b=x$ equation A.1.4 yields

$$
\mathcal{P}[\alpha \beta] \mathcal{P}[\alpha]=\sum_{i \in \beta} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left.{ }_{x i(\beta \backslash x i)}^{\beta}\right) \\
\mathcal{P}[\alpha \beta \backslash x i] \\
\mathcal{P}[\alpha x i],
\end{array}\right.
$$

which expands into

$$
\mathcal{P}[\alpha v w x y] \mathcal{P}[\alpha]=\mathcal{P}[\alpha w y] \mathcal{P}[\alpha x v]-\mathcal{P}[\alpha v y] \mathcal{P}[\alpha x w]+\mathcal{P}[\alpha v w] \mathcal{P}[\alpha x y] .
$$

After reordering and multiplication by $(-1)^{v+w+x+y}$ this expression becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash u] \mathcal{P}[\alpha]=-\mathcal{P}[ & \ldots n \backslash u v x] \mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash u w x] \\
& +\mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash u w x] \mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash u v y] \\
& +\mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash u x y] \mathcal{P}[1 \ldots n \backslash u v w] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix B. Minors via Pfaffians following Brill

The formula in the next theorem was first discovered by Brill [4; the theorem stated here is [11, Theorem 2.1].
B. 1 Theorem. Let $T$ be an $n \times n$ skew symmetric matrix. Let $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}\right\}$ be subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{m}$ and $j_{1}<\cdots<j_{m}$, and set $\rho=i_{1} \ldots i_{m}$ and $\sigma=j_{1} \ldots j_{m}$. The following equality holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(T\left[i_{1} \ldots i_{m} ; j_{1} \ldots j_{m}\right]\right) \\
& \quad=(-1)^{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor} \sum_{0 \leqslant k \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}(-1)^{k} \sum_{\substack{|\omega|=2 k \\
\omega \subseteq \rho}} \operatorname{sgn}\binom{\rho}{\omega(\rho) \omega)} \mathcal{P}[\omega] \mathcal{P}[(\rho \backslash \omega) \sigma] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that only subwords $\omega$ of $\rho$ that contain $\rho \cap \sigma$ contribute to the sum above.
The two lemmas proved below are applied in Appendix C to calculate the maximal minors of the matrices $\partial_{3}$ from Theorems 2.3 and 2.9 .
B. 2 Lemma. Let $n \geqslant 5$ be an odd number. For integers $1 \leqslant r_{1}<r_{2}<r_{3} \leqslant n$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(T\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} ; \overline{123}\right]\right) \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{123}) & \text { if } r_{2} \leqslant 3 \\
\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{123})-\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{123 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right) & \text { if } r_{1} \leqslant 3<r_{2} \\
\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{123})-\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{23 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{1}) & \\
\quad \quad+\mathrm{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{13 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{2})-\mathrm{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{12 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{3}) & \text { if } 3<r_{1}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Consider the words

$$
\rho=1 \ldots n \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma=4 \ldots n
$$

of length $n-3$. One has $\rho \cap \sigma=\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}$, and Theorem B.1 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(T\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} ; \overline{123}\right]\right) \\
& \quad=(-1)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \sum_{k=\left\lceil\frac{\left|\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right|}{2}\right\rceil}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(-1)^{k} \sum_{\substack{| | \omega_{2} \mid=2 k \\
\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} \subseteq \omega \subseteq \rho}} \operatorname{sgn}(\underset{(\rho)}{\rho}(\rho \mid \omega)) \mathcal{P}[\omega] \mathcal{P}[(\rho \backslash \omega) \sigma] .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $r_{2} \leqslant 3$ holds, then one has $|\rho \cap \sigma|=n-3$ or $|\rho \cap \sigma|=n-4$. In either case the shortest word $\omega$ contributing to the sum (1) has length $n-3$. Thus, $\omega=\rho$ is the only contributing word and one gets

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(T\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} ; \overline{123}\right]\right)=\mathcal{P}[\rho] \mathcal{P}[\sigma]=\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{\overline{123}})
$$

If $r_{1} \leqslant 3<r_{2}$ holds, then one has $|\rho \cap \sigma|=n-5$. As $n-5$ is even, the shortest subwords $\omega$ of

$$
\rho=\left(123 \backslash r_{1}\right)\left(\sigma \backslash r_{2} r_{3}\right)
$$

that contribute to the sum (1) have length $n-5$, so $\omega=\sigma \backslash r_{2} r_{3}$ is the only one. Now one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(T\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} ; \overline{123}\right]\right) \\
& \quad=(-1)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \sum_{k=\frac{n-5}{2}}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(-1)^{k} \sum_{\substack{|\omega|=2 k \\
\sigma \backslash r_{2} r_{3} \leq \omega \subseteq \rho}} \operatorname{sgn}(\underset{\omega(\rho \backslash \omega)}{\rho}) \mathcal{P}[\omega] \mathcal{P}[(\rho \backslash \omega) \sigma]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(-1)^{\frac{n-3}{2}}\left((-1)^{\frac{n-5}{2}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\underset{\sigma \backslash r_{2} r_{3}\left(123 \backslash r_{1}\right)}{\rho}\right) \mathcal{P}\left[\sigma \backslash r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[\left(123 \backslash r_{1}\right) \sigma\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad+(-1)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \mathcal{P}[\rho] \mathcal{P}[\sigma]\right) \\
& =-\operatorname{sgn}\binom{\rho}{\sigma \backslash r_{2} r_{3}\left(123 \backslash r_{1}\right)} \mathcal{P}\left[\sigma \backslash r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[\left(123 \backslash r_{1}\right) \sigma\right]+\mathcal{P}[\rho] \mathcal{P}[\sigma] \\
& =-\mathcal{P}\left[\sigma \backslash r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[\left(123 \backslash r_{1}\right) \sigma\right]+\mathcal{P}[\rho] \mathcal{P}[\sigma] \\
& =-\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{123 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{123}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $3<r_{1}$ holds, then one has $|\rho \cap \sigma|=n-6$. As $n-6$ is odd, the shortest subwords $\omega$ of

