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#### Abstract

Recent efforts to obtain bounds for the complete elliptic integral $$
\frac{\pi}{2} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} ; 1 ; r^{2}\right)
$$ in terms of power means and other related means have precipitated the search for similar bounds for the more general ${ }_{2} F_{1}(\alpha, \beta ; \gamma ; r)$. In an early paper, B. C. Carlson considered the approximation of the hypergeometric mean values $\left({ }_{2} F_{1}(-a, b ; b+c ; r)\right)^{1 / a}$ in terms of means of order $t$, given by $M_{t}(s, r):=$ $\left\{(1-s)+s(1-r)^{t}\right\}^{1 / t}$. In this note, a refinement of one such approximation is established by first proving a general positivity result involving ${ }_{3} F_{2}$.
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In the last few decades, there has been an intense renewed interest in the classical special functions, in particular the Gaussian hypergeometric function. This is evidenced by the almost 1000 papers listed just in the last three years in the Mathematical Reviews under the topic "hypergeometric functions." For an extensive bibliography and history see $[1,3,4]$. Hypergeometric functions, which have many of the classical special functions as special cases, have been found useful in resolving several current problems as noted in [5, 7, 8, 10, 11]. Given real numbers $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ with $\gamma \neq 0,-1,-2, \ldots$, the Gaussian hypergeometric function is defined by

$$
{ }_{2} F_{1}(\alpha, \beta ; \gamma ; r):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{n}(\beta)_{n}}{(\gamma)_{n}} \frac{r^{n}}{n!}, \quad|r|<1 .
$$

Here $(\alpha)_{0}=1$ for $\alpha \neq 0$, and $(\alpha)_{n}:=\alpha(\alpha+1) \cdots(\alpha+n-1)$ for $n=1,2,3, \ldots$ In [7], [8], R. Barnard, K. Pearce, and K. Richards proved very recently that the following inequalities are true for all $r \in[0,1]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1+\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{3 / 2}}{2}\right)^{2 / 3} \leq{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} ; 1 ; r^{2}\right) \leq\left(\frac{1+\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $r^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-r^{2}}$. If, for $x, y, t>0$, we use the notation

$$
A_{t}(x, y)=\left(\left(x^{t}+y^{t}\right) / 2\right)^{1 / t}
$$

for the power mean, we can write the lower and upper bounds as the power means $A_{3 / 2}\left(1, r^{\prime}\right)$ and $A_{2}\left(1, r^{\prime}\right)$, respectively.
One might seek a natural generalization of this inequality by replacing the parameter values $(-1 / 2,1 / 2,1)$ by a more general triple. B. C. Carlson [12] considered the approximation of the hypergeometric mean values in terms of means of order $t$. For $r, s, t>0$, the mean of order $t$ is given by

$$
M_{t}(s, r):=\left\{(1-s)+s(1-r)^{t}\right\}^{1 / t}
$$

and the hypergeometric mean of order $a$ is given by

$$
M(a, b, c, r):=\left\{{ }_{2} F_{1}(-a, b ; b+c ; r)\right\}^{1 / a}
$$

for $r \in[0,1], a, b, c>0$. Recall the following representation due to Euler (see [4], p. 65):

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2} F_{1}(-a, b ; b+c ; r)=\frac{\Gamma(b+c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c)} \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1}(1-u)^{c-1}(1-u r)^{a} d u \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $b, c>0$, from which it follows that ${ }_{2} F_{1}(-a, b ; b+c ; r)>0$ for all $r \in(0,1)$. The fact that ${ }_{2} F_{1}(-a, b ; b+c ; 1)$ is finite for $b, c>0$ follows from

$$
{ }_{2} F_{1}(-a, b ; b+c ; 1)=\frac{\Gamma(a+c) \Gamma(b+c)}{\Gamma(a+b+c) \Gamma(c)}
$$

which is due to Gauss (see [4]). It is also helpful to note that for fixed $r, s \in(0,1)$ the function $t \mapsto M_{t}(s, r)$ is monotone (e.g., see [9], p. 17). The main result of this note is Theorem 1 , which refines the following theorem of B. C. Carlson [12].