$$
\rho=123\left(\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right)
$$

that contribute to the sum (1) have length $n-5$. Now one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(T\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} ; \overline{123}\right]\right) \\
& =(-1)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \sum_{k=\frac{n-5}{2}}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(-1)^{k} \sum_{\substack{|\omega|=2 k \\
\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} \subseteq \omega \subseteq \rho}} \operatorname{sgn}\binom{\rho}{\omega(\rho \backslash \omega)} \mathcal{P}[\omega] \mathcal{P}[(\rho \backslash \omega) \sigma] \\
& =(-1)^{\frac{n-3}{2}}\left((-1)^{\frac{n-5}{2}} \sum_{\substack{|\omega|=n-5 \\
\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} \subseteq \omega \subseteq \rho}} \operatorname{sgn}\binom{\rho}{\omega(\rho \backslash \omega)} \mathcal{P}[\omega] \mathcal{P}[(\rho \backslash \omega) \sigma]\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \mathcal{P}[\rho] \mathcal{P}[\sigma]\right) \\
& =-\left(\operatorname{sgn}\left(\underset{1\left(\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right) 23}{\rho}\right) \mathcal{P}\left[1 \sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}[23 \sigma]\right. \\
& +\operatorname{sgn}\left(\underset{2\left(\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right) 13}{\rho}\right) \mathcal{P}\left[2 \sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}[13 \sigma] \\
& \left.+\operatorname{sgn}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\stackrel{\rho}{3\left(\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right) 12}
\end{array}\right) \mathcal{P}\left[3 \sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}[12 \sigma]\right)+\mathcal{P}[\rho] \mathcal{P}[\sigma] \\
& =\mathcal{P}\left[1 \sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}[23 \sigma]-\mathcal{P}\left[2 \sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}[13 \sigma]+\mathcal{P}\left[3 \sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}[12 \sigma] \\
& +\mathcal{P}[\rho] \mathcal{P}[\sigma] \\
& =-\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{23 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{1})+\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{13 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{2}) \\
& -\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{12 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{3})+\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{123}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

B. 3 Lemma. Let $n \geqslant 6$ be an even number. For integers $1 \leqslant r_{1}<r_{2}<r_{3} \leqslant n$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(T\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} ; \overline{123}\right]\right) \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } r_{3}=3 \\
\mathrm{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2}}\right) & \text { if } r_{2} \leqslant 3<r_{3} \\
\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{12 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{13})-\mathrm{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{13 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{12}) & \text { if } 1=r_{1} \leqslant 3<r_{2} \\
\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{12 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{23})-\mathrm{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{23 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{12}) & \text { if } 2=r_{1} \leqslant 3<r_{2} \\
\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{13 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{23})-\mathrm{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{23 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{13}) & \text { if } 3=r_{1}<r_{2} \\
\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{1_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{23})-\mathrm{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{2 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{13}) \\
\quad+\mathrm{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{3 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{12})-\mathrm{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{123 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{T} & \text { if } 3<r_{1}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Consider the words

$$
\rho=1 \ldots n \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma=4 \ldots n
$$

of length $n-3$. One has $\rho \cap \sigma=\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}$ and Theorem B. 1 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(T\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} ; \overline{123}\right]\right) \\
& \quad=(-1)^{\frac{n-4}{2}} \sum_{k=\left\lceil\frac{\left|\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right|}{2}\right\rceil}^{\frac{n-4}{2}}(-1)^{k} \sum_{\substack{|\omega|=2 k \\
\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} \subseteq \omega \subseteq \rho}} \operatorname{sgn}\binom{\rho}{\omega(\rho \backslash \omega)} \mathcal{P}[\omega] \mathcal{P}[(\rho \backslash \omega) \sigma] .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $r_{3}=3$ holds, then one has $|\rho \cap \sigma|=|\sigma|=n-3$, so the sum (1) is empty. i.e.

$$
\operatorname{det}(T[\overline{123} ; \overline{123}])=0
$$

If $r_{2} \leqslant 3<r_{3}$ hold, then one has $|\rho \cap \sigma|=n-4$, so the shortest subwords $\omega$ of

$$
\rho=\left(123 \backslash r_{1} r_{2}\right)\left(\sigma \backslash r_{3}\right)
$$

contributing to the sum (11) have length $n-4$. Thus, $\omega=\sigma \backslash r_{3}$ is the only contributing word, and one gets

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(T\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} ; \overline{123}\right]\right)=\mathcal{P}\left[\sigma \backslash r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[\left(123 \backslash r_{1} r_{2}\right) \sigma\right]=\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2}}\right)
$$

If $r_{1} \leqslant 3<r_{2}$ holds, then one has $|\rho \cap \sigma|=n-5$, which is odd. Therefore, the shortest subwords $\omega$ of

$$
\rho=\left(123 \backslash r_{1}\right)\left(\sigma \backslash r_{2} r_{3}\right)
$$

contributing to the sum (1) have length $n-4$. Hence, one gets

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{det}\left(T\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} ; \overline{123}\right]\right) \\
\quad=\sum_{r \in 123 \backslash r_{1}} \operatorname{sgn}\left({ }_{\left(r \sigma \backslash r_{2} r_{3}\right)}{ }^{\rho}\left(123 \backslash r r_{1}\right)\right. \tag{2}
\end{array}\right) \mathcal{P}\left[r \sigma \backslash r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}\left[\left(123 \backslash r_{1} r\right) \sigma\right] .
$$

For $r_{1}=1$ this specializes to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(T\left[\overline{1 r_{2} r_{3}} ; \overline{123}\right]\right) & =\sum_{r \in 23} \operatorname{sgn}\binom{\rho}{\left(r \sigma \backslash r_{2} r_{3}\right)(23 \backslash r)} \mathcal{P}\left[r \sigma \backslash r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}[(23 \backslash r) \sigma] \\
& =-\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{13 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{12})+\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{12 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{13})
\end{aligned}
$$

The specialization of 2 with $r_{1}=2$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(T\left[\overline{2 r_{2} r_{3}} ; \overline{123}\right]\right) & =\sum_{r \in 13} \operatorname{sgn}\binom{\rho}{\left(r \sigma \backslash r_{2} r_{3}\right)(13 \backslash r)} \mathcal{P}\left[r \sigma \backslash r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}[(13 \backslash r) \sigma] \\
& =-\mathrm{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{23 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{12})+\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{12 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{23})
\end{aligned}
$$

The specialization of (2) with $r_{1}=3$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(T\left[\overline{3 r_{2} r_{3}} ; \overline{123}\right]\right) & =\sum_{r \in 12} \operatorname{sgn}\binom{\rho}{\left(r \sigma \backslash r_{2} r_{3}\right)(12 \backslash r)} \mathcal{P}\left[r \sigma \backslash r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}[(12 \backslash r) \sigma] \\
& =-\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{23 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{13})+\mathrm{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{13 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{23})
\end{aligned}
$$

If $3<r_{1}$ holds, then one has $|\rho \cap \sigma|=n-6$, which is even. Therefore, the shortest subwords $\omega$ of

$$
\rho=123\left(\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right)
$$

that contribute to the sum (1) have length $n-6$, which means that $\omega=\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}$ is the only one. Thus one has
$\operatorname{det}\left(T\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}, \overline{123}\right]\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(-1)^{\frac{n-4}{2}} \sum_{k=\frac{n-6}{2}}^{\frac{n-4}{2}}(-1)^{k} \sum_{\substack{|\omega|=2 k \\
\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} \subseteq \omega \subseteq \rho}} \operatorname{sgn}\binom{\rho}{\omega(\rho \backslash \omega)} \mathcal{P}[\omega] \mathcal{P}[(\rho \backslash \omega) \sigma] \\
& =-\mathcal{P}\left[\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}[123 \sigma]+\sum_{\substack{|\omega|=n-4 \\
\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} \subseteq \omega \subseteq \rho}} \operatorname{sgn}\binom{\rho}{\omega(\rho \backslash \omega)} \mathcal{P}[\omega] \mathcal{P}[(\rho \backslash \omega) \sigma] \\
& =-\mathcal{P}\left[\sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}[123 \sigma]+\mathcal{P}\left[23 \sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}[1 \sigma] \\
& \quad-\mathcal{P}\left[13 \sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}[2 \sigma]+\mathcal{P}\left[12 \sigma \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right] \mathcal{P}[3 \sigma] \\
& =-\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{123 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}+\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{1 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{23}) \\
& \quad-\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{2 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{T}(\overline{13})+\operatorname{pf}_{T}\left(\overline{3 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{T}(\overline{12})
\end{aligned}
$$

Appendix C. Generic almost complete intersections: the proofs
In this final appendix we provide the detailed computations that underpin the theorems in Section 2,

## Quotients of even type.

C. 1 Lemma. Let $n \geqslant 5$ be an odd number and adopt the setup from 2.3. The sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{n-3} \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} \mathcal{R}^{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} \mathcal{R}^{4} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow 0$ is a complex.

Proof. The product $\partial_{1} \partial_{2}$ is a $1 \times n$ matrix; the first three entries are evidently 0 . For $i \in\{4, \ldots, n\}$ the $i^{\text {th }}$ entry is

$$
\pm\left(-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23 i})+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13 i})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12 i})+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{i})\right),
$$

which is zero by Lemma A. 6 applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=123 i$.
The product $\partial_{2} \partial_{3}$ is a $4 \times(n-3)$ matrix. Let $i \in\{4, \ldots, n\}$; the entry in position $(1, i-3)$ is

$$
\tau_{1 i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})+\sum_{j=4}^{i-1}(-1)^{j-1} \tau_{j i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23 j})-\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}(-1)^{j-1} \tau_{i j} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23 j})
$$

Applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=14 \ldots n$ and $u_{\ell}=i$, Lemma A.3 shows that this quantity is zero. Similarly, Lemma A.3 applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=24 \ldots n$ and $u_{\ell}=i$ shows that the entry in position $(2, i-3)$ is zero, and an application with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=3 \ldots n$ and $u_{\ell}=i$ shows that the entry in position $(3, i-3)$ is zero. The entry in position $(4, i-3)$ is

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{j-1} \tau_{j i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{j})-\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}(-1)^{j-1} \tau_{i j} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{j})
$$

Applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=1 \ldots n$ and $u_{\ell}=i$, Lemma A.3 shows that also this quantity is zero.