Theorem A (Carlson, 1965). If $a \in(0,1)$ and $b, c>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{a}\left(\frac{b}{b+c}, r\right)<M(a, b, c, r) \quad \text { for all } r \in(0,1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem B (Carlson, 1965). If $a>1$ and $b, c>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{a}\left(\frac{b}{b+c}, r\right)>M(a, b, c, r) \quad \text { for all } r \in(0,1) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sketch of Proof of Theorem A. Restricting our attention to the Gaussian hypergeometric function, Carlson's proof in [12] takes the following form: Note that $\left[M_{a}(u, r)\right]^{a}<\left[M_{1}(u, r)\right]^{a}$, since $M_{t}$ is an increasing function of $t$ and recall the integral representation for ${ }_{2} F_{1}$ given in (2). Finally, for $p$ and $q$ positive integers it follows from $(\alpha)_{\beta}=\Gamma(\alpha+\beta) / \Gamma(\alpha)$ and properties of the Beta function $B$
(e.g., see [4]) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Gamma(b+c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c)} \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1+p}(1-u)^{c-1+q} d u & =\frac{\Gamma(b+c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c)} B(b+p, c+q) \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(b+c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c)} \frac{\Gamma(b+p) \Gamma(c+q)}{\Gamma(b+c+p+q)} \\
& =\frac{(b)_{p}(c)_{q}}{(b+c)_{p+q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[M(a, b, c, r)]^{a} } & ={ }_{2} F_{1}(-a, b ; b+c ; r) \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(b+c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c)} \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1}(1-u)^{c-1}[(1-u)+u(1-r)]^{a} d u \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(b+c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c)} \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1}(1-u)^{c-1}\left[M_{1}(u, r)\right]^{a} d u \\
& >\frac{\Gamma(b+c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c)} \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1}(1-u)^{c-1}\left[M_{a}(u, r)\right]^{a} d u \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(b+c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c)} \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1}(1-u)^{c-1}\left[(1-u)+u(1-r)^{a}\right] d u \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(b+c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c)} \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1}(1-u)^{c-1+1} d u \\
& =\frac{c+b(1-r)^{a} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(b+c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c)} \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1+1}(1-u)^{c-1} d u}{b+c}=\left[M_{a}\left(\frac{b}{b+c}, r\right)\right]^{a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In [12], Carlson uses an argument similar to that discussed above to prove Theorem B and that (3) holds for $-\infty<a<0$ as well as $a=0$ as a limiting case.
Since $M_{t}$ is an increasing function of $t$, a natural question to ask is the following:
Question. Given $a \in(0,1)$ and $b, c>0$, are there values of $t>a$ such that

$$
M_{a}\left(\frac{b}{b+c}, r\right)<M_{t}\left(\frac{b}{b+c}, r\right)<M(a, b, c, r) \quad \text { for all } r \in(0,1) ?
$$

Applying Lemma 1 , which is a general positivity result involving ${ }_{3} F_{2}$ and is of independent interest (see $[6,7,8]$ ), we have obtained the following

Theorem 1. Suppose $a \in(0,1)$ and $b, c>0$. If $-\infty<t<(a+a b+c) /(1+b+c)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}\left(\frac{b}{b+c}, r\right)<M(a, b, c, r) \quad \text { for all } r \in(0,1) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. First note that $(a+a b+c) /(1+b+c)>a$. Also, since

$$
M(a, b, c, r)-M_{t}\left(\frac{b}{b+c}, r\right)=\frac{b c}{2}\left[\frac{a+b+c-t(1+b+c)}{(b+c)^{2}(c+b+1)}\right] r^{2}+O\left(r^{3}\right)
$$

it follows that $t<(a+b+c) /(1+b+c)$ is a necessary condition for (5).

In order to prove Theorem 1, we will need the following
Lemma 1. Suppose $\alpha, \beta, \gamma>0$ and $1>\lambda>\max \{\alpha \beta / \gamma, \alpha+\beta-\gamma\}$. Then

$$
(-\lambda)_{n} \cdot{ }_{3} F_{2}(-n, \alpha, \beta ; \gamma, 1+\lambda-n ; 1)<0 \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text {, }
$$

where ${ }_{3} F_{2}$ is the generalized hypergeometric function given by

$$
{ }_{3} F_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3} ; \beta_{1}, \beta_{2} ; r\right):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{n}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)_{n}\left(\alpha_{3}\right)_{n}}{\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{n}\left(\beta_{2}\right)_{n}} \frac{r^{n}}{n!} .
$$

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $r \in(0,1)$ and define $h(r):=(1-r)^{\lambda}{ }_{2} F_{1}(\alpha, \beta ; \gamma ; r)$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(r) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)_{n}}{n!} r^{n} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{n}(\beta)_{n}}{(\gamma)_{n}} \frac{r^{n}}{n!} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left\{\frac{(-\lambda)_{n-k}}{(1)_{n-k}} \frac{(\alpha)_{k}(\beta)_{k}}{k!(\gamma)_{k}}\right\} r^{n} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)_{n}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left\{\frac{(-1)^{k}(-n)_{k}}{(-1)^{k}(1+\lambda-n)_{k}} \frac{(\alpha)_{k}(\beta)_{k}}{k!(\gamma)_{k}}\right\} r^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

using $(\alpha)_{n-k}=(-1)^{k}(\alpha)_{n} /(1-\alpha-n)_{k}$. Thus

$$
h(r)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)_{n}}{n!}{ }_{3} F_{2}(-n, \alpha, \beta ; \gamma, 1+\lambda-n ; 1) r^{n} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{\prime}(r)=-\lambda(1-r)^{\lambda-1}{ }_{2} F_{1}(\alpha, \beta ; \gamma ; r)+\frac{a b}{c}(1-r)^{\lambda}{ }_{2} F_{1}(\alpha+1, \beta+1 ; \gamma+1 ; r) \\
&=-(1-r)^{\lambda-1} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{(\alpha)_{n}(\beta)_{n}}{(\gamma)_{n}} \frac{r^{n}}{n!}\left(\lambda-(1-r) \frac{(\alpha+n)(\beta+n)}{(\gamma+n)}\right)\right\} \\
&=-(1-r)^{\lambda-1} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{(\alpha)_{n}(\beta)_{n}}{(\gamma)_{n}} \frac{r^{n}}{n!}\left(\lambda-\frac{(\alpha+n)(\beta+n)}{(\gamma+n)}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}(\beta)_{n+1}}{(\gamma)_{n+1}} \frac{r^{n+1}}{n!}\right\} \\
&=-(1-r)^{\lambda-1} \cdot\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{(\alpha)_{n}(\beta)_{n}}{(\gamma)_{n}} \frac{r^{n}}{n!}\left(\lambda-\frac{(\alpha+n)(\beta+n)}{(\gamma+n)}\right)\right\}\right. \\
&\left.+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n(\alpha)_{n}(\beta)_{n}}{(\gamma)_{n}} \frac{r^{n}}{n!}\right] \\
&=--(1-r)^{\lambda-1} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{(\alpha)_{n}(\beta)_{n}}{(\gamma)_{n}} \frac{r^{n}}{n!}\left(\lambda+n-\frac{(\alpha+n)(\beta+n)}{(\gamma+n)}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The result now follows for $1>\lambda>\max \{\alpha \beta / \gamma, \alpha+\beta-\gamma\}$ by noting that $(1-r)^{\lambda-1}$ and

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{(\alpha)_{n}(\beta)_{n}}{(\gamma)_{n}} \frac{r^{n}}{n!}\left(\lambda+n-\frac{(\alpha+n)(\beta+n)}{(\gamma+n)}\right)\right\}
$$

both have positive Maclaurin series coefficients.