Józefiak and Pragacz [16] calculate the grade of ideals generated by Pfaffians; we combine this with a classic result of Eagon and Northcott [13] to obtain the next lemma and Lemma C. 6 , which deals with the case of even $n$.
C. 2 Lemma. Let $n \geqslant 5$ be an odd number and adopt the setup from 2.3. The Pfaffians $\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1}), \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2})$, and $\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})$ form a regular sequence in $\mathcal{R}$.

Proof. The $(n-3) \times(n-3)$ Pfaffians of the matrix $\mathcal{T}[3 \ldots n ; 3 \ldots n]$ generate by [16, Corollary 2.5] an ideal of grade 3 in the subring $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{i j} \mid 3 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n\right]$ of $\mathcal{R}$; they are the Pfaffians $\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12 i})$ for $3 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. As $\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})$ is a regular element in the domain $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}$, the Pfaffians $\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12 i})$ for $4 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ generate an ideal of grade 2 in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}=\mathcal{R}^{\prime} / \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})$. In $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{R} / \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})$ one has,

$$
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1})=\sum_{i=4}^{n}(-1)^{i-1} \tau_{2 i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12 i}) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2})=\sum_{i=4}^{n}(-1)^{i-1} \tau_{1 i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12 i})
$$

Indeed, the first equality follows from Lemma A.2 applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=2 \ldots n$ and $\ell=1$; the same lemma applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=13 \ldots n$ and $\ell=1$ yields the second equality. Now it follows from [13, Lemma 6] that $\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1})$ and $\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2})$ form a regular sequence in $\mathcal{B}$.
C. 3 Lemma. Let $n \geqslant 5$ be an odd number and adopt the setup from 2.3. The ideal generated by the $(n-3) \times(n-3)$ minors of the matrix $\partial_{3}$ contains the elements

$$
\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1})\right)^{2}, \quad\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2})\right)^{2}, \quad\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})\right)^{2}, \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right)^{2}
$$

Proof. One has $\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1})\right)^{2}=\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}[2 \ldots n ; 2 \ldots n])$ and expansion of this determinant along the first two columns, see Horn and Johnson [15, 0.8.9], yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}[2 \ldots n ; 2 \ldots n]) & =\sum_{2 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n} \pm \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}[i j ; 23]) \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}[\overline{1 i j} ; \overline{123}]) \\
& =\sum_{2 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n} \pm \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}[i j ; 23]) \operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{3}[\overline{1 i j} ; 1 \ldots n-3]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2})\right)^{2}= & \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}[13 \ldots n ; 13 \ldots n]) \\
= & \sum_{3 \leqslant j \leqslant n} \pm \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}[1 j ; 13]) \operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{3}[\overline{12 j} ; 1 \ldots n-3]\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{3 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n} \pm \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}[i j ; 13]) \operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{3}[\overline{1 i j} ; 1 \ldots n-3]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})\right)^{2} & =\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}[124 \ldots n ; 124 \ldots n]) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n \\
i \neq 3 \neq j}} \pm \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}[i j ; 12]) \operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{3}[\overline{1 i j} ; 1 \ldots n-3]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, one trivially has

$$
\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right)^{2}=\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}[\overline{123} ; \overline{123}])=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{3}[\overline{123} ; 1 \ldots n-3]\right)
$$

C. 4 Proposition. Let $n \geqslant 5$ be an odd number and adopt the setup from 2.3. For integers $1 \leqslant r_{1}<r_{2}<r_{3} \leqslant n$ and $1 \leqslant s_{1}<s_{2}<s_{3} \leqslant 4$ one has

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{3}\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} ; 1 \ldots n-3\right]\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{1}\left[1 ; \overline{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}}\right]\right)= \pm \operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{2}\left[s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} ; r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right]\right)
$$

Proof. First notice that one has $\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{3}\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} ; 1 \ldots n-3\right]\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{T}\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} ; \overline{123}\right]\right)$. With the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{LHS} & =\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{T}\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} ; \overline{123}\right]\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{1}\left[1 ; \overline{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}}\right]\right) \quad \text { and } \\
\text { RHS } & =\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{2}\left[s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} ; r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

the goal is to prove that LHS $= \pm$ RHS holds. Set

$$
\rho=1 \ldots n \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad\{s\}=\overline{\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right\}}
$$

The possible values of $s_{3}$ are 3 and 4 , and we treat these cases separately.
Case I. Assuming that $s_{3}=3$ holds one has $s=4$ and, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{1}[1 ; \overline{123}]\right)=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the first three columns of the matrix $\partial_{2}$ are special, our argument depends on the size of the intersection $\{1,2,3\} \cap\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right\}$. We therefore consider four subcases determined by the (in)equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{3}=3, \quad r_{2} \leqslant 3<r_{3}, \quad r_{1} \leqslant 3<r_{2}, \quad \text { and } \quad r_{1}<3 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subcase I.a. If $r_{3}=3$ holds, then (1) and Lemma B.2 yield

$$
\mathrm{LHS}=\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right)^{2} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})
$$

and evidently one has RHS $=\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right)^{3}$.
Subcase I.b. If $r_{2} \leqslant 3<r_{3}$ hold, then (1) and Lemma B. 2 yield

$$
\mathrm{LHS}=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right)^{2}
$$

Expanding the determinant along the first column one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pm \text { RHS }= \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{1 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & 0 & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \\
\delta_{2 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & \delta_{2 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \\
0 & \delta_{3 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
&=\delta_{1 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\left(\delta_{2 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \delta_{3 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right) \\
& \quad+\delta_{2 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \delta_{3 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \\
&=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left.\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\delta_{1 r_{1}} \delta_{2 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right)-\delta_{1 r_{1}} \delta_{3 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right)+\delta_{2 r_{1}} \delta_{3 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For all three choices of $r_{1}<r_{2}$ in $\{1,2,3\}$ one gets RHS $= \pm\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right)^{2} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right)$ as desired.