Proof of Theorem 1. After (5) is proved for $0<t<(a+a b+c) /(1+b+c)$, the fact that (5) holds for $t<0$ (and $t=0$ as a limiting case, see $[9,12]$ ) follows directly from the monotonicity of $M_{t}$. Let $a \in(0,1) ; b, c>0 ; s=b /(b+c)$; and $0<t<(a+a b+c) /(1+b+c)$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(r) & :={ }_{2} F_{1}(-a, b ; b+c ; r)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n} r^{n}, \\
g(r) & :=\left\{M_{t}\left(\frac{b}{b+c}, r\right)\right\}^{a}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n} r^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $B_{0}=A_{0}=1$ and $B_{1}=A_{1}=-a s$. Now suppose that $B_{k} \leq A_{k}$ for all $k=1, \ldots, n$. The logarithmic derivative of $g$ becomes

$$
\frac{g^{\prime}(r)}{g(r)}=\frac{-a s}{(1-s)(1-r)^{1-t}+s(1-r)}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1) B_{n+1} r^{n}\right\}\left\{(1-s)(1-r)^{1-t}+s(1-r)\right\}=-a s \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n} r^{n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $(1-r)^{1-t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(t-1)_{n} r^{n} / n$ ! and the Cauchy product, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n+1) B_{n+1}= & B_{n}[s(n-a)-n(1-s)(t-1)] \\
& -(1-s) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2}(k+1) B_{k+1} \frac{(t-1)_{n-k}}{(1)_{n-k}} \\
\leq & A_{n}[s(n-a)-n(1-s)(t-1)] \\
& -(1-s) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2}(k+1) A_{k+1} \frac{(t-1)_{n-k}}{(1)_{n-k}} \\
= & A_{n} s(n-a)+(1-s)(n+1) A_{n+1} \\
& -(1-s) \sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+1) A_{k+1} \frac{(t-1)_{n-k}}{(1)_{n-k}} \\
= & (n+1) A_{n+1}+A_{n} s(n-a)-s(n+1) A_{n+1}+\frac{a s(1-s)}{n!} . \\
& \cdot(t-1)_{n 3} F_{2}(-n, 1-a, b+1 ; b+c+1,2-t-n ; 1) \\
= & (n+1) A_{n+1}+\frac{a s(1-s)}{n!} . \\
& \cdot\left\{(t-1)_{n 3} F_{2}(-n, 1-a, b+1 ; b+c+1,2-t-n ; 1)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{(1-a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{(b+c+1)_{n}}\right\} \\
\leq & (n+1) A_{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

using Lemma 1 with $\lambda=1-t, \alpha=1-a, \beta=b+1$, and $\gamma=b+c+1$, since $0<t<(a+a b+c) /(1+b+c)$ implies $1>\lambda>(1-a)(b+1) /(b+c+1)=$ $\alpha \beta / \gamma>\alpha+\beta-\gamma$.

It should be noted that if $t=(a+b+c) /(1+b+c)$, then (5) does not hold for $b>c$. In the case that $b=c$ we make the following

Conjecture. Suppose $a \in(0,1), b>0$, and $a+b>1 / 2$. If $t<(a+2 b) /(1+2 b)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, r\right)<M(a, b, b, r) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$. The bound $(a+2 b) /(1+2 b)$ is sharp.
Additional motivation for this work and a proof of this conjecture in the case that $a=b=1 / 2$ can be found in [7, pp. 693-694]. In particular, we have shown

Theorem 2 (Barnard, Pearce, Richards, 2000). If $t<3 / 4$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, r\right)<M\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, r\right) \quad \text { for all } r \in(0,1) \text {. } \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bound $3 / 4$ is sharp.
In view of Theorem B and the fact that $M_{t}$ is an increasing function of $t$, the following problem remains for future investigation:

Problem. Given $a, b, c>0$, identify conditions on $a, b, c$, and the sharp value $\phi(a, b, c)$ such that if $t>\phi(a, b, c)$, then

$$
M_{t}\left(\frac{b}{b+c}, r\right)>M(a, b, c, r) \quad \text { for all } r \in(0,1)
$$

It should also be noted that in the special case that $a=b=c=1 / 2$, H. Alzer [2] has conjectured that if $\phi_{0}=\ln (2) /(2 \ln (\pi / 2)) \approx 0.767$ and $t>\phi_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, r\right)>M\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, r\right) \quad \text { for all } r \in(0,1) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bound $\phi_{0}$ is sharp. (Note that (1) implies that (9) holds for all $t \geq 2$.)
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