Subcase I.c. If $r_{1} \leqslant 3<r_{2}$ hold, then (1) and Lemma B. 2 yield

$$
\mathrm{LHS}=\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right)\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) .
$$

In view of Lemma A. 9 this can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { LHS }=\delta_{1 r_{1}}\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right)\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \\
& \quad+\delta_{2 r_{1}}\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right)\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \\
& \quad+\delta_{3 r_{1}}\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right)\right) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})
\end{aligned}
$$

Expansion of the determinant along the first column yields the matching expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pm \mathrm{RHS}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\delta_{1 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{\left(23 r_{2}\right.}\right) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \\
\delta_{2 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \\
\delta_{3 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
=\delta_{1 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2}}\right)\right) \\
\quad-\delta_{2 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2}}\right)\right) \\
\quad+\delta_{3 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase I.d. If $3<r_{1}$ holds, then (1) and Lemma B.2 yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { LHS }=\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{\overline{123}})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1})\right. \\
&\left.+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Expansion of the determinant along the first column yields the second equality in the computation below. The third equality follows from Lemma A.9 while the fifth follows from Lemmas A.6 and A.7. Finally, the last equality follows from another application of Lemma A. 6 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pm \text { RHS }=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1}}\right) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \\
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1}}\right) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \\
\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{1}}\right) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2}}\right) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2}}\right)\right) \\
& -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2}}\right)\right) \\
& +\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{2} r_{3}}\right)\right) \\
& -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2} r_{3}}\right)\right) \\
& +\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2} r_{3}}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2} r_{3}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2})+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{1}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})\right) \\
& -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{2} r_{3}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2} r_{3}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{1}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2} r_{3}}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right) \\
& -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{1} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{1} r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right) \\
& =-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{1} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{1} r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right)\right) \\
& =-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1})\right. \\
& \left.+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus LHS $= \pm$ RHS holds, also in this subcase.
Case II. Assuming now that $s_{3}=4$ holds, one has $s \in\{1,2,3\}$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{1}\left[1 ; \overline{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}}\right]\right)=(-1)^{s} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{s}) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in Case I the argument is broken into subcases following the (in)equalities (2).
Subcase II.a. If $r_{3}=3$ holds, then (3) and Lemma B.2 yield

$$
\mathrm{LHS}= \pm\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right)^{2} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{s})
$$

and evidently one has RHS $= \pm\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right)^{2} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{s})$.
Subcase II.b. If $r_{2} \leqslant 3<r_{3}$ hold, then (3) and Lemma B.2 again yield

$$
\mathrm{LHS}= \pm \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{s})
$$

This has to be compared to

$$
\text { RHS }= \pm \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{1 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & 0 & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \\
\delta_{2 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & \delta_{2 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \\
0 & \delta_{3 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right) \\
(-1)^{r_{1}-1} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right) & (-1)^{r_{2}-1} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right)\left[s_{1} s_{2} 4 ; 123\right]
$$

Notice that the zeros in the matrix stand for $\delta_{3 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})$ and $\delta_{1 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})$; the determinant is thus symmetric in the three possible choices of $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\} \subset\{1,2,3\}$. By this symmetry it is sufficient to treat the choice $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}=\{1,2\}$. In this case one has $s=3$ and, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{LHS}= \pm \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expansion of the determinant along the first column yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pm \mathrm{RHS}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{1 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & 0 & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \\
\delta_{2 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & \delta_{2 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \\
(-1)^{r_{1}-1} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right) & (-1)^{r_{2}-1} \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\delta_{1 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\left(\delta_{2 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right)+(-1)^{r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right)\right)  \tag{5}\\
& \quad+(-1)^{r_{2}} \delta_{2 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right) \\
& \quad+(-1)^{r_{1}} \delta_{2 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}=\{1,2\}$ one has LHS $= \pm \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})$. In the next computation, which shows that this agrees with $\pm$ RHS, the last equality follows from Lemma A. 6 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pm \mathrm{RHS}= & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2})\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1})\right) \\
= & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1})+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2})\right) \\
= & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\left(-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}=\{1,3\}$ one has LHS $= \pm \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})$, see (4), and (5) specializes to the same expression. Similarly, for $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}=\{2,3\}$ one has LHS $= \pm \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})$ and (5) specializes to the same expression.

Subcase II.c. If $r_{1} \leqslant 3<r_{2}$ hold, then (3) and Lemma B. 2 yield

$$
\mathrm{LHS}= \pm\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})-\overline{\mathrm{pf}}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right)\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{s})
$$

This has to be compared to

$$
\mathrm{RHS}= \pm \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{1 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \\
\delta_{2 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \\
\delta_{3 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right) \\
(-1)^{r_{1}-1} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right)\left[s_{1} s_{2} 4 ; 123\right]
$$

This determinant is symmetric in the three possible choices of $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\} \subset\{1,2,3\}$. It suffices to treat the case $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}=\{1,2\}$, where one has $s=3$ and, therefore,
(6) $\quad$ LHS $= \pm\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right)\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})$.

Expanding the determinant along the first column one gets

$$
\pm \text { RHS }=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{1 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \\
\delta_{2 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \\
(-1)^{r_{1}-1} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\delta_{1 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right)\right) \\
& \quad-\delta_{2 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+(-1)^{r_{1}-1} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $r_{1}=1$ this expression specializes to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pm \mathrm{RHS}= \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right)\right) \\
&+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right)\right) \\
&=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1})\right) \\
&+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right)\right) \\
&=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})\right) \\
&+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2})\right) \\
&=\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right)\right)_{\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})}= \\
&=\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1})\right)_{\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the third equality follows from Lemma A. 6 and the last equality holds by Lemma A.9. This matches (6).

For $r_{1}=2$ a parallel computation using the same lemmas yields

$$
\mathrm{RHS}= \pm\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})
$$

which again matches (6).
For $r_{1}=3$ the RHS expression specializes to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pm \mathrm{RHS} & =\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality holds by Lemma A.9. This matches (6).
Subcase II.d. If $3<r_{1}$ holds, then (3) and Lemma B.2 yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { LHS }= \pm\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1})\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{s})
\end{aligned}
$$

This has to be compared to

$$
\text { RHS }= \pm \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \\
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \\
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{1}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{3}}\right) \\
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right)\left[s_{1} s_{2} 4 ; 123\right]
$$

This determinant is symmetric in the three possible choices of $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\} \subset\{1,2,3\}$. It is sufficient to treat the case $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}=\{1,2\}$, where one has $s=3$ and, therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { LHS }= & \pm\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1})\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3}) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Expansion along the third row yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pm \text { RHS } & =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \\
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right) \\
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1}}\right)\right) \\
\quad+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1}}\right)\right) \\
=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right) \\
-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{1} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{1} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right) \\
\quad+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{1} r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{1} r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})\right) \\
=\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2} r_{3}}\right)\right. \\
\left.\quad-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{1} r_{3}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{1} r_{2}}\right)\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3}) \\
=\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2} r_{3}}\right)\right. \\
\left.\quad-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{1} r_{3}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{1} r_{2}}\right)\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123}) \\
=\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right)\right. \\
\left.\quad+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right)\right) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3})-\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3}) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123})
\end{gathered}
$$

where the last two equalities follow from Lemmas A. 6 and A.9.
Quotients of odd type. The proofs of C. 5 C. 8 below are, if anything, slightly simpler than the proofs of C.1 C.4.
C. 5 Lemma. Let $n \geqslant 6$ be an even number and adopt the setup from 2.9. The sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{n-3} \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} \mathcal{R}^{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} \mathcal{R}^{4} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} \mathcal{R}$ is a complex.

Proof. The product $\partial_{1} \partial_{2}$ is a $1 \times n$ matrix; the first three entries are evidently 0 . For $i \in\{4, \ldots, n\}$ the $i^{\text {th }}$ entry is

$$
\pm\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123 i})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3 i})+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{2 i})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{1 i})\right)
$$

which is zero by Lemma A.4 applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=123 i$ and $u_{\ell}=i$.
The product $\partial_{2} \partial_{3}$ is a $4 \times(n-3)$ matrix. Let $i \in\{4, \ldots, n\}$; the entry in position $(1, i-3)$ is

$$
\sum_{j=4}^{i-1}(-1)^{j-1} \tau_{j i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123 j})-\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}(-1)^{j-1} \tau_{i j} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123 j})
$$

Applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=4 \ldots n$ and $u_{\ell}=i$, Lemma A.3 shows that this quantity is zero. The entry in position $(2, i-3)$ is

$$
\tau_{1 i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})-\tau_{2 i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})+\sum_{j=4}^{i-1}(-1)^{j} \tau_{j i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3 j})-\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}(-1)^{j} \tau_{i j} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{3 j})
$$

Applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=124 \ldots n$ and $u_{\ell}=i$, Lemma A.3 shows that this quantity is zero. Similarly, Lemma A. 3 applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=134 \ldots n$ and $u_{\ell}=i$ shows that the entry in position $(3, i-3)$ is zero, and an application with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=2 \ldots n$ and $u_{\ell}=i$ shows that the entry in position $(4, i-3)$ is zero.
C. 6 Lemma. Let $n \geqslant 6$ be an even number and adopt the setup from 2.9. The Pfaffians $\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}), \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})$, and $\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})$ form a regular sequence in $\mathcal{R}$.

Proof. The $(n-4) \times(n-4)$ Pfaffians of the matrix $\mathcal{T}[4 \ldots n ; 4 \ldots n]$ generate by [16, Corollary 2.5] an ideal of grade 3 in the subring $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{i j} \mid 4 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n\right]$ of
$\mathcal{R}$; they are the Pfaffians $\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123 i})$ for $4 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=3 \ldots n$ and $\ell=1$, Lemma A. 2 yields

$$
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})=\sum_{i=4}^{n}(-1)^{i} \tau_{3 i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123 i})
$$

Similarly, applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=24 \ldots n$ and $\ell=2$ the same lemma yields

$$
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})=\sum_{i=4}^{n}(-1)^{i} \tau_{2 i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123 i})
$$

Finally, with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=14 \ldots n$ and $\ell=1$ one gets

$$
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})=\sum_{i=4}^{n}(-1)^{i} \tau_{1 i} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{123 i})
$$

Now it follows from [13, Lemma 6] that $\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}), \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})$, and $\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})$ form a regular sequence in $\mathcal{R}$.
C. 7 Lemma. Let $n \geqslant 6$ be an even number and adopt the setup from 2.9. The ideal generated by the $(n-3) \times(n-3)$ minors of the matrix $\partial_{3}$ contains the elements

$$
\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\right)^{2}, \quad\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})\right)^{2}, \quad\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})\right)^{2}, \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})\right)^{2}
$$

Proof. One has $\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\right)^{2}=\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T})$ and expansion of this determinant along the first three columns, see [15, 0.8.9], yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}) & =\sum_{1 \leqslant i<j<k \leqslant n} \pm \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}[i j k ; 123]) \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}[\overline{i j k} ; \overline{123}]) \\
& =\sum_{1 \leqslant i<j<k \leqslant n} \pm \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}[i j k ; 123]) \operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{3}[\overline{i j k} ; 1 \ldots n-3]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, expanding along the first column one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})\right)^{2}=\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}[3 \ldots n ; 3 \ldots n])=\sum_{i=3}^{n} \pm \mathcal{T}[i ; 3] \operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{3}[\overline{12 i} ; 1 \ldots n-3]\right) \\
& \left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})\right)^{2}=\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}[24 \ldots n ; 24 \ldots n])=\sum_{\substack{2 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\
i \neq 3}} \pm \mathcal{T}[i ; 2] \operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{3}[\overline{13 i} ; 1 \ldots n-3]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})\right)^{2}=\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{T}[14 \ldots n ; 14 \ldots n])=\sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ i \neq 2,3}} \pm \mathcal{T}[i ; 1] \operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{3}[\overline{23 i} ; 1 \ldots n-3]\right)
$$

C. 8 Proposition. Let $n \geqslant 6$ be an even number and adopt the setup from 2.9 . For integers $1 \leqslant r_{1}<r_{2}<r_{3} \leqslant n$ and $1 \leqslant s_{1}<s_{2}<s_{3} \leqslant 4$ one has

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{3}\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} ; 1 \ldots n-3\right]\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{1}\left[1 ; \overline{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}}\right]\right)= \pm \operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{2}\left[s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} ; r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right]\right)
$$

Proof. Notice that $\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{3}\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} ; 1 \ldots n-3\right]\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{T}\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} ; \overline{123}\right]\right)$ holds and set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { LHS } & =\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{T}\left[\overline{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} ; \overline{123}\right]\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{1}\left[1 ; \overline{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}}\right]\right) \quad \text { and } \\
\operatorname{RHS} & =\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{2}\left[s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} ; r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The goal is now to prove that LHS $= \pm$ RHS holds. Set

$$
\rho=1 \ldots n \backslash r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad\{s\}=\overline{\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right\}} .
$$

The possible values of $s_{1}$ are 1 and 2 , and we treat these cases separately.
Case I. Assuming that $s_{1}=1$ holds, one has $s \in\{2,3,4\}$. By symmetry it suffices to treat the case $s=4$. In this case one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{1}\left[1 ; \overline{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}}\right]\right)=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the first three columns of the matrix $\partial_{2}$ are special, our argument depends on the size of the intersection $\{1,2,3\} \cap\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right\}$. We therefore consider four subcases determined by the (in)equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{3}=3, \quad r_{2} \leqslant 3<r_{3}, \quad r_{1} \leqslant 3<r_{2}, \quad \text { and } \quad r_{1}<3 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subcase I.a. If $r_{3}=3$ holds, then Lemma B. 3 yields LHS $=0$, and $\partial_{2}$ has a zero row, so RHS $=0$ holds as well.

Subcase I.b. If $r_{2} \leqslant 3<r_{3}$ hold, then (1) and Lemma B.3 yield

$$
\mathrm{LHS}=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})
$$

Expansion of the determinant along the first row yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pm \mathrm{RHS} & =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) \\
\delta_{1 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})-\delta_{2 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) & -\delta_{2 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right) \\
-\delta_{1 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) & \delta_{3 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})\left(\delta_{1 r_{1}}\left(\delta_{3 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})-\delta_{2 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})\right)-\delta_{2 r_{1}} \delta_{3 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})\right) \\
& = \pm \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase I.c. If $r_{1} \leqslant 3<r_{2}$ hold, then (1) and Lemma B. 3 yield

$$
\text { LHS }=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) \cdot \begin{cases}\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) & \text { if } r_{1}=1 \\ \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) & \text { if } r_{1}=2 \\ \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) & \text { if } r_{1}=3 .\end{cases}
$$

This has to be compared to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pm \text { RHS } \\
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})-\delta_{2 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})\right. & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) \\
-\delta_{1 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})+\delta_{3 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2}}\right) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =-\delta_{1 r_{1}}\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2}}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})\left(-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2}}\right)\right)\right) \\
& +\delta_{2 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2}}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+\delta_{3 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})\left(-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma A.5 applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=123 r_{2} r_{3}$ and $\ell=2$ and $\ell=3$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) & +\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) \\
& =\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) & +\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) \\
& =\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first of these identities immediately yields LHS $= \pm$ RHS in case $r_{1}=2$, and for $r_{1}=3$ the second identity yields the same conclusion. In case $r_{1}=1$ one applies both identities to see that LHS $= \pm$ RHS holds.

Subcase I.d. If $3<r_{1}$ holds, then (1) and Lemma B. 3 yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { LHS }= & \left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})\right. \\
& \left.+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})
\end{aligned}
$$

Expansion of the determinant along the third row yields the second equality in the computation below. The third equality follows from three applications of Lemma A.5 with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=123 r_{2} r_{3} / 123 r_{1} r_{3} / 123 r_{2} r_{2}$ and $\ell=3$. The fifth follows from Lemma A.5 applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=23 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}$ and $\ell=1$ and Lemma A. 4 applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=123 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}$ and $\ell=2$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pm \text { RHS }=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{1}}\right) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2}}\right) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) \\
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{1}}\right) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right) \\
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{1}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2}}\right) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2}}\right)\right) \\
& -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{1}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{1}}\right)\right) \\
& +\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{1}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{1}}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})\right) \\
& -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})\right) \\
& +\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1} r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1} r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})\right) \\
& =\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{1}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1} r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2}}\right)+\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{1} r_{2}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)\right) \\
& -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{1}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{1} r_{2}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})\right. \\
& \left.+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Up to a sign, this is LHS.
Case II. Assuming that $s_{1}=2$ holds one has $s=1$ and, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{1}[1 ; \overline{234}]\right)=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in Case I the argument is broken into subcases following the (in)equalities (2).
Subcase II.a. If $r_{3}=3$, then (3) and Lemma B.3 yield LHS $=0$, and one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{RHS} & =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) & 0 \\
-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) & 0 & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) \\
0 & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase II.b. If $r_{2} \leqslant 3<r_{3}$ hold, then (1) and Lemma B. 3 yield

$$
\mathrm{LHS}=\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}
$$

In the computation below, the last equality follows from Lemma A.4 applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=123 r_{3}$ and $\ell=4$; it shows that LHS and RHS agree up to a sign.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pm \text { RHS } \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{1 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})-\delta_{2 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) & -\delta_{2 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{\overline{23}}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right) \\
-\delta_{1 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) & \delta_{3 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right) \\
\delta_{2 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) & \delta_{2 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})-\delta_{3 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\delta_{1 r_{1} \delta_{2 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right)\right)} \begin{array}{l}
\quad+\delta_{1 r_{1}} \delta_{3 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right)\right) \\
\\
\quad-\delta_{2 r_{1}} \delta_{3 r_{2}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right)\right) \\
= \\
=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right)\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right) .
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase II.c. If $r_{1} \leqslant 3<r_{2}$ hold, then (3) and Lemma B. 3 yield

$$
\text { LHS }=\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}} \cdot \begin{cases}\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) & \text { if } r_{1}=1 \\ \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) & \text { if } r_{1}=2 \\ \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) & \text { if } r_{1}=3\end{cases}
$$

This has to be compared to

$$
\begin{array}{r} 
\pm \operatorname{RHS}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{1 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})-\delta_{2 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right) \\
-\delta_{1 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})+\delta_{3 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2}}\right) & -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right) \\
\delta_{2 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})-\delta_{3 r_{1}} \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{2}}\right) & \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
=\delta_{1 r_{1}}\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})\left(-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{\overline{1 r_{3}}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)\right)\right. \\
\left.+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right)\right)\right) \\
-\delta_{2 r_{1}}\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})\left(-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{3}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)\right)\right. \\
\left.-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})\left(-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right)\right)\right) \\
-\delta_{3 r_{1}}\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right)\right)\right. \\
\left.-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})\left(-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{array}
$$

For $r_{1}=1$ it follows from two applications of Lemma A.4, namely with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=$ $12 r_{2} r_{3} / 13 r_{2} r_{3}$ and $\ell=1$, that LHS and RHS agree up to a sign. For $r_{1}=2$ one gets the same conclusion by applying Lemma A.4 with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=12 r_{2} r_{3} / 23 r_{2} r_{3}$ and $\ell=1$. For $r_{1}=3$ one gets the desired conclusion from Lemma A.4 applied with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=13 r_{2} r_{3} / 23 r_{2} r_{3}$ and $\ell=1$.

Subcase II.d. If $3<r_{1}$ holds, then (3) and Lemma B.3 yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { LHS }=\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\right. & \left(\overline{1 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) \\
& \left.+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Expansion of the determinant along the first column yields the second equality in the computation below. The third equality follows from three applications of Lemma A.4. The fifth follows from two applications of Lemma A.4 with $u_{1} \ldots u_{k}=$ $123 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} / 123 r_{1}$ and $\ell=4$. The last equality follows from Lemma A.8.

$$
\pm \text { RHS }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{1}}\right) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2}}\right) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right) \\
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{1}}\right) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2}}\right) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right) \\
\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{1}}\right) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{2}}\right) & \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{2}}\right)\right) \\
& -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{2}}\right)\right) \\
& +\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{2}}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2} r_{3}}\right)\right) \\
& -\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2} r_{3}}\right)\right) \\
& +\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2} r_{3}}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{1}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{23 r_{2} r_{3}}\right)-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{1}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{13 r_{2} r_{3}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{1}}\right) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{12 r_{2} r_{3}}\right)\right) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}} \\
& +\left(-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{1}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23})+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{1}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13})-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{1}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12})\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \\
& =\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{2}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{3}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{1} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{1}}\right)\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}} \\
& =\left(\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{123 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}-\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{12}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{3 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right)+\operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{13}) \operatorname{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{2 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{23}) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\overline{1 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}\right)\right) \mathrm{pf}_{\mathcal{T}} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Up to a sign, this is LHS.
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